

RIGIDITY OF EQUIVARIANT SCHUBERT CLASSES

ANDERS S. BUCH, PIERRE-EMMANUEL CHAPUT, AND NICOLAS PERRIN

ABSTRACT. We prove that Schubert varieties in flag manifolds are uniquely determined by their equivariant cohomology classes, as well as a stronger result that replaces Schubert varieties with closures of Bialynicki-Birula cells under suitable conditions. This is used to prove a conjecture from [BCP23], stating that any two-pointed curve neighborhood representing a quantum cohomology product with a Seidel class is a Schubert variety.

1. INTRODUCTION

A Schubert variety Ω in a flag manifold $X = G/P$ is called *rigid* if it is uniquely determined by its class $[\Omega]$ in the cohomology ring $H^*(X)$. More precisely, if $Z \subset X$ is any irreducible closed subvariety such that $[Z]$ is a multiple of $[\Omega]$ in $H^*(X)$, then Z is a G -translate of Ω . This problem has been studied in numerous papers, see e.g. [Hon05, Hon07, Cos11, RT12, CR13, Cos14, Cos18, HM20] and the references therein.

In this paper we show that all Schubert varieties are *equivariantly rigid*. In other words, if $T \subset G$ is a maximal torus, $\Omega \subset X$ is a T -stable Schubert variety, and $Z \subset X$ is a (non-empty) T -stable closed subvariety such that the T -equivariant class $[Z] \in H_T^*(X)$ is a multiple of $[\Omega]$, then $Z = \Omega$. We use this result to prove a conjecture from [BCP23], stating that a two-pointed curve neighborhood corresponding to a quantum cohomology product with a Seidel class, is an explicitly determined Schubert variety. This conjecture was known in some cases when X is cominuscule, in all cases when X is a flag variety of type A [LLSY22, Tar23], and for $X = \text{SG}(2, 2n)$ [BPX]

More generally, let T be an algebraic torus over an algebraically closed field, let X be a non-singular projective T -variety with finite fixed point set X^T , and assume that all fixed points $p \in X^T$ are *fully definite*, in the sense that all T -weights of the Zariski tangent space $T_p X$ belong to a strict half-space of the character lattice of T . Assume also that $X^T = X^{\mathbb{G}_m}$ holds for some 1-parameter subgroup $\mathbb{G}_m \subset T$, such that the associated Bialynicki-Birula decomposition $X = \bigcup X_p^+$ is a *stratification*, in the sense that each cell closure $\overline{X_p^+}$ is a union of cells. In this situation we prove the following result.

Theorem. Let $Z \subset X$ be a T -stable closed subvariety such that the T -equivariant Chow class of Z is a multiple of the class of a cell closure $\overline{X_p^+}$. Then $Z = \overline{X_p^+}$.

Date: September 16, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14M15; Secondary 14C25, 14L30, 14N35.

Key words and phrases. Rigidity, Schubert varieties, equivariant cohomology, Bialynicki-Birula decomposition, curve neighborhoods, Seidel representation, horospherical varieties.

Buch was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-2152316. Perrin was partially supported by ANR project FanoHK, grant ANR-20-CE40-0023.

In addition to flag varieties, this result applies to a class of horospherical varieties, which includes all non-singular horospherical varieties of Picard rank 1 [Pas09]. If X is defined over the field of complex numbers, the Chow class of Z may be replaced with its class in the T -equivariant singular cohomology ring $H_T^*(X)$. In fact, we only use the restrictions $[Z]_p \in H_T^*(\text{point})$ of this class to T -fixed points $p \in X^T$, which do not depend on the chosen cohomology theory.

To prove the theorem, we first show that the fixed point set of Z is given by $Z^T = \{p \in X^T : [Z]_p \neq 0\}$. Under the assumptions of the theorem, this implies that Z and $\overline{X_p^+}$ have the same T -fixed points. We then observe that $Z^T \subset \overline{X_p^+}$ implies $Z \subset \overline{X_p^+}$ when the Bialynicki-Birula decomposition of X is a stratification.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some basic facts and notation related to torus actions. In Section 3 we prove that the restricted class $[Z]_p$ is non-zero for each fixed point $p \in Z^T$, and more generally that the equivariant local class $\eta_p Z$ is non-zero when p is a fully definite T -fixed point of Z . This is used to prove the above theorem in Section 4. Section 5 interprets the theorem for flag varieties, which is used in Section 6 to prove the conjecture about curve neighborhoods from [BCP23]. Finally, Section 7 interprets our theorem for certain horospherical varieties.

2. TORUS ACTIONS

We work with varieties over a fixed algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} . Varieties are reduced but not necessarily irreducible. A point will always mean a closed point. The multiplicative group of \mathbb{K} is denoted $\mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$. An (algebraic) torus is a group variety isomorphic to $(\mathbb{G}_m)^r$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $T = (\mathbb{G}_m)^r$ be an algebraic torus. Any rational representation V of T is a direct sum $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda} V_{\lambda}$ of weight spaces $V_{\lambda} = \{v \in V \mid t.v = \lambda(t)v \ \forall t \in T\}$ defined by characters $\lambda : T \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$. The *weights* of V are the characters λ for which $V_{\lambda} \neq 0$. The group of all characters of T is called the *character lattice* and is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^r . Given a T -variety X , we let $X^T \subset X$ denote the closed subvariety of T -fixed points. A subvariety $Z \subset X$ is called *T -stable* if $t.z \in Z$ for all $t \in T$ and $z \in Z$. In this case Z is itself a T -variety.

The T -equivariant (operational) Chow cohomology ring of X will be denoted $H_T^*(X)$, see [Ful98, Ch. 17] and [AF24]. This is an algebra over the ring $H_T^*(\text{point})$, which may be identified with the symmetric algebra of the character lattice of T . Given a class $\sigma \in H_T^*(X)$ and a T -fixed point $p \in X^T$, we let $\sigma_p \in H_T^*(\text{point})$ denote the pullback of σ along the inclusion $\{p\} \rightarrow X$. When X is defined over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, Chow cohomology can be replaced with singular cohomology. In fact, our arguments will only depend on equivariant classes $[Z]_p \in H_T^*(\text{point})$ obtained by restricting the class of a T -stable closed subvariety $Z \subset X$ to a fixed point, and these restrictions are independent of the chosen cohomology theory. Similarly, we can use cohomology with coefficients in either \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Q} .

Definition 2.1. The T -fixed point $p \in X$ is *non-degenerate* in X if T acts with non-zero weights on the Zariski tangent space $T_p X$. The point p is *fully definite* if all T -weights of $T_p X$ belong to a strict half-space of the character lattice of T .

Equivalently, $p \in X^T$ is fully definite in X if and only if there exists a 1-parameter subgroup $\rho : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow T$ such that \mathbb{G}_m acts with strictly positive weights on $T_p X$ through ρ . For example, if $X = G/P$ is a flag variety and $T \subset G$ is a maximal torus,

then all points of X^T are fully definite in X (see Section 5). Any non-degenerate T -fixed point must be isolated in X^T .

Remark 2.2. If X is a normal quasi-projective T -variety, then $X^{\mathbb{G}_m} = X^T$ holds for all general 1-parameter subgroups $\rho : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow T$. Here a 1-parameter subgroup is called general if it avoids finitely many hyperplanes in the lattice of all 1-parameter subgroups. This follows because X admits an equivariant embedding $X \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$, where V is a rational representation of T [Kam66, Mum65, Sum74].

3. EQUIVARIANT LOCAL CLASSES

Let Z be a T -variety, fix $p \in Z^T$, and let $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathcal{O}_{Z,p}$ be the maximal ideal in the local ring of p . Then the tangent cone $C_p Z = \text{Spec}(\bigoplus \mathfrak{m}^i/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1})$ is a T -stable closed subscheme of the Zariski tangent space $T_p Z = (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)^\vee = \text{Spec}(\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2))$. The *local class* of Z at p is defined by (see [AF24, §17.4])

$$(1) \quad \eta_p Z = [C_p Z] \in H_T^*(T_p Z) = H_T^*(\text{point}).$$

When p is a non-singular point of Z , we have $\eta_p Z = 1$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let Z be a T -variety and let $p \in Z^T$ be fully definite in Z . Then $\eta_p Z \neq 0$ in $H_T^*(\text{point})$.*

Proof. We may assume that p is a singular point of Z , so that $C_p Z$ has positive dimension. Choose $\mathbb{G}_m \subset T$ such that \mathbb{G}_m acts with positive weights on $T_p Z$. It suffices to show that the class of $C_p Z$ is non-zero in $H_{\mathbb{G}_m}^*(T_p Z)$. Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis of $T_p Z$ consisting of eigenvectors of \mathbb{G}_m . Then the action of \mathbb{G}_m is given by $t.v_i = t^{a_i} v_i$ for positive integers $a_1, \dots, a_n > 0$. Set $A = \prod_{i=1}^n a_i$, and let \mathbb{G}_m act on $U = \mathbb{K}^n$ by $t.u = t^A u$. Then the map $\phi : T_p Z \rightarrow U$ defined by

$$\phi(c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n) = (c_1^{A/a_1}, \dots, c_n^{A/a_n})$$

is a finite \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant morphism. By [EG98, Thm. 4] we obtain

$$H_{\mathbb{G}_m}^*(U \setminus \{0\}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = H^*(\mathbb{P}U) \otimes \mathbb{Q},$$

where $\mathbb{P}U = (U \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{G}_m \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is the projective space of lines in U , and

$$\phi_*[C_p Z] |_{U \setminus \{0\}} = \deg(\phi) [\phi(C_p Z \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{G}_m] \in H^*(\mathbb{P}U) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

The result now follows from the fact that every non-empty closed subvariety of projective space defines a non-zero Chow class. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let X be a T -variety, $Z \subset X$ a T -stable closed subvariety, and $p \in Z^T$ a T -fixed point of Z . If p is non-singular and non-degenerate in X , and p is fully definite in Z , then $[Z]_p \neq 0 \in H_T^*(\text{point})$.*

Proof. By [AF24, Prop. 17.4.1] we have $[Z]_p = c_m(T_p X/T_p Z) \cdot \eta_p Z$, where $m = \dim T_p X - \dim T_p Z$. The result therefore follows from Proposition 3.1, noting that T acts with non-zero weights on $T_p X/T_p Z$. \square

The following example rules out some potential generalizations of Corollary 3.2.

Example 3.3. Let \mathbb{G}_m act on \mathbb{A}^4 by

$$t.(a, b, c, d) = (ta, tb, t^{-1}c, t^{-1}d).$$

Set $Z = V(ad - bc) \subset \mathbb{A}^4$, and let $p = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ be the origin in \mathbb{A}^4 . Then $T_p Z = T_p \mathbb{A}^4 = \mathbb{A}^4$ and $C_p Z = Z$. Since \mathbb{G}_m acts trivially on the equation $ad - bc$, we have $\eta_p Z = [Z] = 0$ in $H_{\mathbb{G}_m}^*(\mathbb{A}^4)$ (see [AF24, §2.3]).

4. RIGIDITY OF BIALYNICKI-BIRULA CELLS

The multiplicative group \mathbb{G}_m is identified with the complement of the origin in \mathbb{A}^1 . Given a morphism of varieties $f : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow X$, we write $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f(t) = p$ if f can be extended to a morphism $\bar{f} : \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow X$ such that $\bar{f}(0) = p$. This limit is unique when it exists, and it always exists when X is complete.

Let X be a non-singular projective \mathbb{G}_m -variety such that $X^{\mathbb{G}_m}$ is finite. Then each fixed point $p \in X^{\mathbb{G}_m}$ defines the (positive) Bialynicki-Birula cell

$$X_p^+ = \{x \in X \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \cdot x = p\}.$$

A negative cell is similarly defined by $X_p^- = \{x \in X \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{-1} \cdot x = p\}$. By [BB73, Thm. 4.4], these cells give a locally closed decomposition of X ,

$$(2) \quad X = \bigcup_{p \in X^{\mathbb{G}_m}} X_p^+,$$

that is, a disjoint union of locally closed subsets. In addition, each cell X_p^+ is isomorphic to an affine space.

Lemma 4.1. *For any \mathbb{G}_m -stable closed subset $Z \subset X$, we have $Z \subset \bigcup_{p \in Z^{\mathbb{G}_m}} X_p^+$.*

Proof. For any point $x \in Z$, we have $x \in X_p^+$, where $p = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \cdot x \in Z^{\mathbb{G}_m}$. \square

Definition 4.2. A locally closed decomposition $X = \bigcup X_i$ will be called a *stratification* if each subset X_i is non-singular and its closure $\overline{X_i}$ is a union of subsets X_j of the decomposition.

The Bialynicki-Birula decomposition (2) typically fails to be a stratification, for example when X is the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 at the point $[0, 1, 0]$, where \mathbb{G}_m acts on \mathbb{P}^2 by $t \cdot [x, y, z] = [x, ty, t^2z]$, see [BB73, Ex. 1]. Lemma 4.1 shows that the Bialynicki-Birula decomposition is a stratification if and only if $X_p^+ \subset \overline{X_p^+}$ holds for each fixed point $q \in (\overline{X_p^+})^{\mathbb{G}_m}$. It was proved in [BB73, Thm. 5] that the decomposition is a stratification when each positive cell X_p^+ meets each negative cell X_q^- transversally. In particular, this holds when $X = G/P$ is a flag variety and $\mathbb{G}_m \subset G$ is a general 1-parameter subgroup, see [McG02, Ex. 4.2] or Lemma 5.1. On the other hand, if both the positive and negative Bialynicki-Birula decompositions are stratifications, then all cells X_p^+ and X_q^- of complementary dimensions meet transversally, hence the positive and negative cell closures form a pair of Poincaré dual bases of the cohomology ring $H^*(X)$. In this paper we utilize the following application, which follows from Lemma 4.1.

Corollary 4.3. *Assume that the Bialynicki-Birula decomposition of X is a stratification. If $Z \subset X$ is a \mathbb{G}_m -stable closed subvariety such that $Z^{\mathbb{G}_m} \subset \overline{X_p^+}$ for some $p \in X^{\mathbb{G}_m}$, then $Z \subset \overline{X_p^+}$.*

The following result says that, under suitable assumptions, the Bialynicki-Birula cell closures are determined by their equivariant cohomology classes.

Theorem 4.4. *Let T be an algebraic torus and X a non-singular projective T -variety such that all fixed points $p \in X^T$ are fully definite in X . Assume that $X^T = X^{\mathbb{G}_m}$ for some $\mathbb{G}_m \subset T$, such that the associated Bialynicki-Birula decomposition*

of X is a stratification. If $Z \subset X$ is any T -stable closed subvariety such that $[Z] = c[\overline{X_p^+}]$ holds in $H_T^*(X)$ for some $p \in X^T$ and $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $Z = \overline{X_p^+}$.

Proof. The cell X_p^+ is T -stable because T is commutative and $p \in X^T$. It follows from [Corollary 3.2](#) that $\overline{Z^T} = (\overline{X_p^+})^T = \{p \in X^T : [Z]_p \neq 0\}$, after which [Corollary 4.3](#) shows that $Z \subset \overline{X_p^+}$. The result follows from this, as the assumptions imply that Z and $\overline{X_p^+}$ have the same dimension. \square

Question 4.5. We do not know whether [Corollary 4.3](#) and [Theorem 4.4](#) are true without the assumption that the Bialynicki-Birula decomposition of X is a stratification. It would be very interesting to settle this question.

Example 4.6. Let X be a non-singular projective toric variety, with torus $T \subset X$, and choose $\mathbb{G}_m \subset T$ such that $X^T = X^{\mathbb{G}_m}$. We show that the conclusion of [Theorem 4.4](#) holds, even though the Bialynicki-Birula decomposition is rarely a stratification. The T -orbits $O_\tau \subset X$ correspond to the cones τ of the fan defining X , and we have $O_\sigma \subset O_\tau$ if and only if τ is a face of σ , see [[Ful93](#), §3.1]. In particular, the T -fixed points in X correspond to the maximal cones σ . Since X is complete, each cone τ is the intersection of the maximal cones σ corresponding to the T -fixed points in $\overline{O_\tau}$. Since all cell closures $\overline{X_p^+}$ are T -orbit closures, it suffices to show that each orbit closure $\overline{O_\tau}$ is determined by its equivariant class. All fixed points $p \in X^T$ are fully definite in X , as the weights of $T_p X$ form a basis of the character lattice of T . It is therefore enough to prove that, if $Z \subset X$ is a T -stable closed subvariety such that $Z^T \subset \overline{O_\tau}$, then $Z \subset \overline{O_\tau}$. We may assume that Z is irreducible, in which case $Z = \overline{O_\kappa}$ is also a T -orbit closure. The claim now follows because κ is the intersection of the maximal cones given by the fixed points in Z^T , hence $\tau \subset \kappa$. Now assume that X has dimension two. By [[BB73](#), Cor. 1 of Thm. 4.5], there is a unique repulsive fixed point $b \in X^{\mathbb{G}_m}$ with $X_b^+ = \{b\}$, and a unique attractive fixed point $a \in X^{\mathbb{G}_m}$ such that X_a^+ is a dense open subset of X . For all other fixed points $p \in X^{\mathbb{G}_m} \setminus \{a, b\}$, the cell $X_p^+ \cong \mathbb{A}^1$ is a line. If the Bialynicki-Birula decomposition of X is a stratification, then $b \in \overline{X_p^+}$ for all $p \in X^{\mathbb{G}_m}$. The T -fixed point b corresponds to a maximal cone σ , and b is connected to exactly two T -stable lines corresponding to the rays forming the boundary of this cone. We deduce that X contains at most four T -fixed points. Higher dimensional toric varieties for which the Bialynicki-Birula decomposition is not a stratification can be constructed by taking products. We do not know if the conclusion of [Corollary 4.3](#) holds for toric varieties.

5. RIGIDITY OF SCHUBERT VARIETIES

Let $X = G/P = \{g.P \mid g \in G\}$ be a flag variety defined by a connected reductive linear algebraic group G and a parabolic subgroup P . Fix a maximal torus T and a Borel subgroup B such that $T \subset B \subset P \subset G$. The opposite Borel subgroup $B^- \subset G$ is defined by $B^- \cap B = T$. Let Φ be the root system of non-zero weights of $T_1 G$, the tangent space of G at the identity element. The positive roots Φ^+ are the non-zero weights of $T_1 B$. Let $W = N_G(T)/T$ be the Weyl group of G , $W_P = N_P(T)/T$ the Weyl group of P , and let $W^P \subset W$ be the subset of minimal representatives of the cosets in W/W_P . The set of T -fixed points in X is given by $X^T = \{w.P \mid w \in W\}$, where each point $w.P$ depends only on the coset wW_P

in W/W_P . Each fixed point $w.P$ defines the Schubert varieties $X_w = \overline{Bw.P}$ and $X^w = \overline{B^-w.P}$. For $w \in W^P$ we have $\dim(X_w) = \text{codim}(X^w, X) = \ell(w)$. Any G -translate of a Schubert variety will be called a Schubert variety.

Recall that a cocharacter $\rho : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow T$ is *strongly dominant* if $\langle \alpha, \rho \rangle > 0$ for all positive roots $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, where $\langle \alpha, \rho \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ is defined by $\alpha(\rho(t)) = t^{\langle \alpha, \rho \rangle}$ for $t \in \mathbb{G}_m$. The following lemma is well known, see e.g. [McG02, Ex. 4.2] or [BP, Cor. 3.14].

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\rho : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow T$ be a strongly dominant 1-parameter subgroup. Then the associated Bialynicki-Birula cells of X are given by $X_p^+ = B.p$, for $p \in X^T$.*

Proof. Let \mathbb{G}_m act on G by conjugation through ρ . The fixed point set for this action is [Spr98, (7.1.2), (7.6.4)]

$$T = \{g \in G \mid tgt^{-1} = g \ \forall t \in \mathbb{G}_m\},$$

and the corresponding Bialynicki-Birula cell is [Spr98, (8.2.1)]

$$B = \{g \in G \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} tgt^{-1} \in T\}.$$

This implies $B.p \subset X_p^+$ for any fixed point $p \in X^{\mathbb{G}_m}$. We deduce from (2) that the positive Bialynicki-Birula cells in X are the B -orbits. \square

Corollary 5.2. *Let $X = G/P$ be a flag variety, $T \subset G$ a maximal torus, $\Omega \subset X$ a T -stable Schubert variety, and $Z \subset X$ a T -stable closed subvariety.*

- (a) *We have $Z^T = \{p \in X^T : [Z]_p \neq 0 \in H_T^*(\text{point})\}$.*
- (b) *If $Z^T \subset \Omega$, then $Z \subset \Omega$.*
- (c) *If $[Z] = c[\Omega]$ holds in $H_T^*(X)$, with $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $Z = \Omega$.*

Proof. The B -fixed point $p = 1.P$ is fully definite in X because the weights of $T_p X$ are a subset of the negative roots of G . Since W acts transitively on X^T , this implies that all T -fixed points are fully definite in X . The result now follows from Corollary 3.2, Corollary 4.3, Theorem 4.4, and Lemma 5.1, noting that the Bruhat decomposition $X = \bigcup_w Bw.P$ is a stratification. \square

The Bruhat order on the Weyl group W is defined by $u \leq w$ if and only if $X_u \subset X_w$. Any element $u \in W$ has a unique factorization $u = u^P u_P$ for which $u^P \in W^P$ and $u_P \in W_P$, called the *parabolic factorization* with respect to P . This factorization is *reduced* in the sense that $\ell(u) = \ell(u^P) + \ell(u_P)$. The parabolic factorization of the longest element $w_0 \in W$ is $w_0 = w_0^P w_{0,P}$, where w_0^P and $w_{0,P}$ are the longest elements in W^P and W_P , respectively. Since w_0 and $w_{0,P}$ are self-inverse, we have $w_{0,P} = w_0 w_0^P$. As preparation for the next section, we prove the following identity of Schubert varieties.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $Q \subset G$ be a parabolic subgroup containing B and set $w = w_0^Q$. Then $w^{-1}.X^w = X_{w_0 w}$.*

Proof. It follows from Corollary 5.2(b) that $X_{w_0, Q} = w_{0, Q}.X_{w_0, Q}$, as the T -fixed points of both Schubert varieties are $\{u.P \mid u \in W_Q\}$. By translating both sides by $w = w_0^Q$, we obtain $w.X_{w_0 w} = w_0.X_{w_0 w} = X^w$, as required. \square

6. SEIDEL NEIGHBORHOODS

In this section we prove a conjecture about curve neighborhoods from [BCP23]. Since this conjecture and its proof relies on the moduli space of stable maps, we will restrict our attention to varieties defined over the field $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers. As in Section 5, we let $X = G/P$ denote a flag variety.

For any effective degree $d \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, we let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}(X, d)$ denote the Kontsevich moduli space of 3-pointed stable maps to X of degree d and genus zero (see [FP97]). The evaluation map $\text{ev}_i : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}(X, d) \rightarrow X$, defined for $1 \leq i \leq 3$, sends a stable map to the image of the i -th marked point in its domain. Given two opposite Schubert varieties X_v and X^u , the (two-pointed) *curve neighborhood* $\Gamma_d(X_v, X^u)$ is the union of all stable curves of degree d in X connecting X_v and X^u :

$$\Gamma_d(X_v, X^u) = \text{ev}_3(\text{ev}_1^{-1}(X_v) \cap \text{ev}_2^{-1}(X^u)).$$

Let $\mathbb{Z}[q] = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{q^d : d \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \text{ effective}\}$ be the semigroup ring defined by the effective curve classes on X . The equivariant quantum cohomology ring of X is an algebra over $H_T^*(\text{point}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[q]$, which is defined by $\text{QH}_T(X) = H_T^*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[q]$ as a module. The quantum product of two classes $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in H_T^*(X)$ is given by

$$\sigma_1 \star \sigma_2 = \sum_d (\sigma_1 \star \sigma_2)_d q^d$$

where we set

$$(\sigma_1 \star \sigma_2)_d = \text{ev}_{3,*}(\text{ev}_1^* \sigma_1 \cdot \text{ev}_2^* \sigma_2),$$

using the evaluation maps from $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}(X, d)$.

A simple root $\gamma \in \Phi^+$ is called *cominuscule* if, when the highest root is written in the basis of simple roots, the coefficient of γ is one. The flag variety G/Q is cominuscule if Q is a maximal parabolic subgroup corresponding to a cominuscule simple root γ , that is, s_γ is the unique simple reflection in W^Q . Let $W^{\text{comin}} \subset W$ be the subset of point representatives of cominuscule flag varieties of G , together with the identity element:

$$W^{\text{comin}} = \{w_0^Q \mid G/Q \text{ is cominuscule}\} \cup \{1\}.$$

This is a subgroup of W , which is isomorphic to the quotient of the coweight lattice of Φ modulo the coroot lattice [Bou81, Prop. VI.2.6]. The isomorphism sends w_0^Q to the class of the fundamental coweight ω_γ^\vee corresponding to Q . Notice that γ is the unique simple root for which $w_0^Q s_\gamma < w_0^Q$.

The *Seidel representation* of W^{comin} on $\text{QH}(X)/\langle q-1 \rangle$ is defined by $w.[X^u] = [X^w] \star [X^u]$ for $w \in W^{\text{comin}}$ and $u \in W$ [Sei97, Bel04, CMP09]. In fact, we have

$$(3) \quad [X^w] \star [X^u] = q^d [X^{wu}]$$

in the (non-equivariant) quantum ring $\text{QH}(X)$, where $d = \omega_\gamma^\vee - u^{-1} \cdot \omega_\gamma^\vee \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Here we identify the group $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ with a quotient of the coroot lattice, by mapping each simple coroot β^\vee to the curve class $[X_{s_\beta}]$ if $s_\beta \in W^P$, and to zero otherwise. The identity (3) also holds in the quantum K -theory ring $\text{QK}(X)$ when X is cominuscule [BCP23], and an equivariant version of (3) was proved in [CMP09, CP23].

It follows from (3) and the definition of the quantum cohomology ring $\text{QH}(X)$ that $[\Gamma_d(X_{w_0 w}, X^u)] = [X^{wu}]$ holds in the cohomology ring $H^*(X)$. Conjecture 3.11 from [BCP23] asserts that $\Gamma_d(X_{w_0 w}, X^u)$ is in fact equal to the translated Schubert

variety $w^{-1}.X^{wu}$. This is proved below as a consequence of [Corollary 5.2](#) and the equivariant version of [\(3\)](#) from [\[CMP09, CP23\]](#). This result was known when $X = G/P$ is cominusculé and $w = w_0^P$ [\[BCP23\]](#), when X is a Grassmannian of type A and $[X^w]$ is a special Seidel class [\[LLSY22, Cor. 4.6\]](#), when X is any flag variety of type A [\[Tar23\]](#), and when X is the symplectic Grassmannian $SG(2, 2n)$ [\[BPX, Thm. 8.1\]](#).

Theorem 6.1. *Let $X = G/P$ be a complex flag variety. Let $u \in W$, $w \in W^{\text{comin}}$, let γ be the simple root defined by $ws_\gamma < w$, and set $d = \omega_\gamma^\vee - u^{-1}.\omega_\gamma^\vee \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Then $\Gamma_d(X_{w_0w}, X^u) = w^{-1}.X^{wu}$.*

Proof. By the definition of the quantum product, we have

$$([X_{w_0w}] \star [X^u])_d = c[\Gamma_d(X_{w_0w}, X^u)]$$

in $H_T^*(X)$, where c is the degree of the map $\text{ev}_3 : \text{ev}_1^{-1}(X_{w_0w}) \cap \text{ev}_2^{-1}(X^u) \rightarrow \Gamma_d(X_{w_0w}, X^u)$, interpreted as zero if the general fibers of this map have positive dimension. On the other hand, by [\[CP23, Thm. 1.1\]](#) we have

$$[X^w] \star [w.X^u] = q^d[X^{wu}].$$

By applying w^{-1} and using [Lemma 5.3](#), we obtain

$$[X_{w_0w}] \star [X^u] = q^d[w^{-1}.X^{wu}].$$

We deduce that $c[\Gamma_d(X_{w_0w}, X^u)] = [w^{-1}.X^{wu}]$ holds in $H_T^*(X)$. The result therefore follows from [Corollary 5.2\(c\)](#). \square

7. HOROSPHERICAL VARIETIES OF PICARD RANK 1

In this section we interpret [Theorem 4.4](#) for a class of horospherical varieties that includes all non-singular projective horospherical varieties of Picard rank 1 (except flag varieties) by Pasquier's classification [\[Pas09\]](#). Let G be a connected reductive linear algebraic group, $B \subset G$ a Borel subgroup, and $T \subset B$ a maximal torus. Let V_1 and V_2 be irreducible rational representations of G , and let $v_i \in V_i$ be a highest weight vector of weight λ_i , for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. We assume that $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$. Define

$$X = \overline{G.[v_1 + v_2]} \subset \mathbb{P}(V_1 \oplus V_2).$$

If X is normal, then X is a horospherical variety of rank 1, see [\[Tim11, Ch. 7\]](#). We will assume that X is non-singular and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, even though many claims hold more generally; this implies that X is fibered over a flag variety G/P_{12} with non-singular horospherical fibers of Picard rank 1, see [Remark 7.4](#). Any G -translate of a B -orbit closure in X will be called a *Schubert variety*. Our next result uses the action of $T \times \mathbb{G}_m$ on X defined by $(t, z).[u_1 + u_2] = t.[u_1 + zu_2]$, for $u_i \in V_i$. We have $X^{T \times \mathbb{G}_m} = X^T$.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $\Omega \subset X$ be a T -stable Schubert variety, and let $Z \subset X$ be a T -stable closed subvariety.*

- (a) *We have $Z^T = \{p \in X^T : [Z]_p \neq 0 \in H_{T \times \mathbb{G}_m}^*(\text{point})\}$.*
- (b) *If $Z^T \subset \Omega$, then $Z \subset \Omega$.*
- (c) *If $[Z] = c[\Omega]$ holds in $H_{T \times \mathbb{G}_m}^*(X)$, with $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $Z = \Omega$.*

Before proving [Theorem 7.1](#), we sketch elementary proofs of some basic facts about X , which are also consequences of general results about spherical varieties, see [\[Tim11, Per14, Pas09\]](#) and the references therein.

Given an element $[u_1 + u_2] \in \mathbb{P}(V_1 \oplus V_2)$, we will always assume $u_i \in V_i$, and i will always mean an element from $\{1, 2\}$. We consider $\mathbb{P}(V_i)$ as a subvariety of $\mathbb{P}(V_1 \oplus V_2)$. Let $\pi_i : \mathbb{P}(V_1 \oplus V_2) \setminus \mathbb{P}(V_{3-i}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V_i)$ denote the projection from V_{3-i} , defined by $\pi_i([u_1 + u_2]) = [u_i]$. Set $X_0 = G \cdot [v_1 + v_2] \subset \mathbb{P}(V_1 \oplus V_2)$, $X_i = G \cdot [v_i] \subset \mathbb{P}(V_i)$, and $X_{12} = G \cdot ([v_1], [v_2]) \subset \mathbb{P}(V_1) \times \mathbb{P}(V_2)$. Since v_i is a highest weight vector, the stabilizer $P_i = G_{[v_i]}$ is a parabolic subgroup containing B . It follows that $X_i \cong G/P_i$ and $X_{12} \cong G/(P_1 \cap P_2)$ are flag varieties. In particular, X_i is closed in $\mathbb{P}(V_i)$, and X_{12} is closed in $\mathbb{P}(V_1) \times \mathbb{P}(V_2)$. Notice also that $X_0 \cong G/H$, where $H \subset P_1 \cap P_2$ is the kernel of the character $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 : P_1 \cap P_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$. This shows that X_0 is a \mathbb{G}_m -bundle over $G/(P_1 \cap P_2)$, so X is a non-singular projective horospherical variety of rank 1 (but not necessarily of Picard rank 1, see [Remark 7.4](#)).

Let W be the Weyl group of G , and recall the notation from [Section 5](#).

Lemma 7.2. *We have $X = X_0 \cup X_1 \cup X_2$. The B -orbit closures in X are*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{Bw \cdot [v_i]} &= \bigcup_{w' \leq w} Bw' \cdot [v_i] \quad \text{for } w \in W^{P_i} \text{ and } i \in \{1, 2\}, \text{ and} \\ \overline{Bw \cdot [v_1 + v_2]} &= \bigcup_{w' \leq w} (Bw' \cdot [v_1 + v_2] \cup Bw' \cdot [v_1] \cup Bw' \cdot [v_2]) \quad \text{for } w \in W^{P_1 \cap P_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Set $\mathbb{P}_0 = \mathbb{P}(V_1 \oplus V_2) \setminus (\mathbb{P}(V_1) \cup \mathbb{P}(V_2))$. Since $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, it follows that $\overline{T \cdot [v_1 + v_2]}$ is the line through $[v_1]$ and $[v_2]$ in $\mathbb{P}(V_1 \oplus V_2)$. This implies $X_0 = (\pi_1 \times \pi_2)^{-1}(X_{12})$, hence X_0 is closed in \mathbb{P}_0 , and $X_0 = X \cap \mathbb{P}_0$. We also have $X_i \subset X \cap \mathbb{P}(V_i) \subset \pi_i^{-1}(X_i) \cap \mathbb{P}(V_i) = \overline{X_i}$, which proves the first claim. To finish the proof, it suffices to show $w' \cdot [v_i] \in \overline{Bw \cdot [v_1 + v_2]}$ if and only if $w' \leq w$ (when $w' \in W^{P_i}$). The implication ‘if’ holds because $w' \cdot [v_i] \in \overline{T w' \cdot [v_1 + v_2]}$, and ‘only if’ holds because $\pi_i(\overline{Bw \cdot [v_1 + v_2]} \setminus X_{3-i}) \subset \overline{Bw \cdot [v_i]}$. \square

Define an alternative action of P_i on V_{3-i} by $p \bullet u = \lambda_i(p)^{-1} p \cdot u$, and use this action to form the space

$$G \times^{P_i} V_{3-i} = \{[g, u] : g \in G, u \in V_{3-i}\} / \{[gp, u] = [g, p \bullet u] : p \in P_i\}.$$

Define a morphism of varieties $\phi_i : G \times^{P_i} V_{3-i} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V_1 \oplus V_2)$ by $\phi_i([g, u]) = g \cdot [v_i + u]$. This is well defined since $p \cdot (v_i + u) = \lambda_i(p)(v_i + p \bullet u)$ holds for $p \in P_i$ and $u \in V_{3-i}$. Set $E_i = (P_i \bullet v_{3-i}) \cup \{0\} \subset V_{3-i}$. Noting that E_i is the cone over $P_i \cdot [v_{3-i}] \cong P_i/(P_1 \cap P_2)$, it follows that E_i is closed in V_{3-i} .

Lemma 7.3. *The restricted map $\phi_i : G \times^{P_i} E_i \rightarrow X_0 \cup X_i$ is an isomorphism of varieties. In particular, $E_i \subset V_{3-i}$ is a linear subspace.*

Proof. Assume $\phi_i([g, u]) = \phi_i([g', u'])$, and set $p = g^{-1}g'$. We obtain $p \in P_i$ and $[v_i + u] = p \cdot [v_i + u'] = [v_i + p \bullet u']$ in $\mathbb{P}(V_1 \oplus V_2)$, hence $[g, u] = [g, p \bullet u'] = [gp, u'] = [g', u']$ in $G \times^{P_i} V_{3-i}$. We deduce that $\phi_i : G \times^{P_i} E_i \rightarrow X_0 \cup X_i$ is bijective, so the lemma follows from Zariski’s main theorem, using that $X_0 \cup X_i$ is non-singular. \square

Proof of [Theorem 7.1](#). Fix a strongly dominant cocharacter $\rho : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow T$. For $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $\rho_a : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow T \times \mathbb{G}_m$ by $\rho_a(z) = (\rho(z), z^a)$. The resulting action of \mathbb{G}_m on X is given by $\rho_a(z) \cdot [u_1 + u_2] = \rho(z) \cdot [u_1 + z^a u_2]$.

It follows from [Lemma 7.3](#) that $[v_1]$ has a $T \times \mathbb{G}_m$ -stable open neighborhood in X isomorphic to $B^-. [v_1] \times E_1$, where the action is given by $(t, z).(x, u) = (t.x, t \bullet zu)$. If a is sufficiently negative, then \mathbb{G}_m acts through ρ_a on $T_{[v_1]}X = T_{[v_1]}X_1 \oplus E_1$ with strictly negative weights, hence $[v_1]$ is fully definite in X for the action of $T \times \mathbb{G}_m$. A symmetric argument shows that $[v_2]$ is fully definite. Since all T -fixed points in X are obtained from $[v_1]$ or $[v_2]$ by the action of the Weyl group W , it follows that all T -fixed points are fully definite. Part (a) therefore follows from [Corollary 3.2](#).

For a sufficiently negative, it follows from [Lemma 5.1](#) that the Bialynicki-Birula cells of X defined by ρ_a are

$$X_{w.[v_1]}^+ = Bw.[v_1] \quad \text{and} \quad X_{w.[v_2]}^+ = Bw.[v_1 + v_2] \cup Bw.[v_2].$$

These cells form a stratification of X by [Lemma 7.2](#). Parts (b) and (c) therefore follow from [Corollary 4.3](#) and [Theorem 4.4](#) when Ω is a translate of $\overline{Bw.[v_1]}$ or $\overline{Bw.[v_1 + v_2]}$ for some $w \in W$. A symmetric argument proves (b) and (c) when Ω is a translate of $\overline{Bw.[v_2]}$, which completes the proof. \square

Remark 7.4. The exact sequence of [[Per14](#), Thm. 3.2.4] implies that $\text{Pic}(X)$ is a free abelian group of rank equal to the rank of X (which is one) plus the number of B -stable prime divisors in X that do not contain a G -orbit. Any B -stable prime divisor meeting X_0 has the form $D = \overline{Bw_0 s_\beta.[v_1 + v_2]}$, where β is a simple root, and [Lemma 7.2](#) shows that D contains X_i if and only if β is a root of P_i . Let $P_{12} \subset G$ be the parabolic subgroup generated by P_1 and P_2 . We obtain $\text{Pic}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{Pic}(G/P_{12})$. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow G/P_{12}$ be the map defined by $\pi(g.[v_1 + v_2]) = \pi(g.[v_i]) = g.P_{12}$. This is a G -equivariant morphism of varieties, as its restriction to $X_0 \cup X_i$ is the composition of $\pi_i : X_0 \cup X_i \rightarrow G/P_i$ with the projection $G/P_i \rightarrow G/P_{12}$. The fibers of π are translates of $\pi^{-1}(1.P_{12}) = \overline{L.[v_1 + v_2]} \subset \mathbb{P}(V_1 \oplus V_2)$, where L is the Levi subgroup of P_{12} containing T . Moreover, $\pi^{-1}(1.P_{12})$ is a non-singular projective horospherical variety of Picard rank 1, so it is either a flag variety or one of the non-homogeneous spaces from Pasquier's classification [[Pas09](#)].

Question 7.5. Let X be any projective G -horospherical variety fibered over a flag variety G/P with non-singular horospherical fibers of Picard rank 1. Is it true that X is isomorphic to an orbit closure $\overline{G.[v_1 + v_2]} \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$, where V is a rational representation of G , and $v_1, v_2 \in V$ are highest weight vectors?

Example 7.6. Let X be the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 at a point p , let $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the morphism defined by projection from p , and set $G = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. Then X is G -horospherical and fibered over \mathbb{P}^1 with fiber \mathbb{P}^1 . This variety X is isomorphic to $\overline{G.[v_1 + v_2]} \subset \mathbb{P}(V_1 \oplus V_2)$, where v_1 is a highest weight vector in $V_1 = \mathbb{C}^2$, and v_2 is a highest weight vector in $V_2 = \text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{C}^2)$.

REFERENCES

- [AF24] D. Anderson and W. Fulton. *Equivariant cohomology in algebraic geometry*, volume 210 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2024.
- [BB73] A. Bialynicki-Birula. Some theorems on actions of algebraic groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 98:480–497, 1973.
- [BCP23] A. S. Buch, P.-E. Chaput, and N. Perrin. Seidel and Pieri products in cominuscule quantum K -theory. arXiv:2308.05307, 2023.
- [Bel04] P. Belkale. Transformation formulas in quantum cohomology. *Compos. Math.*, 140(3):778–792, 2004.

- [Bou81] N. Bourbaki. *Éléments de mathématique*. Masson, Paris, 1981. Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitres 4, 5 et 6. [Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 4, 5 and 6].
- [BP] V. Benedetti and N. Perrin. Cohomology of hyperplane sections of (co)adjoint varieties. arXiv:2207.02089.
- [BPX] V. Benedetti, N. Perrin, and W. Xu. Quantum K -theory of $IG(2, 2n)$. arXiv:2402.12003.
- [CMP09] P.-E. Chaput, L. Manivel, and N. Perrin. Affine symmetries of the equivariant quantum cohomology ring of rational homogeneous spaces. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 16(1):7–21, 2009.
- [Cos11] I. Coskun. Rigid and non-smoothable Schubert classes. *J. Differential Geom.*, 87(3):493–514, 2011.
- [Cos14] I. Coskun. Rigidity of Schubert classes in orthogonal Grassmannians. *Israel J. Math.*, 200(1):85–126, 2014.
- [Cos18] I. Coskun. Restriction varieties and the rigidity problem. In *Schubert varieties, equivariant cohomology and characteristic classes—IMPANGA 15*, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., pages 49–95. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2018.
- [CP23] P.-E. Chaput and N. Perrin. Affine symmetries in quantum cohomology: corrections and new results. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 30(2):341–374, 2023.
- [CR13] I. Coskun and C. Robles. Flexibility of Schubert classes. *Differential Geom. Appl.*, 31(6):759–774, 2013.
- [EG98] D. Edidin and W. Graham. Equivariant intersection theory. *Invent. Math.*, 131(3):595–634, 1998.
- [FP97] W. Fulton and R. Pandharipande. Notes on stable maps and quantum cohomology. In *Algebraic geometry—Santa Cruz 1995*, volume 62 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 45–96. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [Ful93] W. Fulton. *Introduction to toric varieties*, volume 131 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry.
- [Ful98] W. Fulton. *Intersection theory*, volume 2 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1998.
- [HM20] J. Hong and N. Mok. Schur rigidity of Schubert varieties in rational homogeneous manifolds of Picard number one. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 26(3):Paper No. 41, 27, 2020.
- [Hon05] J. Hong. Rigidity of singular Schubert varieties in $Gr(m, n)$. *J. Differential Geom.*, 71(1):1–22, 2005.
- [Hon07] J. Hong. Rigidity of smooth Schubert varieties in Hermitian symmetric spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 359(5):2361–2381, 2007.
- [Kam66] T. Kambayashi. Projective representation of algebraic linear groups of transformations. *Amer. J. Math.*, 88:199–205, 1966.
- [LLSY22] C. Li, Z. Liu, J. Song, and M. Yang. On Seidel representation in quantum K -theory of Grassmannians. arXiv:2211.16902, 2022.
- [McG02] W. M. McGovern. The adjoint representation and the adjoint action. In *Algebraic quotients. Torus actions and cohomology. The adjoint representation and the adjoint action*, volume 131 of *Encyclopaedia Math. Sci.*, pages 159–238. Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- [Mum65] D. Mumford. *Geometric invariant theory*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Neue Folge, Band 34. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1965.
- [Pas09] B. Pasquier. On some smooth projective two-orbit varieties with Picard number 1. *Math. Ann.*, 344(4):963–987, 2009.
- [Per14] N. Perrin. On the geometry of spherical varieties. *Transform. Groups*, 19(1):171–223, 2014.
- [RT12] C. Robles and D. The. Rigid Schubert varieties in compact Hermitian symmetric spaces. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 18(3):717–777, 2012.
- [Sei97] P. Seidel. π_1 of symplectic automorphism groups and invertibles in quantum homology rings. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 7(6):1046–1095, 1997.
- [Spr98] T. A. Springer. *Linear algebraic groups*, volume 9 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, second edition, 1998.
- [Sum74] H. Sumihiro. Equivariant completion. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 14:1–28, 1974.
- [Tar23] M. Tarigradschi. Curve neighborhoods of Seidel products in quantum cohomology. arXiv:2309.05985, 2023.

- [Tim11] D. A. Timashev. *Homogeneous spaces and equivariant embeddings*, volume 138 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011. Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups, 8.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, 110 FRELINGHUYSEN ROAD, PISCATAWAY, NJ 08854, USA

Email address: `asbuch@math.rutgers.edu`

DOMAINE SCIENTIFIQUE VICTOR GRIGNARD, 239, BOULEVARD DES AIGUILLETES, UNIVERSITÉ DE LORRAINE, B.P. 70239, F-54506 VANDOEUVRE-LÈS-NANCY CEDEX, FRANCE

Email address: `pierre-emmanuel.chaput@univ-lorraine.fr`

CENTRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES LAURENT SCHWARTZ (CMLS), CNRS, ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE, INSTITUT POLYTECHNIQUE DE PARIS, 91120 PALAISEAU, FRANCE

Email address: `nicolas.perrin.cmls@polytechnique.edu`