

L-functions, Tate's thesis

And all that *jazz*

Gabriel Ribeiro

École Polytechnique

Summary

1. L-functions
2. The local side of the force
3. The Poisson formula
4. The global side of the force

L-functions

Riemann zeta function

Consider the *Riemann zeta function* ζ , defined for $\Re(s) > 1$ by the formula

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}.$$

Riemann zeta function

Consider the *Riemann zeta function* ζ , defined for $\Re(s) > 1$ by the formula

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}.$$

Proposition - Product formula

We have that

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}}$$

for $\Re(s) > 1$.

Riemann zeta function

Consider the *Riemann zeta function* ζ , defined for $\Re(s) > 1$ by the formula

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}.$$

Proposition - Product formula

We have that

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}}$$

for $\Re(s) > 1$.

In particular ζ has no zeros on the half-plane $\Re(s) > 1$.

Riemann zeta function - Product formula

Observe that

$$\zeta(s) = 1 + \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{3^s} + \frac{1}{4^s} + \frac{1}{5^s} + \dots$$
$$2^{-s}\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{4^s} + \frac{1}{6^s} + \frac{1}{8^s} + \frac{1}{10^s} + \dots$$

Riemann zeta function - Product formula

Observe that

$$\zeta(s) = 1 + \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{3^s} + \frac{1}{4^s} + \frac{1}{5^s} + \dots$$
$$2^{-s}\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{4^s} + \frac{1}{6^s} + \frac{1}{8^s} + \frac{1}{10^s} + \dots$$

so that

$$(1 - 2^{-s})\zeta(s) = 1 + \frac{1}{3^s} + \frac{1}{5^s} + \frac{1}{7^s} + \frac{1}{9^s} + \dots$$

has no terms of the form n^{-s} with n even.

Riemann zeta function - Product formula

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(s) &= 1 + \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{3^s} + \frac{1}{4^s} + \frac{1}{5^s} + \dots \\ 2^{-s}\zeta(s) &= \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{4^s} + \frac{1}{6^s} + \frac{1}{8^s} + \frac{1}{10^s} + \dots\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(1 - 2^{-s})\zeta(s) = 1 + \frac{1}{3^s} + \frac{1}{5^s} + \frac{1}{7^s} + \frac{1}{9^s} + \dots$$

has no terms of the form n^{-s} with n even. Similarly,

$$(1 - 3^{-s})(1 - 2^{-s})\zeta(s) = 1 + \frac{1}{5^s} + \frac{1}{7^s} + \frac{1}{11^s} + \frac{1}{13^s} + \dots$$

has no terms with factors of 2 or 3.

Riemann zeta function - Product formula

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(s) &= 1 + \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{3^s} + \frac{1}{4^s} + \frac{1}{5^s} + \dots \\ 2^{-s}\zeta(s) &= \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{4^s} + \frac{1}{6^s} + \frac{1}{8^s} + \frac{1}{10^s} + \dots\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(1 - 2^{-s})\zeta(s) = 1 + \frac{1}{3^s} + \frac{1}{5^s} + \frac{1}{7^s} + \frac{1}{9^s} + \dots$$

has no terms of the form n^{-s} with n even. Similarly,

$$(1 - 3^{-s})(1 - 2^{-s})\zeta(s) = 1 + \frac{1}{5^s} + \frac{1}{7^s} + \frac{1}{11^s} + \frac{1}{13^s} + \dots$$

has no terms with factors of 2 or 3. *We continue ad infinitum.*

We set $\xi(s) := \pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)\zeta(s)$.

Proposition - Functional equation

The function ζ has an analytic extension to \mathbb{C} , holomorphic except for a simple pole at $s = 1$ with residue 1. Moreover, we have that $\xi(s) = \xi(1 - s)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

We set $\xi(s) := \pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)\zeta(s)$.

Proposition - Functional equation

The function ζ has an analytic extension to \mathbb{C} , holomorphic except for a simple pole at $s = 1$ with residue 1. Moreover, we have that $\xi(s) = \xi(1 - s)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

In particular, since Γ has no zeros and simple poles at the negative integers, ζ has simple zeros at the even negative integers. All other zeros should be on the strip $0 \leq \Re(s) \leq 1$.

Let $\theta(u) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp(-\pi n^2 u)$. Since the Fourier transform of $x \mapsto \exp(-\pi x^2 u)$ is $y \mapsto \exp(-\pi y^2 / u) / \sqrt{u}$, the Poisson formula gives that

$$\theta(1/u) = \sqrt{u} \theta(u).$$

Riemann zeta function - Functional equation

Let $\theta(u) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp(-\pi n^2 u)$. Since the Fourier transform of $x \mapsto \exp(-\pi x^2 u)$ is $y \mapsto \exp(-\pi y^2 / u) / \sqrt{u}$, the Poisson formula gives that

$$\theta(1/u) = \sqrt{u} \theta(u).$$

We'll consider a variant

$$\tilde{\theta}(u) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp(-\pi n^2 u) = \frac{\theta(u) - 1}{2}$$

which satisfies

$$\tilde{\theta}(1/u) = \sqrt{u} \tilde{\theta}(u) + \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{u} - 1).$$

By the definition of the gamma and the zeta functions,

$$\xi(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} \underbrace{t^{s/2} \pi^{-s/2} n^{-s}}_{(t/\pi n^2)^{s/2}} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Riemann zeta function - Functional equation

By the definition of the gamma and the zeta functions,

$$\xi(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} \underbrace{t^{s/2} \pi^{-s/2} n^{-s}}_{(t/\pi n^2)^{s/2}} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Letting $t = \pi n^2 u$ in the integral,

$$\xi(s) = \int_0^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp(-\pi n^2 u) \right) u^{s/2} \frac{du}{u} = \int_0^{\infty} \tilde{\theta}(u) u^{s/2} \frac{du}{u}.$$

By the definition of the gamma and the zeta functions,

$$\xi(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} \underbrace{t^{s/2} \pi^{-s/2} n^{-s}}_{(t/\pi n^2)^{s/2}} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Riemann zeta function - Functional equation

By the definition of the gamma and the zeta functions,

$$\xi(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} \underbrace{t^{s/2} \pi^{-s/2} n^{-s}}_{(t/\pi n^2)^{s/2}} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Letting $t = \pi n^2 u$ in the integral,

$$\xi(s) = \int_0^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp(-\pi n^2 u) \right) u^{s/2} \frac{du}{u} = \int_0^{\infty} \tilde{\theta}(u) u^{s/2} \frac{du}{u}.$$

Riemann zeta function - Functional equation

We divide the interval $(0, \infty)$ into $(0, 1)$ and $(1, \infty)$. Then we make a change of variables ($u \mapsto 1/u$) on the $(0, 1)$ part, yielding

$$\xi(s) = \int_1^\infty \tilde{\theta}(1/u) u^{-s/2} \frac{du}{u} + \int_1^\infty \tilde{\theta}(u) u^{s/2} \frac{du}{u}.$$

Riemann zeta function - Functional equation

We divide the interval $(0, \infty)$ into $(0, 1)$ and $(1, \infty)$. Then we make a change of variables ($u \mapsto 1/u$) on the $(0, 1)$ part, yielding

$$\xi(s) = \int_1^\infty \tilde{\theta}(1/u) u^{-s/2} \frac{du}{u} + \int_1^\infty \tilde{\theta}(u) u^{s/2} \frac{du}{u}.$$

Then the functional equation of $\tilde{\theta}$ gives

$$\xi(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} - \frac{1}{s} + \int_1^\infty \tilde{\theta}(u) \left\{ u^{s/2} + u^{(1-s)/2} \right\} \frac{du}{u}.$$

Riemann zeta function - Functional equation

We divide the interval $(0, \infty)$ into $(0, 1)$ and $(1, \infty)$. Then we make a change of variables ($u \mapsto 1/u$) on the $(0, 1)$ part, yielding

$$\xi(s) = \int_1^\infty \tilde{\theta}(1/u) u^{-s/2} \frac{du}{u} + \int_1^\infty \tilde{\theta}(u) u^{s/2} \frac{du}{u}.$$

Then the functional equation of $\tilde{\theta}$ gives

$$\xi(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} - \frac{1}{s} + \int_1^\infty \tilde{\theta}(u) \left\{ u^{s/2} + u^{(1-s)/2} \right\} \frac{du}{u}.$$

The RHS is holomorphic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and clearly symmetric with respect to $s \mapsto 1 - s$.

Natural generalizations

Let $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a multiplicative character and extend it to a function $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Natural generalizations

Let $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a multiplicative character and extend it to a function $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then we define Dirichlet's L-function

$$L(\chi, s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}.$$

Natural generalizations

Let $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a multiplicative character and extend it to a function $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then we define Dirichlet's L-function

$$L(\chi, s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}.$$

Let K be a number field. We define Dedekind's zeta function

$$\zeta_K(s) := \sum_{I \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \frac{1}{N(I)^s},$$

where the sum goes through all non-zero ideals I of \mathcal{O}_K , and $N(I) = \#\mathcal{O}_K/I$.

Why should we care?

Dirichlet used his L-functions to prove the theorem on arithmetic progressions.

Why should we care?

Dirichlet used his L-functions to prove the theorem on arithmetic progressions.

As for the Dedekind zeta function, we can write it as

$$\zeta_K(s) := \sum_{I \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \frac{1}{N(I)^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r_K(n)}{n^s},$$

where $r_K(n)$ is the number of ideals of norm n .

Why should we care?

Dirichlet used his L-functions to prove the theorem on arithmetic progressions.

As for the Dedekind zeta function, we can write it as

$$\zeta_K(s) := \sum_{I \in \mathcal{O}_K} \frac{1}{N(I)^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r_K(n)}{n^s},$$

where $r_K(n)$ is the number of ideals of norm n . In particular,

- $r_K(p) = [K : \mathbb{Q}] \iff p$ splits completely

Why should we care?

Dirichlet used his L-functions to prove the theorem on arithmetic progressions.

As for the Dedekind zeta function, we can write it as

$$\zeta_K(s) := \sum_{I \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \frac{1}{N(I)^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r_K(n)}{n^s},$$

where $r_K(n)$ is the number of ideals of norm n . In particular,

- $r_K(p) = [K : \mathbb{Q}] \iff p$ splits completely
- $r_K(p) = 1 \iff p$ is totally ramified.

Why should we care?

Dirichlet used his L-functions to prove the theorem on arithmetic progressions.

As for the Dedekind zeta function, we can write it as

$$\zeta_K(s) := \sum_{I \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \frac{1}{N(I)^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r_K(n)}{n^s},$$

where $r_K(n)$ is the number of ideals of norm n . In particular,

- $r_K(p) = [K : \mathbb{Q}] \iff p$ splits completely
- $r_K(p) = 1 \iff p$ is totally ramified.

Why should we care?

Dirichlet used his L-functions to prove the theorem on arithmetic progressions.

As for the Dedekind zeta function, we can write it as

$$\zeta_K(s) := \sum_{I \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \frac{1}{N(I)^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r_K(n)}{n^s},$$

where $r_K(n)$ is the number of ideals of norm n . In particular,

- $r_K(p) = [K : \mathbb{Q}] \iff p$ splits completely
- $r_K(p) = 1 \iff p$ is totally ramified.

There's also the analytic class number formula, relating $\text{res}_1 \zeta_K$ and h_K .

We have that

$$L(\chi, s) = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - \chi(p)p^{-s}} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_K(s) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \frac{1}{1 - N(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}}.$$

We have that

$$L(\chi, s) = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - \chi(p)p^{-s}} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_K(s) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \frac{1}{1 - N(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}}.$$

However, establishing a functional equation and a meromorphic continuation is a difficult task!

The local side of the force

Definition

Let K be a local field. A (multiplicative) *quasi-character* is a continuous morphism $\chi : K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. It's a *character* if $\chi(K^\times) \subset S^1$.

Definition

Let K be a local field. A (multiplicative) *quasi-character* is a continuous morphism $\chi : K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. It's a *character* if $\chi(K^\times) \subset S^1$.

We denote have a natural short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow U_K \rightarrow K^\times \rightarrow |K^\times| \rightarrow 1,$$

and we say that a character χ is *unramified* if $\chi|_{U_K} = 1$.

Proposition

Every quasi-character χ can be written as $\eta| \cdot |^s$, where η is a character and $s \in \mathbb{C}$. We say that $\sigma = \Re(s)$ is the *exponent* of χ .

(While the decomposition is not unique, the real part of s is always the same.)

Recall that the ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K of a non-archimedean local field K is a discrete valuation ring with uniformizing parameter ϖ . We define $L(\chi)$ to be

$$\frac{1}{1 - \chi(\varpi)}$$

is χ is unramified and $L(\chi) = 1$ otherwise.

Proposition

The quasi-characters of \mathbb{R} are of the form $\chi_{a,s}(x) = x^{-a}|x|^s$ for some $a \in \{0, 1\}$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proposition

The quasi-characters of \mathbb{R} are of the form $\chi_{a,s}(x) = x^{-a}|x|^s$ for some $a \in \{0, 1\}$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

We define the local L-factor associated with such characters as

$$L(\chi_{a,s}) := \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2).$$

Proposition

The quasi-characters of \mathbb{C} are of the form $\chi_{a,b,s}(z) = z^{-a}\bar{z}^{-b}||z||^s$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\min(a, b) = 0$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proposition

The quasi-characters of \mathbb{C} are of the form $\chi_{a,b,s}(z) = z^{-a}\bar{z}^{-b}||z||^s$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\min(a, b) = 0$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

We define the local L-factor associated with such characters as

$$L(\chi_{a,b,s}) := 2(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s).$$

Let χ be the character $|\cdot|^s$ on \mathbb{Q}_p . It's always unramified (actually every unramified character is like this) and $\chi(\varpi) = p^{-s}$.

Reality check

Let χ be the character $|\cdot|^s$ on \mathbb{Q}_p . It's always unramified (actually every unramified character is like this) and $\chi(\varpi) = p^{-s}$. Multiplying all the local L -factors the completions of \mathbb{Q} we get

$$\xi(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}} = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s).$$

Reality check

Let χ be the character $|\cdot|^s$ on \mathbb{Q}_p . It's always unramified (actually every unramified character is like this) and $\chi(\varpi) = p^{-s}$. Multiplying all the local L -factors the completions of \mathbb{Q} we get

$$\xi(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}} = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s).$$

Also, let K be a number field and $\chi : K_v^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be given by $|\cdot|^s$. The product of the finite local L -factors is

$$\zeta_K(s) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}_v \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \frac{1}{1 - N(\mathfrak{p}_v)^{-s}}.$$

Local zeta integrals

Let K be a local field. A *Schwartz-Bruhat* function is a Schwartz function if K is archimedean or a locally constant function with compact support if K is nonarchimedean.

Local zeta integrals

Let K be a local field. A *Schwartz-Bruhat* function is a Schwartz function if K is archimedean or a locally constant function with compact support if K is nonarchimedean.

For those functions f , we define the *local zeta integral*

$$Z(f, \chi) := \int_{K^\times} f(x)\chi(x) d^\times x.$$

Theorem

Let f be a SB function on K and $\chi = \eta| \cdot |^\sigma$ with exponent σ . Then,

1. $Z(f, \chi)$ is absolutely convergent if $\sigma > 0$.

Theorem

Let f be a SB function on K and $\chi = \eta|\cdot|^\sigma$ with exponent σ . Then,

1. $Z(f, \chi)$ is absolutely convergent if $\sigma > 0$.
2. $Z(f, \chi)$ has a meromorphic continuation of \mathbb{C} .

Theorem

Let f be a SB function on K and $\chi = \eta|\cdot|^\sigma$ with exponent σ . Then,

1. $Z(f, \chi)$ is absolutely convergent if $\sigma > 0$.
2. $Z(f, \chi)$ has a meromorphic continuation of \mathbb{C} .
3. There exists a nonvanishing holomorphic function $\epsilon(\chi)$ such that

$$L(\chi)Z(\hat{f}, \chi^\vee) = \epsilon(\chi)L(\chi^\vee)Z(f, \chi),$$

where $\chi^\vee := \chi^{-1}|\cdot|$.

The Poisson formula

The Poisson formula

Let K be a global field. A Schwartz-Bruhat function on \mathbb{A}_K is a product of Schwartz-Bruhat functions on K_v for all places v of K .

The Poisson formula

Let K be a global field. A Schwartz-Bruhat function on \mathbb{A}_K is a product of Schwartz-Bruhat functions on K_v for all places v of K .

Theorem - Poisson formula

Let f be a SB function on \mathbb{A}_K . Then,

$$\sum_{\gamma \in K} f(\gamma + x) = \sum_{\gamma \in K} \hat{f}(\gamma + x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{A}_K$.

Corollary - Riemann-Roch

Let f be a SB function on \mathbb{A}_K . Then,

$$\sum_{\gamma \in K} f(\gamma x) = \frac{1}{|x|} \sum_{\gamma \in K} \hat{f}(\gamma x^{-1})$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{I}_K$.

Corollary - Riemann-Roch

Let f be a SB function on \mathbb{A}_K . Then,

$$\sum_{\gamma \in K} f(\gamma x) = \frac{1}{|x|} \sum_{\gamma \in K} \hat{f}(\gamma x^{-1})$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{I}_K$.

Indeed, it suffices to apply the Poisson formula to $h(y) := f(xy)$ since $\hat{h}(\gamma) = \hat{f}(\gamma x^{-1})/|x|$.

Corollary 2 - Riemann-Roch

Let C be a smooth projective curve over a finite field. Then, for all divisors D

$$\ell(D) - \ell(K - D) = \deg(D) - g + 1,$$

where K is the canonical divisor and g is the genus of C .

The global side of the force

Größencharaktere (german for fancy idelic characters)

Let K be a global field. Recall that $K^\times \hookrightarrow \mathbb{I}_K$ and that the quotient \mathbb{I}_K/K^\times is the *idèle class group* C_K .

Größencharaktere (german for fancy idelic characters)

Let K be a global field. Recall that $K^\times \hookrightarrow \mathbb{I}_K$ and that the quotient \mathbb{I}_K/K^\times is the *idèle class group* C_K .

Definition

Let K be a global field. A *quasi-character* is a continuous morphism $\chi : C_K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. It's a *character* if $\chi(C_K) \subset S^1$.

Größencharakter (german for fancy idelic characters)

Let K be a global field. Recall that $K^\times \hookrightarrow \mathbb{I}_K$ and that the quotient \mathbb{I}_K/K^\times is the *idèle class group* C_K .

Definition

Let K be a global field. A *quasi-character* is a continuous morphism $\chi : C_K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. It's a *character* if $\chi(C_K) \subset S^1$.

If χ is a Dirichlet character, the composition

$$\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{Q}} \twoheadrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times \twoheadrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \xrightarrow{\chi} \mathbb{C}^\times$$

defines a quasi-character.

Größencharaktere (German for fancy idelic characters)

Let K be a global field. Recall that $K^\times \hookrightarrow \mathbb{I}_K$ and that the quotient \mathbb{I}_K/K^\times is the *idèle class group* C_K .

Definition

Let K be a global field. A *quasi-character* is a continuous morphism $\chi : C_K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. It's a *character* if $\chi(C_K) \subset S^1$.

If χ is a Dirichlet character, the composition

$$\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{Q}} \twoheadrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times \twoheadrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \xrightarrow{\chi} \mathbb{C}^\times$$

defines a quasi-character. Another example is $|\cdot|^s$ for $s \in \mathbb{C}$. (Recall the product formula!)

Proposition

Every quasi-character χ can be written as $\eta| \cdot |^s$, where η is a character and $s \in \mathbb{C}$. We say that $\sigma = \Re(s)$ is the *exponent* of χ .

(While the decomposition is not unique, the real part of s is always the same.)

Let f be a SB function on \mathbb{A}_K . We define the *global zeta integral*

$$Z(f, \chi) = \int_{\mathbb{I}_K} f(x)\chi(x) d^\times x.$$

Let f be a SB function on \mathbb{A}_K . We define the *global zeta integral*

$$Z(f, \chi) = \int_{\mathbb{I}_K} f(x)\chi(x) d^\times x.$$

As before, if $\chi = \eta \cdot |\cdot|^\sigma$ with exponent σ , $Z(f, \chi)$ is absolutely convergent for $\sigma > 1$.

Theorem

1. $Z(f, \chi)$ has a meromorphic extension to \mathbb{C} .

Theorem

1. $Z(f, \chi)$ has a meromorphic extension to \mathbb{C} .
2. We have the functional equation $Z(f, \chi) = Z(\hat{f}, \chi^\vee)$.

Theorem

1. $Z(f, \chi)$ has a meromorphic extension to \mathbb{C} .
2. We have the functional equation $Z(f, \chi) = Z(\hat{f}, \chi^\vee)$.
3. Its residue at 0 is $\text{Vol}(C_k^1) \hat{f}(0)$.

Theorem

1. $Z(f, \chi)$ has a meromorphic extension to \mathbb{C} .
2. We have the functional equation $Z(f, \chi) = Z(\hat{f}, \chi^\vee)$.
3. Its residue at 0 is $\text{Vol}(C_k^1) \hat{f}(0)$.

Theorem

1. $Z(f, \chi)$ has a meromorphic extension to \mathbb{C} .
2. We have the functional equation $Z(f, \chi) = Z(\hat{f}, \chi^\vee)$.
3. Its residue at 0 is $\text{Vol}(C_k^1) \hat{f}(0)$.

We write

$$Z_t(f, \chi) := \int_{\mathbb{I}_k^1} f(tx) \chi(tx) d^\times x$$

so that

$$Z(f, \chi) = \int_0^\infty Z_t(f, \chi) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Proof of the functional equation

Using the Riemann-Roch theorem, we obtain a functional equation:

$$Z_t(f, \chi) = Z_{t^{-1}}(\hat{f}, \chi^\vee) + \hat{f}(0) \int_{C_k^1} \chi^\vee(x/t) d^\times x - f(0) \int_{C_k^1} \chi(tx) d^\times x.$$

The result then follows as before by the formula above and the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(f, \chi) &= \int_0^1 Z_t(f, \chi) \frac{dt}{t} + \int_1^\infty Z_t(f, \chi) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \int_1^\infty Z_{t^{-1}}(f, \chi) \frac{dt}{t} + \int_1^\infty Z_t(f, \chi) \frac{dt}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

Hecke's L-functions

Let K be a global field and χ be a quasi-character. We pose

$$\Lambda(\chi, s) = \prod_v L(\chi_v | \cdot |^s) \quad \text{and} \quad L(\chi, s) = \prod_{v \text{ finite}} L(\chi_v | \cdot |^s),$$

where $\chi_v := \chi|_{K_v}$. Both define holomorphic functions on the half plane $\Re(s) > 1$.

Theorem

Let χ be a character of a global field K . Then $L(\chi, s)$ admits a meromorphic continuation to \mathbb{C} and we have

$$\Lambda(\chi^\vee, 1-s) = \varepsilon(\chi, s)\Lambda(\chi, s),$$

where $\varepsilon(\chi, s) := \prod_v \varepsilon(\chi_v | \cdot |^s)$.

Questions?