

# Wedderburn's Little Theorem

Gabriel Ribeiro

April 2021

The goal of these notes is to prove Wedderburn's little theorem, which states that a finite division ring is necessarily commutative, assuming some basic non-commutative algebra. Nevertheless, we explain in a first section everything from non-commutative algebra that is needed.

## 1 Basic non-commutative algebra

Let  $A$  be a finite division ring and  $k$  be its center. We observe that  $k$  is indeed a field since the inverse  $a^{-1}$  of an element  $a \in k^\times$  is automatically in  $k$ . Indeed, clearly  $a^{-1}$  commutes with 0 and, if  $b \in A^\times$ , then  $ab^{-1} = b^{-1}a$  implies

$$ba^{-1} = (ab^{-1})^{-1} = (b^{-1}a)^{-1} = a^{-1}b$$

and so  $a^{-1} \in k$ . Since  $A$  is finite,  $A$  is a finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebra. Moreover, as every non-zero element in  $A$  is invertible,  $A$  has no two-sided ideals other than  $\{0\}$  and  $A$  itself. In other words,  $A$  is a finite-dimensional *simple*<sup>1</sup>  $k$ -algebra.

The main classification result about such algebras is the theorem below, which we won't prove but whose proof is not very difficult and can be found in [1].

**Theorem 1** (Wedderburn). *Let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional simple algebra over a field  $k$ . There exist an integer  $n \geq 1$  and a division algebra  $D$  over  $k$  so that  $A$  is isomorphic to the matrix ring  $M_n(D)$ . Both  $n$  and  $D$  are uniquely determined up to isomorphism.*

Of course, since our ring  $A$  is already a division algebra over  $k$ , it suffices to take  $n = 1$  and  $D = A$ . But we'll use this result in a non-trivial way. Indeed, fix an algebraic closure  $\bar{k}$  of  $k$  and consider the  $\bar{k}$ -algebra  $A_{\bar{k}} := A \otimes_k \bar{k}$ . Since  $\dim_k A = \dim_{\bar{k}} A_{\bar{k}}$ , our new algebra is still finite-dimensional. Moreover,  $A_{\bar{k}}$  is also simple as the following result shows.

**Proposition 1.** *If  $A$  and  $B$  are simple  $k$ -algebras, then so is  $A \otimes_k B$ .*

<sup>1</sup>A ring is said to be simple if it has no non-trivial ideals.

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be a nonzero two-sided ideal of  $A \otimes_k B$ . We begin by supposing that there is a pure nonzero tensor  $a \otimes b$  in  $I$ . Since  $A$  is simple, the two-sided ideal  $AaA$  generated by  $a \neq 0$  coincides with  $A$ . Hence  $u_1 a v_1 + \dots + u_m a v_m = 1$  for some  $u_i, v_i \in A$ . It follows that

$$1 \otimes b = \left( \sum_{i=1}^m u_i a v_i \right) \otimes b = \sum_{i=1}^m (u_i \otimes 1) \cdot (a \otimes b) \cdot (v_i \otimes 1) \in I.$$

Reversing the roles of  $A$  and  $B$  we conclude that  $1 \otimes 1$  is in  $I$  as well and so  $I = A \otimes_k B$ .

Now, let  $x = a_1 \otimes b_1 + \dots + a_n \otimes b_n$  be a nonzero element of  $I \setminus$  with the smallest possible  $n$ . Both the sets  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  and  $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$  are linearly independent over  $k$  since otherwise we could rewrite this expression to make it shorter. Also, using the same trick as before we can suppose that  $a_1 = 1$ . Indeed, there are  $u_i, v_i \in A$  such that  $u_1 a_1 v_1 + \dots + u_m a_1 v_m = 1$ . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m u_i x v_i = \underbrace{\left( \sum_{i=1}^m u_i a_1 v_i \right)}_{=1} \otimes b_1 + \dots + \left( \sum_{i=1}^m u_i a_n v_i \right) \otimes b_n$$

is an element in  $I$  of the desired form.

Suppose that  $n > 1$ . We have that  $a_2 \notin k$  since otherwise  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  would be linearly dependent. Since the center of  $A$  is precisely  $k$ , there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $aa_2 \neq a_2a$ . Consider the element

$$(a \otimes 1) \cdot x - x \cdot (a \otimes 1) = (aa_2 - a_2a) \otimes b_2 + \dots + (aa_2 - a_2a) \otimes b_2 \in I.$$

Since  $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$  is linearly independent over  $k$  and  $aa_2 - a_2a \neq 0$ , this element is not zero, which contradicts the minimality of  $n$ . Ergo,  $n = 1$  and so the result follows from the special case that was proved.  $\square$

Before we apply Wedderburn's theorem to  $A_{\bar{k}}$ , we make one last observation. The only finite-dimensional division algebra  $D$  over  $\bar{k}$  is  $\bar{k}$  itself. Indeed, if  $\bar{k}$  is strictly contained in  $D$ , let  $a \in D \setminus \bar{k}$ . Then  $\bar{k}[a]$  is a finite, thus algebraic, proper extension of  $\bar{k}$ . This contradicts the hypothesis that  $\bar{k}$  is algebraically closed.

Applying this observation to Wedderburn's theorem, we have that  $A_{\bar{k}}$  is isomorphic to  $M_n(\bar{k})$  for some integer  $n \geq 1$ . This integer is said to be the *degree* of  $A$ . In particular,  $\dim_k A = \dim_{\bar{k}} A_{\bar{k}} = n^2$ .

By composing the base change  $A \rightarrow A \otimes_k \bar{k} \cong M_n(\bar{k})$  with the determinant, we obtain a multiplicative map  $N : A \rightarrow k$ , called the *reduced norm*. The fact that its image is contained in  $k$  follows from Galois descent and it is independent of the choice of the isomorphism  $A_{\bar{k}} \cong M_n(\bar{k})$  by the Noether-Skolem theorem. Since it is multiplicative, it maps  $A^\times$  to  $k^\times$ . In particular, if  $A \neq k$ , we get a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $n$  in  $n^2$  variables that has no non-trivial root.

## 2 The Chevalley-Warning theorem

In order to prove that our ring  $A$  is commutative, we have to prove that it is equal to its center. That is, we want to prove that its dimension over  $k$ , the integer we called  $n^2$ , is equal to 1. This will follow from our next result, which is incredible by itself.

**Theorem 2** (Chevalley-Warning). *Let  $k$  be a finite field of characteristic  $p$  and let  $P \in k[x_1, \dots, x_m]$  be a polynomial whose degree is strictly inferior to  $m$ . Then, the number of solutions in  $k^m$  of  $P(x_1, \dots, x_m) = 0$  is divisible by  $p$ . In particular, if  $P$  is homogeneous, then this equation has a non-trivial solution.*

*Proof.* We begin the proof by observing that, if  $k$  has  $q$  elements, the map  $x \mapsto x^{q-1}$  is the indicator function on  $k$ . It follows that the number of solutions to our equation is congruent modulo  $p$  to

$$N := \sum_{x \in k^n} (1 - P(x)^{q-1}).$$

We'll show that every monomial of  $1 - P^{q-1}$  sums to zero modulo  $p$ . Consider one such monomial  $ax_1^{e_1} \dots x_m^{e_m}$ . Since its degree is strictly less than  $(q-1)m$ , we have  $a_i < q-1$  for at least one  $i$ . Let  $j$  be this index. As

$$\sum_{(x_i) \in k^n} ax_1^{e_1} \dots x_m^{e_m} = a \prod_{i=1}^m \left( \sum_{x_i \in k} x_i^{a_i} \right),$$

it suffices to show that  $\sum_{x_j \in k} x_j^{a_j} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . If  $a_j = 0$ , this is clear. Else, let  $y$  be a generator of  $k^\times$ . Then,

$$\sum_{x_j \in k} x_j^{a_j} \equiv \sum_{x_j \in k^\times} x_j^{a_j} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{q-2} (y^k)^{a_j} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{q-2} (y^{a_j})^k \equiv \frac{1 - (y^{a_j})^{q-1}}{1 - y^{a_j}} \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

concluding our proof. □

**Corollary 1** (Wedderburn's little theorem). *Every finite division ring is commutative.*

*Proof.* As in the previous section, let  $A$  be a finite division ring and  $k$  be its center, which is a finite field. Suppose that the dimension of  $A$  over  $k$  is strictly bigger than 1. If  $e_1, \dots, e_{n^2}$  is a basis for  $A$  over  $k$ , the map given by the reduced norm

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_{n^2}) := N(x_1 e_1 + \dots + x_{n^2} e_{n^2})$$

is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $n$  in  $n^2$  variables that has no non-trivial root. Since  $n < n^2$ , the Chevalley-Warning applies and contradicts our supposition that  $n > 1$ . It follows that  $A = k$ . □

### 3 Related stuff

An important invariant of a field  $k$  is its *Brauer group*, composed by the finite-dimensional division algebras over  $k$  with a natural operation, which is well described in [1]. A restatement of Wedderburn's little theorem is the fact that the Brauer group of a finite field is trivial. Our proof of this result was based on the affirmation that every homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d$  with  $n$  variables has a non-trivial root whenever  $d < n$ . The fields satisfying such property are said to be *quasi-algebraically closed* or  $C_1$ .

As we saw, algebraically closed fields and finite fields are  $C_1$ . Moreover,  $C_1$  fields have trivial Brauer group. Another interesting class of  $C_1$  fields are those of transcendence degree 1 over an algebraically closed field, for example  $\mathbb{C}(x)$ . This result is called *Tsen's theorem*. The number-theoretic reader may be interested in knowing that the maximal unramified extension of a complete field with a discrete valuation and a perfect residue field is  $C_1$ . Moreover, a complete field with a discrete valuation and an algebraically closed residue field is also  $C_1$ . These latter results may be found in the classic [2].

### References

- [1] Philippe Gille and Tamás Szamuely. *Central simple algebras and Galois cohomology*. Vol. 165. Cambridge University Press, 2017.
- [2] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Local fields*. Vol. 67. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.