Exponential Sums

A tour through number theory

Gabriel Ribeiro

École Polytechnique

1. How exponential sums appear in nature

2. Cohomology to the rescue!

3. Let's work out the case of Gauss' sums

How exponential sums appear in nature

Ever since Gauss, exponential sums of the form

$$S(f,p) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i f(x)}{p}\right),$$

where *p* is a prime number and *f* is some function, play a key role in number theory.

Ever since Gauss, exponential sums of the form

$$S(f,p) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i f(x)}{p}\right),$$

where *p* is a prime number and *f* is some function, play a key role in number theory.

The simplest example probably being the case $f(x) = x^2$, which appeared in Gauss' fourth proof of quadratic reciprocity.

A large part of twentieth-century analytic number theory was devoted to the study of these sums.

A large part of twentieth-century analytic number theory was devoted to the study of these sums.

For example, they can be used to estimate $\zeta(s)$ on vertical lines. Indeed, the approximation

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} n^{-s} + \frac{N^{1-s}}{s-1} + O(N^{-\sigma}),$$

reduces the problem to sums of the form $\sum_{n} n^{-it}$, which are of the form considered above for $f(x) = -t \log(x)/2\pi$.

A large part of twentieth-century analytic number theory was devoted to the study of these sums.

For example, they can be used to estimate $\zeta(s)$ on vertical lines. Indeed, the approximation

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} n^{-s} + \frac{N^{1-s}}{s-1} + O(N^{-\sigma}),$$

reduces the problem to sums of the form $\sum_{n} n^{-it}$, which are of the form considered above for $f(x) = -t \log(x)/2\pi$.

Whenever the function f is well-approximated by another function g, the sums S(f, p) and S(g, p) are very close. This allows us to focus our attention on the case where f is a polynomial.

Foundational problem in NT: given $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, describe the set of solutions (in \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Q}) of f(x) = 0.

Foundational problem in NT: given $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, describe the set of solutions (in \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Q}) of f(x) = 0. Is this set finite or infinite? If it's finite, what's its cardinality? If it's infinite, can we describe some numbers which "generate" all the solutions in some sense? Foundational problem in NT: given $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, describe the set of solutions (in \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Q}) of f(x) = 0. Is this set finite or infinite? If it's finite, what's its cardinality? If it's infinite, can we describe some numbers which "generate" all the solutions in some sense?

Very often these questions are way out of reach for our methods. This leads us to consider solutions mod *p* of the desired equations. Foundational problem in NT: given $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, describe the set of solutions (in \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Q}) of f(x) = 0. Is this set finite or infinite? If it's finite, what's its cardinality? If it's infinite, can we describe some numbers which "generate" all the solutions in some sense?

Very often these questions are way out of reach for our methods. This leads us to consider solutions mod *p* of the desired equations.

Let's then define a function Sol(f, p, t) which counts the number of solutions to $f(x) \equiv t \pmod{p}$.

Now, we lose no information if we consider $t \mapsto Sol(f, p, t)$ as being complex-valued and if we take its Fourier transform.

Now, we lose no information if we consider $t \mapsto Sol(f, p, t)$ as being complex-valued and if we take its Fourier transform. This Fourier transform is given by

$$\psi \mapsto \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p} \psi(t) \operatorname{Sol}(f, p, t) = \sum_{\mathsf{X} \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \psi(f(\mathsf{X})).$$

Now, we lose no information if we consider $t \mapsto Sol(f, p, t)$ as being complex-valued and if we take its Fourier transform. This Fourier transform is given by

$$\psi \mapsto \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p} \psi(t) \operatorname{Sol}(f, p, t) = \sum_{\mathsf{X} \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \psi(f(\mathsf{X})).$$

Since $\widehat{\mathbb{F}_p} = \mathbb{F}_p$, every character is of the form $\psi_a(x) := \exp(2\pi i a x/p)$.

Now, we lose no information if we consider $t \mapsto Sol(f, p, t)$ as being complex-valued and if we take its Fourier transform. This Fourier transform is given by

$$\psi \mapsto \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p} \psi(t) \operatorname{Sol}(f, p, t) = \sum_{\mathsf{X} \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \psi(f(\mathsf{X})).$$

Since $\widehat{\mathbb{F}_p} = \mathbb{F}_p$, every character is of the form $\psi_a(x) := \exp(2\pi i a x/p)$. Via this identification, the function above is none other than

$$a\mapsto \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_p^n}\exp\left(\frac{2\pi iaf(x)}{p}\right);$$

an exponential sum!

Another omnipresent example of exponential sums first appeared in Poincaré's posthumous paper on modular forms. Those are the Kloosterman sums given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{KI}_{n}(a,q) &:= \sum_{\substack{x_{1}, \dots, x_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times} \\ x_{1} \cdots x_{n} = a}} \psi_{q}(x_{1} + \dots + x_{n}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{x_{1}, \dots, x_{n-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}}} \psi_{q}\left(x_{1} + \dots + x_{n-1} + \frac{a}{x_{1} \cdots x_{n-1}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi_q := \psi_1 \circ \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p}$.

In order to deal systematically with exponential sums, let's give a proper definition which encompasses all our polynomial examples and many interesting others.

Definition - Exponential sum

Let A be a finite-type algebra over \mathbb{Z} and X be a finite-type scheme over A. An exponential sum is a sum of the form

$$S(f,\varphi) := \sum_{x \in X(k)} \varphi(f(x)),$$

where $A \rightarrow k$ is a morphism of rings into a finite field k, G is a commutative algebraic group over \mathbb{Z} , φ is a character of G(k), and $f: X \rightarrow G$ is a morphism of schemes.

As before, we remark that

$$\widehat{b(k)} o \mathbb{C}$$

 $\varphi \mapsto \sum_{x \in X(k)} \varphi(f(x))$

(

is the Fourier transform of

$$G(k) \to \mathbb{C}$$
$$t \mapsto \#\{x \in X(k) \mid f(x) = t\}.$$

This point of view also allows us to put numerous number-theoretic questions under the umbrella of exponential sums.

This point of view also allows us to put numerous number-theoretic questions under the umbrella of exponential sums.

The case where φ is the trivial character is already interesting and highly non-trivial.

Take $A = \mathbb{Z}[1/26]$ and X as the elliptic curve defined by $y^2 = 4x^3 - x - 1$. We denote by N(X, q) the number of \mathbb{F}_q -points of X and wonder how the numbers N(X, q) vary as a function of q.

Take $A = \mathbb{Z}[1/26]$ and X as the elliptic curve defined by $y^2 = 4x^3 - x - 1$. We denote by N(X, q) the number of \mathbb{F}_q -points of X and wonder how the numbers N(X, q) vary as a function of q.

In analytic number theory, we usually divide the analysis into two cases: either we consider only the cases where q varies between the prime numbers (which are not 2 or 13), or we fix one such prime number p and make q vary among the numbers of the form p^n , for some n.

We begin with the latter. Ever since Artin's thesis in the 1920's, it is known that there exist two complex numbers α_p and β_p , satisfying $\alpha_p\beta_p = p$, such that

$$N(X,p^n) = p^n + 1 - \alpha_p^n - \beta_p^n$$

for all $n \ge 1$.

We begin with the latter. Ever since Artin's thesis in the 1920's, it is known that there exist two complex numbers α_p and β_p , satisfying $\alpha_p\beta_p = p$, such that

$$N(X,p^n) = p^n + 1 - \alpha_p^n - \beta_p^n$$

for all $n \ge 1$.

In particular, in order to determine *N*(*X*, *pⁿ*) for all *n*, it suffices to know *N*(*X*, *p*).

The former case is much harder. By the Hasse bound, we know that

 $|N(X,p) - (p+1)| \le 2\sqrt{p}$

and so there exists a unique "angle" $\theta_p \in [0, \pi]$ such that

$$N(X,p) - (p+1) = 2\sqrt{p}\cos(\theta_p).$$

Our question, then, is about how the angles θ_p vary as a function of p.

Our question, then, is about how the angles θ_p vary as a function of p. If X is an elliptic curve with complex multiplication, it's known since Deuring's 1955 paper *Die Zetafunktion einer algebraischen Kurve von Geschlechte Eins* that the θ_p are uniformly distributed in $[0, \pi]$. Our question, then, is about how the angles θ_p vary as a function of p.

If X is an elliptic curve with complex multiplication, it's known since Deuring's 1955 paper *Die Zetafunktion einer algebraischen Kurve von Geschlechte Eins* that the θ_p are uniformly distributed in $[0, \pi]$.

Our elliptic curve, however, doesn't have complex multiplication (its *j*-invariant is not an algebraic integer, for example).

The distribution of the angles θ_p for elliptic curves without complex multiplication was the subject of a famous conjecture of Sato and Tate, which says that the sequence (θ_p) is equidistributed in $[0, \pi]$ for the Sato-Tate measure $\mu_{ST} := (2/\pi) \sin^2 \theta \, d\theta$.

The distribution of the angles θ_p for elliptic curves without complex multiplication was the subject of a famous conjecture of Sato and Tate, which says that the sequence (θ_p) is equidistributed in $[0, \pi]$ for the Sato-Tate measure $\mu_{ST} := (2/\pi) \sin^2 \theta \, d\theta$.

This conjecture very recently became a theorem by Clozel, Barnet-Lamb, Geraghty, Harris, Sheperd-Barron and Taylor, whose proof builds from all the arithmetic geometry used on the modularity theorem. The distribution of the angles θ_p for elliptic curves without complex multiplication was the subject of a famous conjecture of Sato and Tate, which says that the sequence (θ_p) is equidistributed in $[0, \pi]$ for the Sato-Tate measure $\mu_{ST} := (2/\pi) \sin^2 \theta \, d\theta$.

This conjecture very recently became a theorem by Clozel, Barnet-Lamb, Geraghty, Harris, Sheperd-Barron and Taylor, whose proof builds from all the arithmetic geometry used on the modularity theorem.

Several natural variants and generalizations remain wide-open.

Cohomology to the rescue!

The hero of our story is the theory of étale cohomology and, more precisely, Deligne's groundbreaking paper La conjecture de Weil II, which we'll henceforth call "Weil II". Since this is a huge machinery, we'll begin by explaining its main features. The hero of our story is the theory of étale cohomology and, more precisely, Deligne's groundbreaking paper La conjecture de Weil II, which we'll henceforth call "Weil II". Since this is a huge machinery, we'll begin by explaining its main features.

• Let $k = \mathbb{F}_q$, where $q = p^n$;

The hero of our story is the theory of étale cohomology and, more precisely, Deligne's groundbreaking paper La conjecture de Weil II, which we'll henceforth call "Weil II". Since this is a huge machinery, we'll begin by explaining its main features.

- Let $k = \mathbb{F}_q$, where $q = p^n$;
- $\ell \neq p$ a prime number;

The hero of our story is the theory of étale cohomology and, more precisely, Deligne's groundbreaking paper La conjecture de Weil II, which we'll henceforth call "Weil II". Since this is a huge machinery, we'll begin by explaining its main features.

- Let $k = \mathbb{F}_q$, where $q = p^n$;
- $\ell \neq p$ a prime number;
- X a nice variety over k of dimension d.

Since the Zariski topology is so coarse, lots of spaces of interest have a trivial fundamental group.

Since the Zariski topology is so coarse, lots of spaces of interest have a trivial fundamental group. In other words, the usual tools from algebraic topology are not very adapted to the study of these varieties. Since the Zariski topology is so coarse, lots of spaces of interest have a trivial fundamental group. In other words, the usual tools from algebraic topology are not very adapted to the study of these varieties.

This led Grothendieck to define the étale fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$, a profinite group which classifies the finite étale covers of *X*.

The étale fundamental group is independent of a base point up to inner automorphism.

The étale fundamental group is independent of a base point up to inner automorphism. As in topology, a morphism of schemes $f: X \rightarrow Y$ induces a morphism

 $f_*:\pi_1(X)\to\pi_1(Y).$

The étale fundamental group is independent of a base point up to inner automorphism. As in topology, a morphism of schemes $f: X \rightarrow Y$ induces a morphism

$$f_*:\pi_1(X)\to\pi_1(Y).$$

Moreover, if $X = \operatorname{Spec} k$, its fundamental group is nothing but the absolute Galois group of k.

In our case, where k is \mathbb{F}_q , this is the free profinite group $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ on one canonical generator given by

$$\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q \to \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q, \qquad x \mapsto x^q.$$

In our case, where k is \mathbb{F}_q , this is the free profinite group $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ on one canonical generator given by

$$\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q \to \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q, \qquad x \mapsto x^q.$$

This is the so-called arithmetic Frobenius. As we'll see, its inverse, denoted by $Frob_{R}$ and called geometric Frobenius, will play a key role in the theory.

In topology, the category of local systems is equivalent to the category of finite-dimensional representations of the fundamental group by taking the fiber of a local system on a fixed point.

In topology, the category of local systems is equivalent to the category of finite-dimensional representations of the fundamental group by taking the fiber of a local system on a fixed point. This suggests the following definition.

Definition - Local system

A ℓ -adic local system \mathscr{L} of rank r over X is a continuous representation $\rho : \pi_1(X) \to \operatorname{GL}_r(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$.

Given a finite extension *E* of *k*, we may define a trace function $t_{\mathscr{L}} : X(E) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ in the following way:

 $\operatorname{Gal}(E) \to \pi_1(X).$

 $\operatorname{Gal}(E) \to \pi_1(X).$

We denote by $Frob_{E,x}$ the image of $Frob_E$ via this morphism.

 $\operatorname{Gal}(E) \to \pi_1(X).$

We denote by $\operatorname{Frob}_{E,x}$ the image of Frob_E via this morphism. It follows that $\rho(\operatorname{Frob}_{E,x})$ is a conjugation class in $\operatorname{GL}_r(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, and we may take its trace.

 $\operatorname{Gal}(E) \to \pi_1(X).$

We denote by $\operatorname{Frob}_{E,x}$ the image of Frob_E via this morphism. It follows that $\rho(\operatorname{Frob}_{E,x})$ is a conjugation class in $\operatorname{GL}_r(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, and we may take its trace.

This number, often denoted tr($\operatorname{Frob}_{E,x} | \mathscr{L}$), is the image of x by $t_{\mathscr{L}}$.

Let G be a (nice) commutative group scheme over k. We consider the absolute Frobenius $F_G : G \to G$.

Let G be a (nice) commutative group scheme over k. We consider the absolute Frobenius $F_G : G \to G$. The Lang isogeny

 $\mathsf{id}_G - F_G: G \to G$

is a finite étale cover, which is also Galois with group G(k).

Since $\pi_1(G)$ is the limit of the Galois groups of all finite étale Galois covers, we obtain a natural surjection $\pi_1(G) \to G(k)$.

Since $\pi_1(G)$ is the limit of the Galois groups of all finite étale Galois covers, we obtain a natural surjection $\pi_1(G) \to G(k)$. Now, if $\varphi: G(k) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times}$ is a character, we may compose those morphisms to obtain a representation

$$\pi_1(G) \to G(k) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^{\times},$$

corresponding to a rank one local system over G; denoted \mathscr{L}_{φ} .

More generally, given a morphism $f: X \to G$ of k-schemes, we compose the morphism above with f_* to obtain a rank one local system $f^* \mathscr{L}_{\varphi}$, commonly denoted $\mathscr{L}_{\varphi(f)}$.

More generally, given a morphism $f: X \to G$ of k-schemes, we compose the morphism above with f_* to obtain a rank one local system $f^* \mathscr{L}_{\varphi}$, commonly denoted $\mathscr{L}_{\varphi(f)}$.

Its trace in a point $x \in X(E)$ is simply $\varphi(\operatorname{tr}_{E/k}^G f(x))$, where the $\operatorname{tr}_{E/k}^G : G(E) \to G(k)$ function sends $g \in G(E)$ to $g + \operatorname{Frob}_E(g) + \ldots + \operatorname{Frob}_E^{n-1}(g)$ for n = [E : k].

In particular, up to identifying $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ with \mathbb{C} , we may write our exponential sum $S(f,\varphi)$ as

$$S(f, \varphi) = \sum_{x \in X(k)} tr(Frob_{k,x} | \mathscr{L}_{\varphi(f)}).$$

In particular, up to identifying $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ with \mathbb{C} , we may write our exponential sum $S(f,\varphi)$ as

$$S(f, \varphi) = \sum_{x \in X(k)} tr(Frob_{k,x} | \mathscr{L}_{\varphi(f)}).$$

Believe it or not, this is a tremendous achievement!

In order to go further in the étale cohomology world, we need to enlarge our category of ℓ -adic local systems to the so-called constructible sheaves, which behave much better functorially.

In order to go further in the étale cohomology world, we need to enlarge our category of ℓ -adic local systems to the so-called constructible sheaves, which behave much better functorially.

In topology, the constructible sheaves are those which restrict to local systems on a given stratification.

In order to go further in the étale cohomology world, we need to enlarge our category of ℓ -adic local systems to the so-called constructible sheaves, which behave much better functorially.

In topology, the constructible sheaves are those which restrict to local systems on a given stratification. Up to some minor technical details, the same definition works in the ℓ -adic setting.

Since constructible sheaves are "locally" local systems, given a constructible sheaf \mathscr{F} and a geometric point \overline{x} over $x \in X(E)$, the fiber $\mathscr{F}_{\overline{x}}$ is a local system.

Since constructible sheaves are "locally" local systems, given a constructible sheaf \mathscr{F} and a geometric point \overline{x} over $x \in X(E)$, the fiber $\mathscr{F}_{\overline{x}}$ is a local system.

As before, we may make the geometric Frobenius act on this local system, extending the trace function to constructible sheaves.

Given a constructible sheaf \mathscr{F} , Grothendieck defined the cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^{i}(X_{\overline{k}}, \mathscr{F})$ and the compactly supported cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^{i}_{c}(X_{\overline{k}}, \mathscr{F})$.

Given a constructible sheaf \mathscr{F} , Grothendieck defined the cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^{i}(X_{\overline{k}},\mathscr{F})$ and the compactly supported cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^{i}_{c}(X_{\overline{k}},\mathscr{F})$.

These are finite-dimensional $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ -vector spaces, endowed with actions of Gal(k), which vanish for i < 0 or i < 2d.

The Grothendieck trace formula is

$$\sum_{x \in X(E)} \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Frob}_{E,x} | \mathscr{F}) = \sum_{i=0}^{2d} (-1)^i \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Frob}_E | \operatorname{H}^i_{\mathcal{C}}(X_{\overline{k}}, \mathscr{F})).$$

The Grothendieck trace formula is

$$\sum_{\mathsf{x}\in\mathsf{X}(E)}\mathsf{tr}(\mathsf{Frob}_{E,\mathsf{x}}\,|\,\mathscr{F})=\sum_{i=0}^{2d}(-1)^{i}\,\mathsf{tr}(\mathsf{Frob}_{E}\,|\,\mathrm{H}^{i}_{c}(\mathsf{X}_{\bar{k}},\mathscr{F})).$$

Our approach then becomes clear. We'll write exponential sums as the left-hand side of the equation above, and we'll estimate the eigenvalues of Frob_{E} acting on $\operatorname{H}^{i}_{C}(X_{\overline{k}}, \mathscr{F})$.

Let \mathscr{F} be a constructible sheaf and let $\iota: \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an embedding.

Let \mathscr{F} be a constructible sheaf and let $\iota: \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an embedding.

Definition - Weights

We say that \mathscr{F} is ι -pure of weight w if, for all finite extensions E/kand for all $x \in X(E)$, the eigenvalues α_i of Frob_E acting on $\mathscr{F}_{\overline{x}}$ satisfy $|\iota(\alpha_i)| = |E|^{w/2}$.

Let \mathscr{F} be a constructible sheaf and let $\iota: \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an embedding.

Definition - Weights

We say that \mathscr{F} is ι -pure of weight w if, for all finite extensions E/kand for all $x \in X(E)$, the eigenvalues α_i of Frob_E acting on $\mathscr{F}_{\overline{x}}$ satisfy $|\iota(\alpha_i)| = |E|^{w/2}$. It is ι -mixed of weight $\leq w$ (resp. $\geq w$) if we have \leq (resp. \geq) on the equation above.

Let \mathscr{F} be a constructible sheaf and let $\iota: \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an embedding.

Definition - Weights

We say that \mathscr{F} is ι -pure of weight w if, for all finite extensions E/kand for all $x \in X(E)$, the eigenvalues α_i of Frob_E acting on $\mathscr{F}_{\overline{x}}$ satisfy $|\iota(\alpha_i)| = |E|^{w/2}$. It is ι -mixed of weight $\leq w$ (resp. $\geq w$) if we have \leq (resp. \geq) on the equation above. We say that \mathscr{F} is pure / mixed of some weight if it is ι -pure / ι -mixed of the same weight for all ι . The relation between the definition above and our desired estimates is given by (a particular case of) the main theorem in Weil II.

Theorem (Deligne) - Weil II

If \mathscr{F} is ι -mixed of weight $\leq w$, then $\operatorname{H}^{i}_{c}(X_{\overline{k}}, \mathscr{F})$ is ι -mixed of weight $\leq w + i$.

We remark that, in this case, Poincaré duality implies that $\mathrm{H}^{i}(X_{\overline{k}}, \mathscr{F})$ is ι -mixed of weight $\geq w + i$.

We remark that, in this case, Poincaré duality implies that $\mathrm{H}^{i}(X_{\bar{k}},\mathscr{F})$ is ι -mixed of weight $\geq w + i$.

If the natural morphism $\mathrm{H}^{i}_{c}(X_{\bar{k}},\mathscr{F}) \to \mathrm{H}^{i}(X_{\bar{k}},\mathscr{F})$ is an isomorphism (which happens if X is proper over k), then $\mathrm{H}^{i}(X_{\bar{k}},\mathscr{F}) = \mathrm{H}^{i}_{c}(X_{\bar{k}},\mathscr{F})$ is ι -pure of weight w + i.

We define the zeta function of X as the formal power series

$$Z(X,t) := \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |X(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})| \frac{t^n}{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}\llbracket t \rrbracket.$$

We define the zeta function of X as the formal power series

$$Z(X,t) := \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |X(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})| \frac{t^n}{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}\llbracket t \rrbracket.$$

If X is supposed to be projective, the Weil conjectures say, among other things, that Z(X, t) may be written as

$$\frac{P_{1}(t)P_{3}(t)\cdots P_{2d-1}(t)}{P_{0}(t)P_{2}(t)\cdots P_{2d}(t)},$$

where each P_i is a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[t]$, which factors over \mathbb{C} as $\prod_j (1 - \alpha_{ij}t)$ for some complex numbers α_{ij} satisfying $|\alpha_{ij}| = q^{i/2}$ for all i, j.

These conjectures shaped the development of algebraic geometry for over twenty years. All of it now falls under the umbrella of the formalism above. These conjectures shaped the development of algebraic geometry for over twenty years. All of it now falls under the umbrella of the formalism above.

Indeed, we may define P_i to be the (image under some ι of the) determinant of $1 - t \operatorname{Frob}_k$, acting on $\operatorname{H}^i_c(X_{\overline{k}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$.

These conjectures shaped the development of algebraic geometry for over twenty years. All of it now falls under the umbrella of the formalism above.

Indeed, we may define P_i to be the (image under some ι of the) determinant of $1 - t \operatorname{Frob}_k$, acting on $\operatorname{H}^i_c(X_{\overline{k}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$.

A simple calculation using the Grothendieck trace formula then implies that Z(X, t) is indeed the desired rational function on the P_i . The hardest part of these conjectures was the Riemann Hypothesis; the fact that the α_{ij} satisfy $|\alpha_{ij}| = q^{i/2}$ for all i, j.

The hardest part of these conjectures was the Riemann Hypothesis; the fact that the α_{ij} satisfy $|\alpha_{ij}| = q^{i/2}$ for all i, j.

This is now a simple consequence of Deligne's theorem, for the α_{ij} are precisely the (image under the same ι as before of the) eigenvalues of Frob_k acting on $\operatorname{H}^i_c(X_{\overline{k}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, which is ι -pure of weight *i*. (Since $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ is pure of weight 0.)

Another magnificent example of the applications of Weil II is given by the so-called Lang-Weil bound.

Another magnificent example of the applications of Weil II is given by the so-called Lang-Weil bound. By taking $\mathscr{F} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ on the Grothendieck trace formula we obtain

$$|X(E)| = \sum_{i=0}^{2d} (-1)^i \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Frob}_E | \operatorname{H}^i_c(X_{\overline{k}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})).$$

Consider the numbers

$$b_c^i(X) := \dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell} \operatorname{H}^i_c(X_{\overline{k}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \quad \text{and} \quad A(X) := \sum_{i=0}^{2d} b_c^i(X).$$

21

Consider the numbers

$$b_c^i(X) := \dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell} \mathrm{H}^i_c(X_{\overline{k}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \quad \text{and} \quad A(X) := \sum_{i=0}^{2d} b_c^i(X).$$

Since $\operatorname{H}^{2d}_{c}(X_{\overline{k}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$ is a one-dimensional vector space endowed with an action of Frob_{E} given by multiplication by $|E|^{d}$, and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ is pure of weight 0, we obtain

$$\left|X(E) - |E|^{d}\right| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{2d-1} b_{c}^{i}(X)|E|^{i/2} \leq A(X)|E|^{(2d-1)/2}$$

Consider the numbers

$$b_c^i(X) := \dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell} \mathrm{H}^i_c(X_{\overline{k}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \quad \text{and} \quad A(X) := \sum_{i=0}^{2d} b_c^i(X).$$

Since $\operatorname{H}^{2d}_{c}(X_{\overline{k}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$ is a one-dimensional vector space endowed with an action of Frob_{E} given by multiplication by $|E|^{d}$, and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ is pure of weight 0, we obtain

$$\left|X(E) - |E|^{d}\right| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{2d-1} b_{c}^{i}(X)|E|^{i/2} \leq A(X)|E|^{(2d-1)/2}.$$

In particular, as soon as $|E| > A(X)^2$, the variety X has a E-point.

Let's work out the case of Gauss' sums

Let's recall an ancient friend that we encountered in our tour; the Gauss sum $g(\psi, \chi)$, defined as

$$g(\psi, \chi) := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \psi(x) \chi(x),$$

where ψ is an additive and χ is a multiplicative character of \mathbb{F}_q .

Let's recall an ancient friend that we encountered in our tour; the Gauss sum $g(\psi, \chi)$, defined as

$$g(\psi,\chi) := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \psi(x)\chi(x),$$

where ψ is an additive and χ is a multiplicative character of \mathbb{F}_q .

Consider, for each prime p, a non-trivial additive character ψ_p of \mathbb{F}_p and denote by ψ_q the character of \mathbb{F}_q obtained by composing with the trace.

If χ is trivial, $g(\psi_q, \chi)$ is simply -1.

If χ is trivial, $g(\psi_q, \chi)$ is simply -1. Else, its absolute value is \sqrt{q} and we find q - 2 points

$$\theta_{q,\chi} := \frac{g(\psi_q, \chi)}{\sqrt{q}} \in S^1,$$

one for each non-trivial multiplicative character.

As in Sato-Tate's conjecture, we may wonder how do these "angles" are distributed on the unit circle as *q* tends to infinity.

As in Sato-Tate's conjecture, we may wonder how do these "angles" are distributed on the unit circle as *q* tends to infinity.

Theorem (Deligne)

As q tends to infinity, the angles $\{\theta_{q,\chi}\}_{\chi\neq 1}$ become equidistributed on S¹ with respect to its normalized Haar measure. As in Sato-Tate's conjecture, we may wonder how do these "angles" are distributed on the unit circle as *q* tends to infinity.

Theorem (Deligne)

As q tends to infinity, the angles $\{\theta_{q,\chi}\}_{\chi\neq 1}$ become equidistributed on S^1 with respect to its normalized Haar measure. In other words, the equation

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) \,\mathrm{d}\theta = \lim_{q \to \infty} \frac{1}{q-2} \sum_{\chi \neq 1} f(\theta_{q,\chi})$$

is satisfied for all continuous functions $f : S^1 \to \mathbb{C}$.

As the Laurent polynomials are dense in $\mathscr{C}(S^1)$, it suffices to consider functions of the form $f(z) = z^n$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

As the Laurent polynomials are dense in $\mathscr{C}(S^1)$, it suffices to consider functions of the form $f(z) = z^n$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The case n = 0 is trivial, and the relation $g(\psi_q, \chi)^{-1} = g(\overline{\psi_q}, \overline{\chi})q^{-1}$ allows us to only consider $n \ge 1$.

As the Laurent polynomials are dense in $\mathscr{C}(S^1)$, it suffices to consider functions of the form $f(z) = z^n$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The case n = 0 is trivial, and the relation $g(\psi_q, \chi)^{-1} = g(\overline{\psi_q}, \overline{\chi})q^{-1}$ allows us to only consider $n \ge 1$.

In this case the integral always vanishes, so we must prove that the sequence

$$\frac{1}{q-2}\sum_{\chi\neq 1}f(\theta_{q,\chi})=\frac{1}{q^{n/2}(q-2)}\sum_{\chi\neq 1}g(\psi_q,\chi)^n$$

tends to zero as q goes to infinity.

Then, we remark that

g

$$\begin{split} (\psi_q,\chi)^n &= \sum_{\substack{x_1,\dots,x_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}}} \psi_q(x_1+\dots+x_n)\chi(x_1\cdots x_n) \\ &= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \chi(a) \sum_{\substack{x_1,\dots,x_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times} \\ x_1\cdots x_n = a}} \psi_q(x_1+\dots+x_n) \\ &= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \chi(a) \operatorname{Kl}_n(a,q). \end{split}$$

Then, we remark that

$$g(\psi_q, \chi)^n = \sum_{\substack{x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times} \\ a \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}}} \psi_q(x_1 + \dots + x_n) \chi(x_1 \cdots x_n)$$
$$= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \chi(a) \sum_{\substack{x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times} \\ x_1 \cdots x_n = a}} \psi_q(x_1 + \dots + x_n)$$
$$= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \chi(a) \operatorname{Kl}_n(a, q).$$

That is, $\chi \mapsto g(\psi_q, \chi)^n$ is the Fourier transform of the Kloosterman sums that we encountered before!

As we do now, Kloosterman himself needed to bound the sums $KI_n(a, q)$, but only for n = 2.

As we do now, Kloosterman himself needed to bound the sums $KI_n(a,q)$, but only for n = 2. By calculating the fourth moment,

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \mathsf{Kl}_2(a,q)^4 = 2q^3 - 3q^2 - 3q - 1,$$

he concluded that $|KI_2(a,q)| < 2q^{3/4}$.

As we do now, Kloosterman himself needed to bound the sums $KI_n(a,q)$, but only for n = 2. By calculating the fourth moment,

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \mathsf{Kl}_2(a,q)^4 = 2q^3 - 3q^2 - 3q - 1,$$

he concluded that $|KI_2(a,q)| < 2q^{3/4}$.

The estimation of the sixth moment allowed Salié and Davenport to upgrade the exponent from 3/4 to 2/3.

As we do now, Kloosterman himself needed to bound the sums $KI_n(a,q)$, but only for n = 2. By calculating the fourth moment,

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \mathsf{KI}_2(a,q)^4 = 2q^3 - 3q^2 - 3q - 1,$$

he concluded that $|KI_2(a,q)| < 2q^{3/4}$.

The estimation of the sixth moment allowed Salié and Davenport to upgrade the exponent from 3/4 to 2/3.

Finally, Hasse observed that the optimal bound $|KI_2(a,q)| < 2\sqrt{q}$ would follow from the Riemann Hypothesis for curves over finite fields.

The optimal bound for $KI_n(a,q)$ with n > 2 was only proved, by Deligne, almost 40 years after Weil proved the Riemann Hypothesis for curves over finite fields and established the n = 2 case. The optimal bound for $KI_n(a,q)$ with n > 2 was only proved, by Deligne, almost 40 years after Weil proved the Riemann Hypothesis for curves over finite fields and established the n = 2 case.

Now, in great Grothendieckian style, it is a somewhat straighforward application of all the breathtaking machinery of the previous section.

Let $k = \mathbb{F}_q$, X be the vanishing set of $x_1 \cdots x_n - a$ inside \mathbb{G}_m^n , and take $f : X \to \mathbb{G}_a$ be the "sum" function.

Let $k = \mathbb{F}_q$, X be the vanishing set of $x_1 \cdots x_n - a$ inside \mathbb{G}_m^n , and take $f : X \to \mathbb{G}_a$ be the "sum" function.

As we explained, we have that

$$\mathsf{KI}_n(a,q) = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} (-1)^i \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Frob}_k | \operatorname{H}^i_c(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathscr{L}_{\psi_q(f)})).$$

In the SGA4 $\frac{1}{2}$, Deligne calculated these cohomology groups and concluded that $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{i} = 0$ for all $i \neq n - 1$, and that $\mathrm{H}^{n-1} = \mathrm{H}_{c}^{n-1}$ is *n*-dimensional. Moreover, since $\psi_{q}(f(x))$ is always a *p*-th root of unity, $\mathscr{L}_{\psi_{q}(f)}$ is pure of weight 0.

In the SGA4¹/₂, Deligne calculated these cohomology groups and concluded that $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{i} = 0$ for all $i \neq n - 1$, and that $\mathrm{H}^{n-1} = \mathrm{H}_{c}^{n-1}$ is *n*-dimensional. Moreover, since $\psi_{q}(f(x))$ is always a *p*-th root of unity, $\mathscr{L}_{\psi_{q}(f)}$ is pure of weight 0.

All these facts, along with Weil II, implies that

$$|\operatorname{KI}_n(a,q)| = |\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Frob}_k | \operatorname{H}^{n-1}_{c}(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathscr{L}_{\psi_q(f)}))| \le nq^{(n-1)/2},$$

the optimal bound.

This allows us to finish our proof of the equidistribution of the angles of Gauss sums. By summing over the non-trivial χ , we obtain

$$\sum_{\chi \neq 1} g(\psi_q, \chi)^n = -g(\psi_q, 1)^n + \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \mathsf{KI}_n(a, q) \sum_{\chi} \chi(a) = (-1)^{n+1} + (q-1) \, \mathsf{KI}_n(1, q)$$

This allows us to finish our proof of the equidistribution of the angles of Gauss sums. By summing over the non-trivial χ , we obtain

$$\sum_{\chi \neq 1} g(\psi_q, \chi)^n = -g(\psi_q, 1)^n + \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \mathsf{KI}_n(a, q) \sum_{\chi} \chi(a) = (-1)^{n+1} + (q-1) \, \mathsf{KI}_n(1, q)$$

Finally, using Deligne's bound, we conclude that

$$\left|\frac{1}{q^{n/2}(q-2)}\sum_{\chi\neq 1}g(\psi_q,\chi)^n\right|\leq \frac{2n+1}{\sqrt{q}},$$

which goes to zero as q tends to infinity. This finishes the proof.

Questions?