HAMILTON JACOBI EQUATIONS ON METRIC SPACES AND TRANSPORT ENTROPY INEQUALITIES

N. GOZLAN, C. ROBERTO, P-M. SAMSON

ABSTRACT. We prove an Hopf-Lax-Oleinik formula for the solutions of some Hamilton-Jacobi equations on a general metric space. As a first consequence, we show in full generality that the log-Sobolev inequality is equivalent to an hypercontractivity property of the Hamilton-Jacobi semi-group. As a second consequence, we prove that Talagrand's transportentropy inequalities in metric space are characterized in terms of log-Sobolev inequalities restricted to the class of *c*-convex functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $L : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function with super linear growth, in the sense that $L(h)/||h|| \to \infty$, when $||h|| \to \infty$, where $||\cdot||$ is any norm on \mathbb{R}^m . It is well known that if f is some Lipschitz function on \mathbb{R}^m , the function $Q_t f$ defined by

(1.1)
$$Q_t f(x) = \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^m} \left\{ f(y) + tL((x-y)/t) \right\}, \quad t \ge 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^m,$$

is a solution, in different weak senses, of the following Hamilton-Jacobi equation

(1.2)
$$\partial_t u(t,x) = -L^*(\partial_x u(t,x))$$

with initial condition u(0, x) = f(x), where $L^*(v) = \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}^m} \{u \cdot v - L(u)\}$ is the Fenchel-Legendre transform of L (see for instance [6]). It can be shown, for example, that the function $(t, x) \mapsto Q_t f(x)$ is almost everywhere differentiable in $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^m$ and that (1.2) is verified at every such point of differentiability (see e.g [6, Chapter 3]). Formula (1.1) is usually referred to as the Hopf-Lax-Oleinik formula for Hamilton-Jacobi equations.

Date: March 12, 2012.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. 60E15, 32F32 and 26D10.

Key words and phrases. Transport inequalities, Hamilton-Jacobi equations, logarithmic-Sobolev inequalities, metric spaces.

The authors were partially supported by the "Agence Nationale de la Recherche" through the grants ANR 2011 BS01 007 01 and ANR 10 LABX-58; the second author was partially supported by the European Research Council through the "Advanced Grant" PTRELSS 228032.

The objective of this paper is twofold:

- (i) generalize the Hopf-Lax-Oleinik (HLO) formula to a class of Hamilton-Jacobi equations in a metric space framework;
- (ii) use this aforementioned HLO formula to establish different connections between logarithmic Sobolev type inequalities and transport-entropy inequalities.
- 1.1. General framework. In this section we give the general setting of this article.

1.1.1. Assumptions on the space. In all the paper, (X, d) will be a complete and separable metric space in which closed balls are compact. This latter assumption could be removed at the expense of additional standard technicalities. We will sometimes assume that (X, d) is a *geodesic space*, meaning that for every two points $x, y \in X$ there is at least one curve $(\gamma_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ with $\gamma_0 = x$, $\gamma_1 = y$ and such that $d(\gamma_s, \gamma_t) = |t - s| d(x, y)$ for all $s, t \in [0,1]$. Such a curve is called a geodesic between x and y.

1.1.2. The sup and inf convolution "semigroups". In all the paper, $\alpha : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ will be an increasing convex function of class \mathcal{C}^1 such that $\alpha(0) = 0$. If $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded function, we define for all t > 0 the functions $P_t f$ and $Q_t f$ as follows:

(1.3)
$$P_t f(x) = \sup_{y \in X} \left\{ f(y) - t\alpha \left(\frac{d(x, y)}{t} \right) \right\}, \quad \forall x \in X,$$

and

(1.4)
$$Q_t f(x) = \inf_{y \in X} \left\{ f(y) + t\alpha \left(\frac{d(x, y)}{t} \right) \right\}, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

The operators P_t and Q_t are connected by the following simple relation

$$Q_t f = -P_t(-f).$$

When the space (X, d) is geodesic, the families of operators $\{Q_t\}_{t>0}$ and $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ form nonlinear semigroups acting on bounded functions:

$$Q_{t+s}f = Q_t(Q_s f)$$
 and $P_{t+s}f = P_t(P_s f)$, $\forall t, s > 0$

for all bounded function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$. When (X, d) is not geodesic, only half of this property is preserved:

$$Q_{t+s}f \leq Q_t(Q_s f)$$
 and $P_{t+s}f \geq P_t(P_s f)$, $\forall t, s > 0$

Now we present our main results.

1.2. An Hopf-Lax-Oleinik formula on a metric space. Our objective is to show that the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (1.2) is still verified by $Q_t f$ in the metric space framework introduced above. To that purpose we first need to give a meaning to the state space partial derivative ∂_x in this context.

We will adopt the following classical measurements $|\nabla^+ f|(x)$ and $|\nabla^- f|(x)$ of the local slope of a function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ around $x \in X$ defined by

(1.5)
$$|\nabla^+ f|(x) = \limsup_{y \to x} \frac{[f(y) - f(x)]_+}{d(x, y)}, \quad |\nabla^- f|(x) = \limsup_{y \to x} \frac{[f(y) - f(x)]_-}{d(x, y)},$$

(by convention, we set $|\nabla^{\pm} f|(x) = 0$, if x is an isolated point in X).

If f is locally Lipschitz, then $|\nabla^{\pm} f|(x)$ are finite for every $x \in X$. Moreover, if f is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant denoted by $\operatorname{Lip}(f)$, then $|\nabla^{\pm} f|(x) \leq \operatorname{Lip}(f)$ for all $x \in X$. Finally, when X is a Riemannian manifold and f is differentiable at x, it is not difficult to check that $|\nabla^{\pm} f|(x)$ is equal to the norm of the vector $\nabla f(x) \in T_x X$ (the tangent space at x).

One of our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.6. If $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is an upper semicontinuous function bounded from above, then the following Hamilton-Jacobi differential inequalities hold

(1.7)
$$\frac{d}{dt_{+}}P_{t}f(x) \ge \alpha^{*} \left(|\nabla^{+}P_{t}f|(x) \right) \qquad \forall t > 0, \quad \forall x \in X,$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt_{-}}P_{t}f(x) \ge \alpha^{*} \left(|\nabla^{-}P_{t}f|(x) \right) \qquad \forall t > 0, \quad \forall x \in X,$$

where $\alpha^*(u) = \sup_{h\geq 0} \{hu - \alpha(h)\}, u \geq 0$, and where d/dt_+ and d/dt_- denote respectively the right and left time derivatives.

Moreover, when the space (X, d) is geodesic, it holds

(1.8)
$$\frac{d}{dt_+} P_t f(x) = \alpha^* \left(|\nabla^+ P_t f|(x) \right) \qquad \forall t > 0, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

The interesting feature of Theorem 1.6 is that there is no measure theory in its formulation: the conclusion holds for all t > 0 and all $x \in X$. Theorem 1.6 extends previous results by Lott and Villani [13, 20], where (1.8) was obtained on compact measured geodesic spaces (X, d, μ) provided the measure μ verifies some additional assumptions. More precisely, it is proved in [13] that if μ verifies a doubling condition together with a local Poincaré inequality, then (1.8) holds true, for all t and for all x outside a set N_t of μ measure 0. Under the geometric assumption that (X, d) is finite dimensional with Aleksandrov curvature bounded below, Lott and Villani obtained the validity of (1.8) for all t and x. In [20, Theorem 22.46], Villani proves (1.8) for all t and x on a Riemannian manifold.

We indicate that, during the preparation of this work, we learned that Theorem 1.6 has also been obtained by Ambrosio, Gigli and Savaré in their recent paper [1] (see also [2]), with a very similar proof. Let us underline that the inequality

(1.9)
$$\frac{d}{dt_{+}}Q_{t}f(x) \leq -\alpha^{*}\left(|\nabla^{-}Q_{t}f|(x)\right),$$

which is equivalent to (1.7), is an important ingredient in their study of gradient flows of entropic functionals over general metric spaces. The main source of inspiration of the present paper is the seminal work by Bobkov, Gentil and Ledoux [4] establishing the equivalence between the logarithmic Sobolev inequality and hypercontractivity properties of Hamilton-Jacobi solutions.

The main tool in the proof of Theorem 1.6 is the following result of independent interest.

Theorem 1.10. Let $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be an upper semicontinuous function bounded from above. For all t > 0 and $x \in X$, denote by m(t, x) the set of points where the supremum (1.3) defining $P_t f(x)$ is reached:

$$m(t,x) = \left\{ \bar{y} \in X : P_t f(x) = f(\bar{y}) - t\alpha \left(\frac{d(x,\bar{y})}{t}\right) \right\}.$$

These sets are always non empty and compact and it holds

$$\frac{d}{dt_{+}}P_{t}f(x) = \beta\left(\frac{1}{t}\max_{\bar{y}\in m(t,x)}d(x,\bar{y})\right), \qquad \forall t > 0, \quad \forall x \in X$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt_{-}}P_{t}f(x) = \beta \left(\frac{1}{t}\min_{\bar{y}\in m(t,x)} d(x,\bar{y})\right), \qquad \forall t > 0, \quad \forall x \in X,$$

where $\beta(h) = h\alpha'(h) - \alpha(h), h \ge 0.$

1.3. Hypercontractivity of Q_t and the log-Sobolev inequality. Let μ be a Borel probability measure on X. Recall that the entropy functional $\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}(\cdot)$ is defined by

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}(g) = \int g \log\left(\frac{g}{\int g \, d\mu}\right) \, d\mu, \qquad \forall g > 0.$$

In order to introduce the log-Sobolev inequality, and for technical reasons, define, for r > 0,

$$\operatorname{Lip}(f,r) = \sup_{\substack{x,y:\\d(x,y) \le r}} \frac{|f(y) - d(x)|}{d(x,y)}$$

and observe that the usual Lipschitz constant is $\operatorname{Lip}(f) = \sup_r \operatorname{Lip}(f, r)$. Then, we denote by \mathcal{F}_{α} the set of bounded functions $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\operatorname{Lip}(f, r) < \infty$ for some r > 0 and

$$\operatorname{Lip}(f) \le \lim_{h \to \infty} \frac{\alpha(h)}{h}$$

(observe that if $\alpha(h)/h \to \infty$ when $h \to \infty$, this last condition is empty).

The probability measure μ is said to satisfy the modified log-Sobolev inequality minus $\mathbf{LSI}_{\alpha}^{-}(C)$ for some C > 0 if

$$(\mathbf{LSI}_{\alpha}^{-}(C)) \qquad \qquad \operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}(e^{f}) \leq C \int \alpha^{*}(|\nabla^{-}f|)e^{f} d\mu \qquad \forall f \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}.$$

In particular, when $\alpha(h) = h^p/p$, $h \ge 0$, with p > 1, it holds $\alpha^*(h) = h^q/q$, $h \ge 0$ with 1/p + 1/q = 1. In this case, we write \mathbf{LSI}_q^- for \mathbf{LSI}_{α}^- . If X is a Riemannian manifold and μ is absolutely continuous with respect to the volume element, the inequality \mathbf{LSI}_2^- is the usual logarithmic Sobolev inequality introduced by Gross [12].

Following Bobkov, Gentil and Ledoux [4] we relate $\mathbf{LSI}_{\alpha}^{-}(C)$ to hypercontractivity properties of the family of operators $\{Q_t\}_{t>0}$. To perform the proof, we need to make some restrictions on the function α . We will say that α verifies the Δ_2 -condition [17] if there is some positive constant K such that

$$\alpha(2x) \le K\alpha(x), \qquad \forall x \ge 0.$$

Theorem 1.11. Suppose that α verifies the Δ_2 -condition. Then the exponents $r_{\alpha} \leq p_{\alpha}$ defined by

$$r_{\alpha} = \inf_{x>0} \frac{x\alpha'(x)}{\alpha(x)} \ge 1 \qquad and \qquad 1 < p_{\alpha} = \sup_{x>0} \frac{x\alpha'(x)}{\alpha(x)}$$

are both finite. Moreover, the measure μ satisfies $\mathbf{LSI}_{\alpha}^{-}(C)$ if and only if for all t > 0, for all $t_{o} \leq C(p_{\alpha} - 1)$ and for all bounded continuous function $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$,

(1.12)
$$\left\| e^{Q_t f} \right\|_{k(t)} \le \left\| e^f \right\|_{k(0)},$$

with

$$k(t) = \begin{cases} \left(1 + \frac{C^{-1}(t-t_o)}{p_{\alpha}-1}\right)^{p_{\alpha}-1} \mathbf{1}_{t \le t_o} + \left(1 + \frac{C^{-1}(t-t_o)}{r_{\alpha}-1}\right)^{r_{\alpha}-1} \mathbf{1}_{t > t_o} & \text{if } r_{\alpha} > 1\\ \min\left(1; \left(1 + \frac{C^{-1}(t-t_o)}{p_{\alpha}-1}\right)^{p_{\alpha}-1}\right) & \text{if } r_{\alpha} = 1 \end{cases}$$

where $||g||_{k} = (\int |g|^{k} d\mu)^{1/k}$ for $k \neq 0$ and $||g||_{0} = \exp(\int \log g \, d\mu)$.

Our proof follows the line of [4]. Let us explain in few words how to derive (1.12) from $\mathbf{LSI}_{\alpha}^{-}$. Since $Q_t f \to f$ when $t \to 0$, it is enough to show that $H: t \mapsto \log \|e^{Q_t f}\|_{k(t)}$ is non-increasing. The left derivative of H has an expression involving $\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}(e^{k(t)Q_t f})$ and $\int \frac{d}{dt_+}Q_t f e^{k(t)Q_t f} d\mu$ (see Proposition 4.1). To bound the first term from above, we apply the inequality $\mathbf{LSI}_{\alpha}^{-}$. To bound the second term, we use the inequality (1.9) which is precisely in the right direction to prove that the left derivative of H is negative.

1.4. From log-Sobolev to transport-entropy inequalities. Following [4, 13], a byproduct of the above hypercontractivity result is a metric space extension of Otto-Villani's theorem [15] that indicates that log-Sobolev inequalities imply transport-entropy inequalities.

Let $c: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function; recall that the optimal transport cost $\mathcal{T}_c(\nu_1, \nu_2)$ between two Borel probability measures $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ (the set of all Borel probability measures on X) is defined by

$$\mathcal{T}_c(\nu_1,\nu_2) = \inf_{\pi \in P(\nu_1,\nu_2)} \iint c(x,y) \, \pi(dxdy),$$

where $P(\nu_1, \nu_2)$ is the set of all probability measures π on $X \times X$ such that $\pi(dx \times X) = \nu_1(dx)$ and $\pi(X \times dy) = \nu_2(dy)$.

The probability measure μ is said to satisfy the *transport-entropy inequality* $\mathbf{T}_{c}(C)$, for some C > 0 if

$$(\mathbf{T}_c(C)) \qquad \qquad \mathcal{T}_c(\mu,\nu) \le CH(\nu|\mu), \qquad \forall \nu \in \mathcal{P}(X),$$

where

$$H(\nu|\mu) = \begin{cases} \int \log \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \, d\nu & \text{if } \nu \ll \mu \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is the relative entropy of ν with respect to μ . This class of inequalities was introduced by Marton and Talagrand [14, 19]. When $c(x, y) = \alpha(d(x, y))$ we denote the optimal transport cost by $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}(\cdot, \cdot)$ and the corresponding transport inequality by \mathbf{T}_{α} . In the particular case, when $\alpha(x) = x^p/p, p \ge 2$ we use the notation \mathcal{T}_p and \mathbf{T}_p .

The first point of the next theorem will appear to be an easy consequence of Theorem 1.11 and of Bobkov and Götze dual formulation of the inequality \mathbf{T}_{α} (which roughly speaking corresponds to the hypercontractivity with $t_o = C(p_{\alpha} - 1)$ or equivalently k(0) = 0).

Theorem 1.13. Suppose that α verifies the Δ_2 -condition. If μ verifies $\mathbf{LSI}_{\alpha}^{-}(C)$, then it verifies $\mathbf{T}_{\alpha}(A)$, with

$$A = \max\left(((p_{\alpha} - 1)C)^{r_{\alpha} - 1}; ((p_{\alpha} - 1)C)^{p_{\alpha} - 1}\right),$$

where the numbers r_{α}, p_{α} are defined in Theorem 1.12.

In a Riemannian framework and for the quadratic function $\alpha(t) = t^2/2$, Theorem 1.13 was first obtained by Otto and Villani in [15], closely followed by Bobkov, Gentil and Ledoux [4]. Extensions to other functions α were provided in [4, 7]. The path space case was treated by Wang in [21]. In [13], Lott and Villani extended to certain geodesic measured spaces (X, d, μ) the Hamilton-Jacobi approach of [4] in the quadratic case. They proved Theorem 1.13 under additional assumptions on μ (doubling property and local Poincaré). Under the same assumptions Balogh, Engoulatov, Hunziker and Maasalo [3] treated the case of $\mathbf{LSI}_q^$ for all $q \leq 2$. The first proofs of Otto-Villani theorem valid on any complete separable metric space appeared in [9] and [11]. Their common feature is the use of the stability of the log-Sobolev inequality under tensor products of the reference probability measure. In a recent paper [8], Gigli and Ledoux give another quick proof of Otto-Villani theorem on metric spaces. It is based on calculations along gradient flows in the Wasserstein space.

Using some rough properties of the operators Q_t , we also provide a metric space generalization of another result by Otto and Villani [15] relating transport-entropy inequalities to Poincaré inequality.

Proposition 1.14. Let $\theta : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be any function such that $\theta(x) \ge \min(x^2, a^2)$ for some a > 0. If μ verifies $\mathbf{T}_{\theta}(C)$ for some C > 0, then it verifies the following Poincaré inequality:

$$\operatorname{Var}_{\mu}(f) \leq \frac{C}{2} \int |\nabla^{-}f|^{2} d\mu,$$

for all bounded function f such that $\operatorname{Lip}(f, r) < \infty$, for some r > 0.

1.5. Transport-entropy inequalities as restricted log-Sobolev inequalities. A second consequence of the Hamilton-Jacobi approach on metric spaces is a characterization of transport-entropy inequalities in terms of log-Sobolev inequalities restricted to a certain class of functions depending on the cost function α .

To be more precise, let us say that a function f is c-convex with respect to a cost function $(x, y) \mapsto c(x, y)$ defined on $X \times X$ if there is a function $g: X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$ such that

$$f(x) = P_c g(x) = \sup_{y \in X} \{g(y) - c(x, y)\} \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}, \qquad \forall x \in X.$$

The class of *c*-convex functions is intimately related to optimal-transport, via for instance the Kantorovich duality theorem (see e.g [20]).

An important case is when $c(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} ||x - y||_2^2$ on \mathbb{R}^m (see Proposition 2.3 below). In this case, a function $f : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ is *c*-convex if and only if the function $x \mapsto f(x) + ||x||_2^2/2$ is convex on \mathbb{R}^m . If f is of class \mathcal{C}^2 , this amounts to say that Hess $f \ge -\text{Id}$.

In what follows, we consider the cost $c_p(x, y) = d^p(x, y)/p$, $p \ge 2$. The second main result of this paper is the following

Theorem 1.15. Let μ be a probability measure on a geodesic space (X, d) and $p \ge 2$. The following properties are equivalent:

(1) There is some C > 0 such that μ verifies $\mathbf{T}_p(C)$.

(2) There is some D > 0 such that μ verifies the following (τ) -log-Sobolev inequality: for all bounded continuous f and all $0 < \lambda < 1/D$, it holds

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}(e^{f}) \leq \frac{1}{1-\lambda D} \int (f - Q^{\lambda}f)e^{f} d\mu,$$

where for all $\lambda > 0$, $Q^{\lambda} f(x) = \inf_{y \in X} \left\{ f(y) + \lambda c_p(x, y) \right\}$.

(3) There is some E > 0 such that μ verifies the following restricted log-Sobolev inequality: for all Kc_p -convex function f, with 0 < K < 1/E it holds

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}(e^{f}) \leq \frac{\beta_{p}(u) - 1}{pK^{q-1}(1 - KEu)} \int |\nabla^{+}f|^{q} e^{f} d\mu, \qquad \forall u \in (1, 1/(KE)),$$

where q = p/(p-1) and $\beta_p(u) = \frac{u}{[u^{1/(p-1)}-1]^{p-1}}$ for all u > 1.

The optimal constants $C_{opt}, D_{opt}, E_{opt}$ are related as follows

$$E_{\rm opt} \le D_{\rm opt} \le C_{\rm opt} \le \kappa_p E_{\rm opt},$$

where κ_p is some universal constant depending only on p. For p = 2, one can take $\kappa_2 = e^2$.

Let us make some comments on Theorem 1.15.

- The implication $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ is true for any cost function c. It was first proved in [10].
- In [11], we proved that (1) is equivalent to (2) for cost functions $c(x, y) = \alpha(d(x, y))$ as soon as α verifies the Δ_2 -condition. Our proof (in [11]) makes use of a tensorization technique and is thus rather different from the one presented here.
- In [10], we proved that (1) is equivalent to (3) in a framework essentially Euclidean: $X = \mathbb{R}^m$ and $c(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} ||x - y||_2^2$.

Theorem 1.15 thus provides a wide extension of the results in [10] and unifies nicely the results of [10] and [11].

Let us mention that Theorem 1.15 as stated above is not as general as possible. Indeed, we will see in Section 5 that this equivalence is still true when the space is not geodesic (Theorem 5.1). In this more general framework (3) has to be replaced by a slightly weaker version of the restricted log-Sobolev inequality. The main tool to prove this extension is Theorem 1.10. It would also be possible to consider more general costs of the form $c(x, y) = \alpha(d(x, y))$ with α satisfying the Δ_2 -condition but, to avoid some lengthy developpements, this will not be treated here.

We end this introduction with a short roadmap of the paper. Section 2 is devoted to *c*-convex functions. In particular, we will recall and prove some well known facts about the subdifferential $\partial_c f(x)$ of a *c*-convex function. In Proposition 2.8, we will relate their gradients $|\nabla^{\pm} f|(x)$ to the minimal or maximal distance between x and the subdifferential $\partial_c f(x)$. Section 3 contains the proof of the HLO formula. In Section 4, we prove the hypercontractivity property of Theorem 1.12, and deduce as a corollary the Otto-Villani Theorem 1.13. Section 5 contains the proof of an improved version of our main result Theorem 1.15. Finally, the appendix gathers some technical results.

N. GOZLAN, C. ROBERTO, P-M. SAMSON

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. General framework.	2
1.2. An Hopf-Lax-Oleinik formula on a metric space.	2
1.3. Hypercontractivity of Q_t and the log-Sobolev inequality	4
1.4. From log-Sobolev to transport-entropy inequalities	5
1.5. Transport-entropy inequalities as restricted log-Sobolev inequalities	6
2. About <i>c</i> -convex functions	8
2.1. Definition of <i>c</i> -convex functions and first results	8
2.2. The <i>c</i> -subdifferential of a <i>c</i> -convex function	9
2.3. Comparisons of gradients	11
3. Proof of the Hamilton-Jacobi equations	12
4. log-Sobolev inequality and hypercontractivity on a metric space	14
5. Transport-entropy inequalities as restricted log-Sobolev inequalities	18
5.1. From transport-entropy inequalities to (τ) -log-Sobolev inequalities	18
5.2. From transport entropy inequalities to log-Sobolev inequalities for c_p -convex functions	19
5.3. From log-Sobolev inequalities for c_p -convex functions to transport-entropy inequalities	20
Appendix A. Proof of Lemma 2.7, Lemma 2.10, Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 4.4	22
References	26

2. About c-convex functions

In this section we introduce the somehow classical notions of c-convex (and c-concave) functions and of c-subdifferential. We will also give several useful facts about these notions. The interested reader may find more results and comments, and some bibliographic notes, in [20, Chapter 5].

2.1. Definition of *c*-convex functions and first results. Let X, Y be two polish spaces and $c: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ be a general cost function and set $\overline{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$. For any function $f: X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, we define $Q_c f: Y \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ by

$$Q_c f(y) := \inf_{x \in X} \{ f(x) + c(x, y) \}.$$

For any function $g: Y \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, we define $P_c g: X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, by

$$P_c g(x) := \sup_{y \in Y} \{g(y) - c(x, y)\}.$$

Definition 2.1 (*c*-convex and *c*-concave functions). A function $f : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is said to be *c*-convex if there is some function $g : Y \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $f = P_c g$. A function $g : Y \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is said to be *c*-concave if there is some function $f : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $g = Q_c f$.

In the definition above, we follow the convention of Villani's book for c-convex functions [20]. Other authors as Rachev and Rüschendorf [16] define c-convex functions as those functions $f : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ such that there is some function $g : Y \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $f(x) = \sup_{y \in Y} \{g(y) + c(x, y)\}$.

Proposition 2.2. For any function $f: X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, the inequality $P_cQ_cf \leq f$ holds. Moreover, $f: X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is c-convex if and only if $P_cQ_cf = f$.

Proof. For the first point observe that; for z = x,

$$P_c Q_c f(x) = \sup_{y \in Y} \inf_{z \in X} \{ f(z) + c(z, y) - c(x, y) \} \le f(x).$$

Let us prove the second point. Trivially, a function f such that $f = P_c Q_c f$ is c-convex. Conversely, if $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is c-convex, then there is some function g on Y such that $f(x) = \sup_{y \in Y} \{g(y) - c(x, y)\} = Q_c g(y)$. Hence g verifies $g(y) \leq \inf_{x \in X} \{f(x) + c(x, y)\}$. Plugging this inequality into $f = P_c g$ gives $f \leq P_c Q_c f$. Since the other direction always holds, the proof is complete. \Box

Recall that a function $f : \mathbb{R}^m \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is said to be *closed* (see [18]) if either $f = -\infty$ everywhere or f takes its values in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ and is lower semicontinuous. It is said to be *convex* if its epigraph $\{(x, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R} : \alpha \ge f(x)\}$ is a convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}$. Let us denote by $\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^m)$ the set of all closed and convex functions on \mathbb{R}^m .

Proposition 2.3 (Examples). Assume that $X = Y = \mathbb{R}^m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, equipped with its standard Euclidean structure and let $f : \mathbb{R}^m \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. Then,

- (1) If $c(x, y) = x \cdot y$, f is c-convex if and only if $f \in \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^m)$.
- (2) If $c(x,y) = \frac{1}{2} ||x-y||_2^2$, f is c-convex if and only if $f + || \cdot ||_2^2/2 \in \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^m)$. In particular, if $f : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ is of class \mathcal{C}^2 then it is c-convex if and only if Hess $f(x) \ge -\text{Id}$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Proof.

(1) By definition, a function f is c-convex for $c(x, y) = x \cdot y$ if and only if $f = h^*$ for some function $h : \mathbb{R}^m \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. It is well known (and easy to check) that $h^* \in \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^m)$ for all h. Conversely, if $f \in \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^m)$ then $f = f^{**}$ (see e.g [18]) and so f is c-convex.

(2) The function f is a c-convex function for $c(x, y) = ||x - y||_2^2/2$ if and only if $f = P_c g$, for some $g : \mathbb{R}^m \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. Since

$$f(x) + \frac{\|x\|_2^2}{2} = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^m} \left\{ x \cdot y - \left(\frac{\|y\|_2^2}{2} - g(y)\right) \right\},\$$

the conclusion follows from the first point.

2.2. The *c*-subdifferential of a *c*-convex function. In this section we define the notion of *c*-subdifferential of a *c*-convex function and derive some facts that will appear to be useful later.

Definition 2.4 (c-subdifferential). Let $f : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a c-convex function and $x \in X$; the c-subdifferential of f at point x is the set, denoted by $\partial_c f(x) \subset Y$, of the points $\overline{y} \in Y$ such that

$$f(z) \ge f(x) + c(x, \bar{y}) - c(z, \bar{y}), \qquad \forall z \in X.$$

The next lemma gives a characterisation of the *c*-subdifferential.

Lemma 2.5. For all $x \in X$, $\partial_c f(x)$ is the set of points $y \in Y$ achieving the supremum in $f(x) = P_c Q_c f(x)$. More precisely,

$$\partial_c f(x) = \{ y \in Y : f(x) = Q_c f(y) - c(x, y) \}.$$

More generally, if $f = P_c g$, for some function $g: Y \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, then

$$\{y \in Y : f(x) = g(y) - c(x, y)\} \subset \partial_c f(x).$$

Proof. The first part of the lemma is simple and left to the reader. Let us prove the second part. Since $f(x) = \sup_{y \in Y} \{g(y) - c(x, y)\}, x \in X$, we have $g \leq Q_c f$. So if, $f(x) = g(\bar{y}) - c(x, \bar{y})$ then $f(x) \leq Q_c f(\bar{y}) - c(x, \bar{y}) \leq f(z) + c(z, \bar{y}) - c(x, \bar{y})$, for all $z \in X$ which proves that $\bar{y} \in \partial_c f(x)$.

Lemma 2.6. Suppose that the function $c : X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and bounded from below and that, for all $x \in X$, the level sets $\{y \in Y; c(x, y) \leq r\}$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$, are compact. If $f : X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ is a c-convex function bounded from above, then $\partial_c f(x) \neq \emptyset$ for all $x \in X$.

Proof. The function $Q_c f$ is an infimum of continuous functions on Y, so it is upper semicontinuous on Y. For all $x \in X$, the function $\varphi_x : y \mapsto Q_c f(y) - c(x, y)$ is thus upper semicontinuous on Y. Since f is bounded from above and c from below, the function φ_x is bounded from above. Finally if $y \in \{\varphi_x \ge r\}$ then $c(x, y) \le \sup f + \inf_z c(x, z) - r$. Hence $\{\varphi_x \ge r\}$ is compact. From this follows that φ_x achieves its supremum at some point \overline{y} which, according to Lemma 2.5, necessarily belongs to $\partial_c f(x)$.

For a better understanding of the notion, in the next lemma we express the c-subdifferential of a c-convex function f in term of its gradient in some simple cases.

Lemma 2.7. Suppose that $X = Y = \mathbb{R}^m$ and that c(x, y) = L(x - y) where $L : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is a differentiable convex function with superlinear growth, i.e $L(x)/||x|| \to +\infty$ when $x \to \infty$, where $|| \cdot ||$ denotes any norm on \mathbb{R}^m . Let f be a c-convex function bounded from above differentiable at some point x. Then

$$\partial_c f(x) = \{ x - \nabla(L^*)(-\nabla f(x)) \},\$$

where $L^*(y) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m} \{x \cdot y - L(y)\}$ is the Fenchel-Legendre transform of L.

We recall that if L is strictly convex and has a superlinear growth, then its Fenchel-Legendre transform is differentiable everywhere [18]. Lemma 2.7 is well known. However, for the sake of completeness, we will recall its proof in the appendix.

2.3. Comparisons of gradients. In this last section, as in the rest of the paper, we will assume that (X, d) is a complete separable metric space in which closed balls are compact. We take Y = X and we consider a cost function c on $X \times X$ of the form

$$c(x,y) = \alpha(d(x,y)),$$

where $\alpha : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is an increasing convex function of class \mathcal{C}^1 such that $\alpha(0) = 0$.

If $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is c-convex for the cost $c(x, y) = \alpha(d(x, y))$, we introduce the following quantities

$$|\nabla_c^- f|(x) = \alpha' \left(\inf_{\bar{y} \in \partial_c f(x)} d(x, \bar{y}) \right) \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla_c^+ f|(x) = \alpha' \left(\sup_{\bar{y} \in \partial_c f(x)} d(x, \bar{y}) \right).$$

The following proposition compares $|\nabla_c^{\pm} f|$ to $|\nabla^{\pm} f|$ defined in (1.5).

Proposition 2.8. Let $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a c-convex function for the cost $c(x, y) = \alpha(d(x, y))$. Suppose that $f = P_c g$ for some upper semicontinuous function $g : X \to \mathbb{R}$ bounded from above and consider for all $x \in X$ the set m(x) defined by $m(x) = \{y \in X : f(x) = g(y) - \alpha(d(x, y))\}$.

(1) The following inequalities hold

$$|\nabla^+ f|(x) \le \alpha'(\max_{\bar{y} \in m(x)} d(x, \bar{y})) \le |\nabla_c^+ f|(x).$$

(2) If (X, d) is a geodesic space, then

$$|\nabla^+ f|(x) = \alpha'(\max_{\bar{y} \in m(x)} d(x, \bar{y})) = |\nabla_c^+ f|(x).$$

(3) The following inequalities hold

$$|\nabla^{-}f|(x) \le |\nabla^{-}_{c}f|(x) \le \alpha'(\min_{\bar{y} \in m(x)} d(x, \bar{y})).$$

Remark 2.9. We do not know if there is equality in (3) when the space is geodesic.

Proof of Proposition 2.8. (1) First observe that, since $f = P_c g$ with g bounded above, f is locally Lipschitz (see [11, Lemma 3.8]), so that $|\nabla^+ f|$ is finite everywhere. The second inequality is an immediate consequence of the definition of $|\nabla_c^+ f|(x)$ and the fact that, according to Lemma 2.5, $m(x) \subset \partial_c f(x)$. Let us prove the first inequality. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of points converging to x, with $x_n \neq x$ for all n. For all n, fix $y_n \in m(x_n)$. It holds

$$f(x_n) - f(x) \le g(y_n) - \alpha(d(x_n, y_n)) - (g(y_n) - \alpha(d(x, y_n)))$$
$$\le d(x, x_n)\alpha' \left(\max(d(x_n, y_n); d(x, y_n))\right),$$

where the last inequality follows from the mean value theorem, the triangle inequality, the non-negativity and the monotonicity of α' . Since the function $t \mapsto [t]_+$ is non-decreasing, we get

$$\frac{[f(x_n) - f(x)]_+}{d(x_n, x)} \le \alpha'(\max(d(x_n, y_n); d(x, y_n))).$$

So letting $n \to \infty$,

$$\begin{split} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{[f(x_n) - f(x)]_+}{d(x_n, x)} &\leq \alpha' \left(\limsup_{n \to \infty} d(x, y_n)\right) \\ &= \alpha' \left(\max\{d(x, \bar{y}) : \bar{y} \text{ limit point of } (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\}\right). \\ &\leq \alpha' \left(\max_{\bar{y} \in m(x)} d(x, \bar{y})\right), \end{split}$$

where the last inequality comes from Lemma 2.10 bellow.

(2) To prove the second point it is enough to show that $|\nabla_c^+ f|(x) \leq |\nabla^+ f|(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Let $\bar{y} \in \partial_c f(x)$. According to the definition of the *c*-subdifferential,

$$f(z) - f(x) \ge \alpha(d(x, \bar{y})) - \alpha(d(z, \bar{y})), \quad \forall z \in X.$$

From the definition of $|\nabla^+ f|(x)$, it follows that

$$|\nabla^+ f|(x) \ge \limsup_{z \to x} \frac{\alpha(d(x, \bar{y})) - \alpha(d(z, \bar{y}))}{d(x, z)}$$

Let $(z_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ be a geodesic connecting x to \bar{y} , it holds $d(x, z_t) = td(x, \bar{y}), d(z_t, \bar{y}) = (1 - t)d(x, \bar{y})$ and therefore

$$|\nabla^+ f|(x) \ge \limsup_{t \to 0} \frac{\alpha(d(x,\bar{y})) - \alpha((1-t)d(x,\bar{y}))}{td(x,\bar{y})} = \alpha'(d(x,\bar{y})).$$

Optimizing over all $\bar{y} \in \partial_c f(x)$ completes the proof.

(3) Let $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of points converging to x, with $x_n \neq x$ for all n. If $\bar{y} \in \partial_c f(x)$, then it holds

$$f(x_n) - f(x) \ge \alpha \left(d(x, \bar{y}) \right) - \alpha \left(d(x_n, \bar{y}) \right)$$
$$\ge -d(x, x_n) \alpha' \left(\max(d(x_n, \bar{y}); d(x, \bar{y})) \right),$$

where the second inequality follows from the mean value theorem and the triangle inequality. Since the function $t \mapsto [t]_{-}$ is non-increasing, it holds

$$\limsup_{n \to +\infty} \frac{[f(x_n) - f(x)]_{-}}{d(x, z_n)} \le \alpha' \left(d(x, \bar{y}) \right).$$

Optimizing over all $\bar{y} \in \partial_c f(x)$ leads to the first bound in (3). As above, the second inequality in (3) is an immediate consequence of the definition of $|\nabla_c^- f|(x)$ together with the fact that, according to Lemma 2.5, $m(x) \subset \partial_c f(x)$. This achieves the proof. \Box

During the proof we have used the following simple lemma whose proof can be found in the appendix.

Lemma 2.10. Let X be a complete separable metric space with compact balls and $g: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be an upper semicontinuous function bounded from above. Define, for all $x \in X$, $P_tg(x) = \sup_{y \in X} \left\{ g(y) - t\alpha\left(\frac{d(x,y)}{t}\right) \right\}$ and m(t,x) as the set of points $y \in X$ where this supremum is reached. Then,

- (1) The set m(t, x) is a non empty compact set of X.
- (2) Let $x_n \to x \in X$ and $t_n \to t > 0$ be two converging sequences and consider a sequence $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $y_n \in m(t_n, x_n)$ for all n. Then $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded and all its limit points belong to m(t, x).

3. PROOF OF THE HAMILTON-JACOBI EQUATIONS

This part is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.6 and 1.10.

Proof of Theorem 1.10. According to Lemma 2.10, m(t, x) is a non empty compact set of X. We treat the case of the right derivative; the other case is completely analogous. Let $t > 0, x \in X$ and $(h_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of positive numbers converging to 0. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider $z_n \in m(t + h_n, x)$. Then,

$$\frac{1}{h_n} \left(P_{t+h_n} f(x) - P_t f(x) \right) \le \frac{1}{h_n} \left[f(z_n) - (t+h_n) \alpha \left(\frac{d(x,z_n)}{t+h_n} \right) - \left(f(z_n) - t \alpha \left(\frac{d(x,z_n)}{t} \right) \right) \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{h_n} \left[t \alpha \left(\frac{d(x,z_n)}{t} \right) - (t+h_n) \alpha \left(\frac{d(x,z_n)}{t+h_n} \right) \right].$$

Define $D = \limsup_{k \to \infty} d(x, z_k)$ and take $\varepsilon > 0$. For all n large enough,

 $d(x, z_n) \le D + \varepsilon.$

For all $h \ge 0$, all t > 0, by the convexity assumption on α , the map

$$d \mapsto t\alpha\left(\frac{d}{t}\right) - (t+h)\alpha\left(\frac{d}{t+h}\right)$$

is non-decreasing. Hence

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_n} \left[t\alpha \left(\frac{d(x, z_n)}{t} \right) - (t + h_n) \alpha \left(\frac{d(x, z_n)}{t + h_n} \right) \right]$$
$$\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_n} \left[t\alpha \left(\frac{D + \varepsilon}{t} \right) - (t + h_n) \alpha \left(\frac{D + \varepsilon}{t + h_n} \right) \right] = \beta \left(\frac{D + \varepsilon}{t} \right)$$

where we recall that $\beta(h) = h\alpha'(h) - \alpha(h), h \ge 0$. Since α is of class \mathcal{C}^1 , as ε goes to 0 we get

$$\limsup_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{h_n} \left(P_{t+h_n} f(x) - P_t f(x) \right) \le \beta \left(\frac{D}{t} \right).$$

Applying Lemma 2.10, it is not difficult to check that

$$D = \limsup_{n \to \infty} d(x, z_n) = \max\{d(x, \bar{z}) : \bar{z} \text{ limit point of } (z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\} \le \max_{\bar{y} \in m(t, x)} d(x, \bar{y}).$$

The conditions on α ensure that β is non-decreasing and therefore

(3.1)
$$\limsup_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{h_n} \left(P_{t+h_n} f(x) - P_t f(x) \right) \le \beta \left(\frac{\max_{\bar{y} \in m(t,x)} d(x,\bar{y})}{t} \right).$$

Analogously, if $\bar{y} \in m(t, x)$ then

$$\frac{1}{h_n} \left(P_{t+h_n} f(x) - P_t f(x) \right) \ge \frac{1}{h_n} \left(t \alpha \left(\frac{d(x, \bar{y})}{t} \right) - (t+h_n) \alpha \left(\frac{d(x, \bar{y})}{t+h_n} \right) \right)$$

So, letting n go to ∞ , and optimizing over \bar{y} yields

(3.2)
$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_n} \left(P_{t+h_n} f(x) - P_t f(x) \right) \ge \beta \left(\frac{\max_{\bar{y} \in m(t,x)} d(x,\bar{y})}{t} \right)$$

We conclude from (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_n} \left(P_{t+h_n} f(x) - P_t f(x) \right) = \beta \left(\frac{\max_{\bar{y} \in m(t,x)} d(x,\bar{y})}{t} \right).$$

This completes the proof of proposition 1.10.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. According to Theorem 1.10,

$$\frac{d}{dt_+}P_tf(x) = \beta\left(\frac{\max_{\bar{y}\in m(t,x)}d(x,\bar{y})}{t}\right),\,$$

with $\beta(u) = u\alpha'(u) - \alpha(u)$, for all $u \ge 0$. By definition of the *c*-convexity, the function $x \mapsto P_t f(x)$ is *c*-convex for the cost $c(x, y) = t\alpha\left(\frac{d(x, y)}{t}\right)$. Applying the point (1) of Proposition 2.8, it holds

$$|\nabla^+ P_t f|(x) \le \alpha' \left(\frac{\max_{\bar{y} \in m(t,x)} d(x,\bar{y})}{t}\right)$$

Observing that $\beta(u) = \alpha^*(\alpha'(u))$ gives the result. According to point (3) of Proposition 2.8, equality holds in the geodesic case. The proof of the inequality involving the left derivative of $P_t f$ is similar.

4. LOG-SOBOLEV INEQUALITY AND HYPERCONTRACTIVITY ON A METRIC SPACE

In this section, following [4], we show that log-Sobolev inequalities on metric spaces are equivalent to some hypercontractivity property of the "semigroup" Q_t . The proof of Theorem 1.11 relies on the differentiation of the left hand side of (1.12). To that purpose, we use the next technical proposition whose proof is postponed to the appendix.

Proposition 4.1. Let f be a bounded and continuous function on X and $k : (a, b) \to (0, +\infty)$ be a function of class C^1 defined on an open interval $(a, b) \subset (0, \infty)$ and such that $k'(t) \neq 0$ for all t. Define

$$H(t) = \frac{1}{k(t)} \log\left(\int e^{k(t)Q_t f} d\mu\right) \quad and \quad K(t) = \frac{1}{k(t)} \log\left(\int e^{k(t)P_t f} d\mu\right), \qquad t \in (a, b).$$

The functions H and K are continuous and differentiable on the right and on the left on (a, b). Moreover, for all $t \in (a, b)$, it holds

$$\frac{dH}{dt_{+}}(t) = \frac{k'(t)}{k(t)^{2}} \frac{1}{\int e^{k(t)Q_{t}f} d\mu} \left[\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu} \left(e^{k(t)Q_{t}f} \right) + \frac{k(t)^{2}}{k'(t)} \int \left(\frac{d}{dt_{+}} Q_{t}f \right) e^{k(t)Q_{t}f} d\mu \right].$$

The same formula holds for dH/dt_- , dK/dt_+ and dK/dt_- (replacing Q_t by P_t).

Proof of Theorem 1.11. Let us first show that the log-Sobolev inequality implies the hypercontractivity property:

(4.2)
$$\left\| e^{Q_t f} \right\|_{k(t)} \le \left\| e^f \right\|_{k(0)}$$

for all bounded continuous function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$, with

(4.3)
$$k(t) = \left(1 + \frac{C^{-1}(t - t_o)}{p_\alpha - 1}\right)^{p_\alpha - 1} \mathbf{1}_{t \le t_o} + \left(1 + \frac{C^{-1}(t - t_o)}{r_\alpha - 1}\right)^{r_\alpha - 1} \mathbf{1}_{t > t_o},$$

with the convention that $k(t) = \min\left(1; \left(1 + \frac{C^{-1}(t-t_{\alpha})}{p_{\alpha}-1}\right)^{p_{\alpha}-1}\right)$, if $r_{\alpha} = 1$. The exponents r_{α} and p_{α} have the following property (see [11, proof of Lemma A.3]):

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^*(sx) &\leq s^{\frac{p_\alpha}{p_\alpha - 1}} \alpha^*(x), \qquad \forall x \geq 0, \forall s \in [0, 1] \\ \alpha^*(sx) &\leq s^{\frac{r_\alpha}{r_\alpha - 1}} \alpha^*(x), \qquad \forall x \geq 0, \forall s > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $H(t) = \log \|e^{Q_t f}\|_{k(t)}$, with $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ bounded and continuous. According to Proposition 4.1, we have for all t > 0

$$\frac{dH}{dt_{+}}(t) \leq \frac{k'(t)}{k^{2}(t)} \frac{1}{\int e^{k(t)Q_{t}f} d\mu} \left[\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu} \left(e^{k(t)Q_{t}f} \right) + \frac{k^{2}(t)}{k'(t)} \int \frac{d}{dt_{+}} Q_{t} f e^{k(t)Q_{t}f} d\mu \right]$$

Applying $\mathbf{LSI}_{\alpha}^{-}(C)$ to the function $k(t)Q_{t}f$ (which belongs to \mathcal{F}_{α} thanks to Lemma 4.4 below), it follows that for all t > 0 (or all $0 < t \le t_{o}$ if $r_{\alpha} = 1$),

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}\left(e^{k(t)Q_{t}f}\right) \leq C \int \alpha^{*}\left(k(t)|\nabla^{-}Q_{t}f|\right)e^{k(t)Q_{t}f}\,d\mu$$
$$\leq C\left(k(t)^{\frac{p_{\alpha}}{p_{\alpha}-1}}\mathbf{1}_{t\leq t_{o}}+k(t)^{\frac{r_{\alpha}}{r_{\alpha}-1}}\mathbf{1}_{t>t_{o}}\right)\int \alpha^{*}\left(|\nabla^{-}Q_{t}f|\right)e^{k(t)Q_{t}f}\,d\mu$$
$$\leq -C\left(k(t)^{\frac{p_{\alpha}}{p_{\alpha}-1}}\mathbf{1}_{t\leq t_{o}}+k(t)^{\frac{r_{\alpha}}{r_{\alpha}-1}}\mathbf{1}_{t>t_{o}}\right)\int \frac{d}{dt_{+}}Q_{t}fe^{k(t)Q_{t}f}\,d\mu,$$

where the last inequality follows from the Hamilton-Jacobi differential inequality (1.9). Therefore,

$$\frac{dH}{dt_{+}}(t) \leq \frac{1 - Ck'(t)\left(k(t)^{\frac{2-p_{\alpha}}{p_{\alpha}-1}}\mathbf{1}_{t \leq t_{o}} + k(t)^{\frac{2-r_{\alpha}}{r_{\alpha}-1}}\mathbf{1}_{t > t_{o}}\right)}{\int e^{k(t)Q_{t}f}\,d\mu} \int \frac{d}{dt_{+}}Q_{t}f e^{k(t)Q_{t}f}\,d\mu = 0$$

where the last equality is a consequence of the very definition of k. Hence H is non-increasing on $(0, +\infty)$ (or on $(0, t_o]$ if $r_{\alpha} = 1$). When $\alpha(h)/h \to \infty$, when $h \to \infty$, then according to point (3) of Proposition A.3 and the dominated convergence theorem, it holds

$$\log \left\| e^{Q_t f} \right\|_{k(t)} = H(t) \le \lim_{s \to 0^+} H(s) = \log \left\| e^f \right\|_{k(0)}.$$

If $\alpha(h)/h \to \ell \in \mathbb{R}^+$, when $h \to \infty$, then according to point (3) of Proposition A.3, the same conclusion holds if $\operatorname{Lip}(f) < \ell$. Consider now a bounded continuous function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ and fix $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Thanks to Lemma 4.4 below, $\operatorname{Lip}((1 - \varepsilon)Q_s f) \leq (1 - \varepsilon)\ell$ for all s > 0. Since $Q_s f \leq f$, we can conclude that

$$\left\| e^{Q_t((1-\varepsilon)Q_s f)} \right\|_{k(t)} \le \left\| e^{(1-\varepsilon)Q_s f} \right\|_{k(0)} \le \left\| e^{(1-\varepsilon)f} \right\|_{k(0)}.$$

Using Lebesgue's Theorem and Lemma 4.4, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we get

$$\left\| e^{Q_t(Q_s f)} \right\|_{k(t)} \le \left\| e^f \right\|_{k(0)}.$$

Since $Q_{t+s}f \leq Q_t(Q_s f)$ and thanks to point (2) of Proposition A.3, we have $\lim_{s\to 0} Q_{t+s}f = Q_t f$ so that (using Lebesgue's theorem) the hypercontractivity property (4.2) still holds when f is bounded and continuous, as expected.

Now we prove that if (4.2) holds for all bounded continuous f and all t > 0 with k defined by (4.3), then μ verifies $\mathbf{LSI}_{\alpha}^{-}(C)$. Observe that in the case $\alpha(h)/h \to \ell \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, it is enough to show that $\mathbf{LSI}_{\alpha}^{-}$ holds for functions with $\operatorname{Lip}(f) < \ell$.

Let $H(t) = \log \left\| e^{Q_t f} \right\|_{k(t)}$, for all t > 0, with $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$ and $\operatorname{Lip}(f) < \ell$ when $\alpha(h)/h \to \ell \in \mathbb{R}^+$ as $h \to \infty$. By assumption, it holds

$$\limsup_{t \to 0^+} \frac{H(t) - H(0^+)}{t} \le 0.$$

Let us choose $t_o < C(p_\alpha - 1)$ in the definition of k(t) so that k(0) and k'(0) > 0. It is not difficult to check that

$$\limsup_{t \to 0^+} \frac{H(t) - H(0^+)}{t} = \frac{k'(0)}{k(0)^2} \frac{\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu} \left(e^{k(0)f} \right)}{\int e^{k(0)f} \, d\mu} - \frac{1}{k(0) \int e^{k(0)f} \, d\mu} \liminf_{t \to 0^+} \int \frac{e^{k(t)f} - e^{k(t)Q_t f}}{t} \, d\mu.$$

According to the mean value theorem, there exists a function $\varphi : (0, \infty) \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ taking values in the interval $[k(t)e^{k(t)Q_tf(x)}; k(t)e^{k(t)f(x)}]$ such that

$$\frac{e^{k(t)f} - e^{k(t)Q_t f}}{t} = \frac{f - Q_t f}{t} \varphi(t, x), \qquad \forall t > 0, x \in X.$$

Applying point (4) of Proposition A.3, we get

$$\liminf_{t \to 0^+} \int \frac{e^{k(t)f} - e^{k(t)Q_t f}}{t} d\mu \leq \limsup_{t \to 0^+} \int \frac{e^{k(t)f} - e^{k(t)Q_t f}}{t} d\mu$$
$$\leq k(0) \int \alpha^* (|\nabla^- f|) e^{k(0)f} d\mu.$$

So

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}\left(e^{k(0)f}\right) \leq \frac{k(0)^{2}}{k'(0)} \int \alpha^{*}\left(|\nabla^{-}f|\right) e^{k(0)f} d\mu.$$

Since $k(0) = \left(1 - \frac{C^{-1}t_o}{p_\alpha - 1}\right)^{p_\alpha - 1} \to 1$ and $k(0)^2 / k'(0) = C \left(1 - \frac{C^{-1}t_o}{p_\alpha - 1}\right)^{p_\alpha - 2} \to C$, when $t_o \to 0^+$, we conclude that $\mathbf{LSI}^-_{\alpha}(C)$ holds. This completes the proof. \Box

During the proof above, we used the following technical lemma whose proof is postponed to the appendix for the clarity of the exposition.

Lemma 4.4. Set $\ell = \lim_{h\to\infty} \frac{\alpha(h)}{h} \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$. Let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded and continuous function. Then,

- (1) For all t > 0, $Q_t f \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$ and $\operatorname{Lip}(Q_t f) \leq \ell$.
- (2) For all t > 0 and all $x \in X$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} Q_t((1 \varepsilon)f)(x) = Q_t f(x)$.

We are now in position to derive the Otto-Villani Theorem from Theorem 1.11.

Recall that, according to Bobkov and Götze characterization [5], μ verifies the transportentropy inequality $\mathbf{T}_{\alpha}(C)$ if and only if

(4.5)
$$\int e^{C^{-1}Q_1 f} d\mu \le \exp\left(C^{-1} \int f d\mu\right),$$

for all bounded continuous function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.13. Since μ verifies $\mathbf{LSI}_{\alpha}^{-}(C)$, it verifies the hypercontractivity property (1.12) of Theorem 1.11. Take $t_o = C(p_{\alpha} - 1)$ in the definition of k(t), the hypercontractivity inequality (1.12) yields for all bounded continuous function f,

$$\int e^{k(t)Q_t f} d\mu \le e^{k(t) \int f d\mu}, \qquad \forall t > 0.$$

According to (4.5), this means that μ verifies the following family of transport-entropy inequalities

$$\mathcal{T}_{\alpha(\cdot/t)}(\mu,\nu) \leq \frac{1}{tk(t)}H(\nu|\mu), \qquad \forall \nu \in \mathcal{P}(X),$$

where $\alpha(\cdot/t)$ denotes the function $x \mapsto \alpha(x/t)$. According to [11, Proof of Lemma A.3],

$$\alpha(x) \le \max(t^{r_{\alpha}}; t^{p_{\alpha}})\alpha(x/t), \qquad \forall t > 0.$$

Therefore, μ verifies $\mathbf{T}_{\alpha}(A)$, with the constant

$$A = \inf_{t>0} \frac{\max(t^{r_{\alpha}-1}; t^{p_{\alpha}-1})}{k(t)}.$$

Taking $t = C(p_{\alpha} - 1)$ for which k(t) = 1, we see that

$$A \le \max\left(((p_{\alpha} - 1)C)^{r_{\alpha} - 1}; ((p_{\alpha} - 1)C)^{p_{\alpha} - 1}\right),$$

which ends the proof.

Proof of Proposition 1.14. Define for all t > 0 the operators

$$R_t f(x) = \inf_{y \in X} \left\{ f(y) + \frac{1}{t} \theta(d(x,y)) \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_t f(x) = \inf_{y \in X} \left\{ f(y) + \frac{1}{t} d^2(x,y) \right\}$$

According to Bobkov and Götze dual formula (4.5) and by homogeneity, it holds for all t > 0

$$\int e^{C^{-1}tR_tf} \, d\mu \le e^{C^{-1}t\int f \, d\mu}$$

for all bounded continuous function f. Take a function f such that $|f| \leq M$ and $\operatorname{Lip}(f, r) < \infty$ for some r > 0. If $d(x, y) \geq a$, and $t \leq a^2/(2M)$, then it holds

$$f(y) + \frac{1}{t}\theta(d(x,y)) \ge -M + \frac{(2M)}{a^2}a^2 = M \ge f(x) \ge R_t f(x).$$

It follows that if $t \leq a^2/2M$, then

$$R_t f(x) \ge \inf_{y:d(x,y)\le a} \left\{ f(y) + \frac{1}{t} d^2(x,y) \right\} \ge Q_t f(x).$$

So the following inequality holds

$$\int e^{C^{-1}tQ_t f} d\mu \le e^{C^{-1}t\int f d\mu}, \qquad \forall t \le a^2/(2M).$$

Applying Taylor formula, we see that

$$e^{C^{-1}tQ_tf(x)} = 1 + C^{-1}tQ_tf(x) + \frac{C^{-2}(tQ_tf)^2(x)}{2}e^{\varphi(t,x)},$$

where $|\varphi(t,x)| \le tM$, for all t, x. So, for all $t \le a^2/(2M)$,

$$C^{-1} \int \frac{Q_t f - f}{t} \, d\mu + \frac{C^{-2}}{2} \int (Q_t f)^2(x) e^{\varphi(t,x)} \, \mu(dx) \le \frac{e^{C^{-1} t \int f \, d\mu} - 1 - tC^{-1} \int f \, d\mu}{t^2}.$$

Letting t go to 0 and using points (3) and (4) of Proposition A.3 together with the dominated convergence theorem yields to

$$-\frac{C^{-1}}{4}\int |\nabla^{-}f|^{2} d\mu + \frac{C^{-2}}{2}\int f^{2} d\mu \leq \frac{C^{-2}}{2}\left(\int f d\mu\right)^{2},$$

which is the announced Poincaré inequality.

5. Transport-entropy inequalities as restricted log-Sobolev inequalities

In this section, we show that a transport-entropy inequality can be characterized as a modified log-Sobolev inequality restricted to a class of *c*-convex functions. Actually we will prove the following improved version of Theorem 1.15 which holds even if the space is not geodesic.

Theorem 5.1. Let μ be a probability measure on (X, d) and $p \ge 2$. Define the function β_p as follows:

(5.2)
$$\beta_p(u) = \frac{u}{[u^{1/(p-1)} - 1]^{p-1}}, \quad \forall u > 1.$$

The following properties are equivalent:

- (1) There is some C > 0 such that μ verifies $\mathbf{T}_p(C)$.
- (2) There is some D > 0 such that μ verifies the following (τ) -log-Sobolev inequality: for all bounded continuous f and all $0 < \lambda < 1/D$, it holds

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}(e^{f}) \leq \frac{1}{1-\lambda D} \int (f-Q^{\lambda}f)e^{f} d\mu,$$

where for all $\lambda > 0$, $Q^{\lambda}f(x) = \inf_{y \in X} \left\{ f(y) + \lambda c_{p}(x,y) \right\}.$

(3) There is some E > 0 such that μ verifies the following restricted log-Sobolev inequality: for all Kc_p -convex function f, with 0 < K < 1/E it holds

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}(e^{f}) \leq \frac{\beta_{p}(u) - 1}{(1 - KEu)pK^{q-1}} \int |\nabla_{Kc_{p}}^{-} f|^{q} e^{f} d\mu, \qquad \forall u \in (1, 1/(KE))$$

where $q = p/(p-1)$ and $|\nabla_{Kc_{p}}^{-} f|(x) = K \left(\inf_{\bar{y} \in \partial_{Kc_{p}} f(x)} d(x, \bar{y}) \right)^{p-1}$ (see Proposition 2.8).

Moreover, when the space (X, d) is geodesic these properties are equivalent to the following

(3') There is some F > 0 such that μ verifies the following restricted log-Sobolev inequality: for all Kc_p -convex function f, with 0 < K < 1/F it holds

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}(e^{f}) \leq \frac{\beta_{p}(u) - 1}{(1 - KFu)pK^{q-1}} \int |\nabla^{+}f|^{q} e^{f} d\mu, \qquad \forall u \in (1, 1/(KF))$$

The optimal constants $C_{opt}, D_{opt}, E_{opt}, F_{opt}$ are related as follows

$$F_{\text{opt}} \leq E_{\text{opt}} \leq D_{\text{opt}} \leq C_{\text{opt}} \leq \kappa_p F_{\text{opt}},$$

where κ_p is some universal constant depending only on p. For p = 2, one can take $\kappa_2 = e^2$.

5.1. From transport-entropy inequalities to (τ) -log-Sobolev inequalities. Let us recall the following proposition from [10] whose proof relies on a simple Jensen argument.

Lemma 5.3. If μ verifies the transport-entropy property $\mathbf{T}_c(C)$, for some continuous cost function c on X^2 , then the following (τ) -log-Sobolev property holds: for all function f, for all $0 < \lambda < 1/C$,

(5.4)
$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}(e^{f}) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \lambda C} \int (f - Q^{\lambda} f) e^{f} d\mu,$$

where for all $x \in X$, $Q^{\lambda}f(x) = \inf\{f(y) + \lambda c(x, y)\}.$

18

This proves the step $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ in Theorem 5.1.

5.2. From transport entropy inequalities to log-Sobolev inequalities for c_p -convex functions. The general link between the (τ) -log-Sobolev property and the restricted log-Sobolev inequality is the following: if the function f is c-convex then the quantity $f - Q^{\lambda} f$ in the right-hand side of (5.4) can be bounded by a function of $|\nabla_c^- f|$ (see Lemma 5.5 below).

From now on, let us assume that $c = c_p$ is the cost function defined by: for all x, y in X, $c_p(x, y) = d^p(x, y)/p$, for some p > 1.

Lemma 5.5. Let $\lambda > 0$. If f is a Kc_p -convex function bounded from above, and if $0 < K < \lambda$, then for all $x \in X$ and all \bar{y} in the Kc_p -subdifferential of f at point x, $\partial_{Kc_p} f(x)$,

$$f(x) - Q^{\lambda} f(x) \le K \left(\beta_p \left(\lambda/K\right) - 1\right) c_p(x, \bar{y}),$$

where $Q^{\lambda}f(x) = \inf_{y \in X} \{f(y) + \lambda c_p(x, y)\}$ and for all u > 1, $\beta_p(u) = \frac{u}{[u^{1/(p-1)}-1]^{p-1}}$. Equivalently, with the notation of Proposition 2.8,

$$f(x) - Q^{\lambda} f(x) \le (\beta_p(\lambda/K) - 1) \frac{1}{pK^{q-1}} |\nabla_{Kc_p}^{-} f|^q(x),$$

where $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$.

Proof. According to Definition 2.4 of $\partial_{Kc_p} f(x)$ and using the triangular inequality we get, for all $\bar{y} \in \partial_{Kc_p} f(x)$

$$\begin{split} f(x) - Q^{\lambda} f(x) &= \sup_{z \in X} \{ f(x) - f(z) - \lambda c_p(z, x) \} \\ &\leq \sup_{z \in X} \{ K c_p(z, \bar{y}) - K c_p(x, \bar{y}) - \lambda c_p(z, x) \} \\ &\leq \sup_{z \in X} \{ K c_p(z, \bar{y}) - \lambda c_p(z, x) \} - K c_p(x, \bar{y}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} \sup_{z \in X} \{ K (d(z, x) + d(x, \bar{y}))^p - \lambda d^p(z, x) \} - K c_p(x, \bar{y}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} \sup_{r \geq 0} \{ K (r + d(x, \bar{y}))^p - \lambda r^p \} - K c_p(x, \bar{y}) \\ &= K c_p(x, \bar{y}) \left(\beta_p \left(\lambda / K \right) - 1 \right). \end{split}$$

Thus optimizing over all possible $\bar{y} \in \partial_{Kc_p} f(x)$ yields to the expected result

$$f(x) - Q^{\lambda} f(x) \le (\beta_p (\lambda/K) - 1) \inf_{\bar{y} \in \partial_{Kc_p} f(x)} Kc_p(x, \bar{y}) = (\beta_p (\lambda/K) - 1) \frac{1}{pK^{q-1}} |\nabla_{Kc_p}^- f|^q(x).$$

From this lemma the (τ) -log-Sobolev property (5.4) provides immediately the first part of the following statement by setting $u = \lambda/C$.

Proposition 5.6. If μ verifies the (τ) -log-Sobolev (5.4) with the cost $c = c_p$, p > 1, then for all $K \in (0, 1/C)$ and all function f bounded from above and Kc_p -convex, it holds

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}(e^{f}) \leq \frac{\beta_{p}(u) - 1}{(1 - KCu)pK^{q-1}} \int |\nabla_{Kc_{p}}^{-}f|^{q}(x) e^{f(x)} \mu(dx), \quad \forall u \in (1, 1/(KC)).$$

Moreover, when (X, d) is geodesic, the same inequality holds with $|\nabla^+ f|$ instead of $|\nabla^-_{K_{c_p}} f|$ in the right-hand side.

This proves the steps $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ and $(2) \Rightarrow (3')$ (in the geodesic case) in Theorem 5.1.

Proof. Let us justify the statement in the geodesic case. According to Proposition 2.8 (applied with the function $\theta(x) = Kx^p/p$), it holds $|\nabla_{Kc_p}^- f| \le |\nabla_{Kc_p}^+ f|$ and when the space is geodesic, $|\nabla_{Kc_p}^+ f| = |\nabla^+ f|$, which completes the proof.

5.3. From log-Sobolev inequalities for c_p -convex functions to transport-entropy inequalities. In this part we prove that a modified log-Sobolev inequality restricted to the class of Kc_p -convex functions also implies a transport entropy-inequality. One of the main ingredient of the proof is Theorem 1.10.

Theorem 5.7. Let $p \ge 2$. Suppose that for all $K \in (0, 1/C)$ and all Kc_p -convex function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ bounded from above, it holds

(5.8)
$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}(e^{f}) \leq \frac{\beta_{p}(u) - 1}{(1 - KCu)pK^{q-1}} \int |\nabla_{Kc_{p}}^{-}f|^{q}(x) e^{f(x)} \mu(dx), \quad \forall u \in (1, 1/(KC)).$$

then μ verifies the inequality $\mathbf{T}_p(\kappa_p C)$, where κ_p is some numerical constant depending only on p. For p = 2, $\kappa_2 = e^2$. Moreover, if the space is geodesic, the same conclusion holds if $|\nabla_{Kc_p}^- f|$ is replaced by $|\nabla^+ f|$ in the right hand side of (5.8).

This proves the steps $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ and $(3') \Rightarrow (1)$ (in the geodesic case) and completes the proof of Theorem 5.1.

Proof. For any bounded continuous function g, we define the function $P_t g$ as follows

$$P_t g(x) = \sup_{y \in X} \left\{ g(y) - \frac{1}{t^{p-1}} c_p(x, y) \right\}.$$

Let $\ell : [a,1] \to (0,+\infty)$ be a decreasing function of class \mathcal{C}^1 defined on some interval [a,1] with a > 0 and such that $\ell(1) = 0$. For all bounded continuous g define $H_g(t) = \frac{C}{\ell(t)} \log \left(\int e^{C^{-1}\ell(t)P_tg} d\mu \right), t \in [a,1)$. If all the H_g 's were non-decreasing, then it would hold that $H_g(a) \leq \lim_{t \to 1^-} H_g(t) = \int P_1 f d\mu$. Since $g \leq P_a g$, we would get

$$\int e^{C^{-1}\ell(a)g} \, d\mu \le e^{C^{-1}\ell(a)\int P_1g \, d\mu}$$

which in turn, according to Bobkov and Götze characterization Theorem, would prove that μ verifies $\mathbf{T}_p(C/\ell(a))$.

Hence, our aim is to construct a function ℓ such that all the H_g 's are non-decreasing. Set $f_t = C^{-1}\ell(t)P_tg$. According to Proposition 4.1, H_g is continuous and differentiable on the right and

$$\frac{d}{dt_+}H_g(t) = \frac{C\ell'(t)}{\ell^2(t)\int e^{f_t} d\mu} \left[\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}\left(e^{f_t}\right) + \frac{\ell(t)^2}{C\ell'(t)}\int \frac{dP_tg}{dt_+} e^{f_t} d\mu \right].$$

Since $\ell' < 0$, all we have to show is that the term into brackets is non-positive. For all t > 0, the function f_t is $K(t)c_p$ -convex, with $K(t) = \frac{\ell(t)}{Ct^{p-1}}$. Hence, for all t such that $\ell(t) < t^{p-1}$

and all $u \in (1, 1/(CK(t)))$,

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}(e^{f_t}) \leq \frac{\beta_p(u) - 1}{(1 - K(t)Cu)pK(t)^{q-1}} \int |\nabla_{K(t)c_p}^{-}(f_t)|^q(x)e^{f_t(x)}\,\mu(dx).$$

Since f_t is $K(t)c_p$ -convex, it follows from Proposition 2.8 (applied with $\alpha(h) = K(t)h^p/p$) that

$$|\nabla_{K(t)c_p}^- f_t|(x) = K(t) \left(\min_{\bar{y} \in \partial_{K(t)c_p} f_t(x)} d(x,\bar{y})\right)^{p-1} \le K(t) \left(\max_{\bar{y} \in m(t,x)} d(x,\bar{y})\right)^{p-1},$$

denoting by m(t,x) the set of points \bar{y} where the supremum defining P_tg is reached. As a result, it holds

$$\frac{1}{pK(t)^{q-1}} |\nabla_{K(t)c_p}^- f_t|^q(x) \le K(t) \max_{\bar{y} \in m(t,x)} c_p(x,\bar{y}).$$

On the other hand, according to Proposition 1.10,

$$\frac{dP_tg}{dt_+}(x) = \frac{p-1}{t^p} \max_{\bar{y} \in m(t,x)} c_p(x,\bar{y}).$$

Therefore

(5.9)
$$\frac{1}{pK(t)^{q-1}} |\nabla_{K(t)c_p}^- f_t|^q(x) \le \frac{K(t)t^p}{(p-1)} \frac{dP_t g}{dt_+}(x) = \frac{t\ell(t)}{(p-1)C} \frac{dP_t g}{dt_+}(x).$$

So, for all t > 0 with $\ell(t) < t^{p-1}$ it holds

$$\left[\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}\left(e^{f_{t}}\right) + \frac{\ell(t)^{2}}{C\ell'(t)}\int\frac{dP_{t}g}{dt_{+}}e^{f_{t}}\,d\mu\right] \leq \frac{\ell(t)}{C}\left[\theta_{p}\left(\frac{\ell(t)}{t^{p-1}}\right)\frac{t}{p-1} + \frac{\ell(t)}{\ell'(t)}\right]\int\frac{dP_{t}g}{dt_{+}}e^{f_{t}}\,d\mu,$$

where the function θ_p is defined by $\theta_p(x) = \inf_{1 < u < 1/x} \left\{ \frac{\beta_p(u) - 1}{1 - xu} \right\}$, for x < 1. Observe that θ_p is finite on [0, 1[. Consider the function

$$\Psi_p(r) = \frac{1}{p-1} \int_0^r \frac{\theta_p(s)}{s(\theta_p(s)+1)} \, ds, \qquad \forall r \in [0,1].$$

According to Lemma 5.10 below, since $p \ge 2$, the function Ψ_p is well defined, increasing and of class C^1 on (0,1). Define $v(t) = \Psi_p^{-1}(-\ln(t))$, for all $t \in [a_p, 1]$, with $a_p = \exp(-\Psi_p(1))$. The function v is increasing and $v(t) \in [0, 1]$ for all $t \in [a_p, 1]$. Finally, define $\ell_p(t) = t^{p-1}v(t)$, for all $t \in [a_p, 1]$. A simple calculation shows that

$$\theta_p\left(\frac{\ell_p(t)}{t^{p-1}}\right)\frac{t}{p-1} + \frac{\ell_p(t)}{\ell'_p(t)} = 0, \qquad \forall t \in (a_p, 1).$$

We conclude that μ verifies the inequality \mathbf{T}_p with the constant

$$\frac{C}{\ell_p(a_p)} = C \exp\left(\int_0^1 \frac{\theta_p(s)}{s(\theta_p(s)+1)} \, ds\right) = C\kappa_p$$

In the particular case p = 2, one has $\theta_2(x) = \frac{4x}{(1-x)^2}$, and it is easy to check that $\kappa_2 = e^2$.

It remains to consider the geodesic case. In this case, the inequality (5.9) is replaced by the equality

$$\frac{1}{pK(t)^{q-1}} |\nabla^+ f_t|^q(x) = \frac{K(t)t^p}{(p-1)} \frac{dP_t g}{dt_+}(x),$$

and the rest of the proof remains unchanged.

Lemma 5.10. The function $s \mapsto \phi(s) = \frac{\theta_p(s)}{s(\theta_p(s)+1)}$ is continuous on (0,1). Moreover, $\phi(s)$ goes to 1 as s goes to 1 and

$$\phi(s) = \frac{p^{p/(p-1)}}{s^{(p-2)/(p-1)}} (1 + \varepsilon(s)),$$

with $\varepsilon(s) \to 0$ as $s \to 0$.

Proof. After some computations, it is easily to check that for $s \in (0, 1)$, the infimum $\theta_p(s)$ is reached at some unique point $u = u(s) \in (1, 1/s)$ such that

$$\beta'_p(u)(1 - su) + s(\beta_p(u) - 1) = 0,$$

or equivalently

$$u(s)^{p/(p-1)} - \left(u(s)^{1/(p-1)} - 1\right)^p = 1/s.$$

It follows from this equality that u(s) is continuous on (0,1), $u(s) \to 1$ as $s \to 1$ and $u(s) \to +\infty$ as $s \to 0$. As a first consequence, ϕ is continuous on (0,1).

By a Taylor expansion at point 0, one has

$$\frac{1}{su(s)^{p/(p-1)}} = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{u(s)^{1/(p-1)}}\right)^p = \frac{p}{u(s)^{1/(p-1)}}(1 + \varepsilon(s))$$

with $\varepsilon(s) \to 0$ as $s \to 0$. It follows that $su(s) \to 1/p$ as $s \to 0$. From all this observations, we get

$$\phi(s) = \frac{1 - \left(1 - u(s)^{-1/(p-1)}\right)^{p-1}}{s\left(1 - su(s)\left(1 - u(s)^{-1/(p-1)}\right)^{p-1}\right)} = \frac{p^{p/(p-1)}}{s^{(p-2)/(p-1)}}(1 + \varepsilon(s)),$$

with $\varepsilon(s) \to 0$ as $s \to 0$. Since $u(s) \to 1$ as $s \to 1$ we easily get that $\phi(s) \to 1$ as $s \to 1$. \Box

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF LEMMA 2.7, LEMMA 2.10, PROPOSITION 4.1 AND LEMMA 4.4

In this appendix we collect all the technical proofs of Lemmas 2.7, 2.10 and 4.4 and of Proposition 4.1.

Proof of Lemma 2.7. Let $\bar{y} \in \partial_c f(x)$. According to the definition of the c-subdifferential,

$$f(z) - f(x) \ge L(x - \bar{y}) - L(z - \bar{y}), \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Let $z = x + \varepsilon u$ with $\varepsilon > 0$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Since L and f are smooth functions at point x, we get as ε tends to 0, for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$,

$$u \cdot \nabla f(x) \ge -u \cdot \nabla L(x - \bar{y}),$$

and therefore $\nabla f(x) = -\nabla L(x - \bar{y})$. Let $v_o = x - \bar{y}$ and $u_o = \nabla L(v_0)$, by the convexity property of L,

(A.1)
$$L(v) \ge L(v_o) + u_o \cdot (v - v_o), \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^m,$$

or equivalently $L(v_o) \leq u_o \cdot v_o - L^*(u_o)$. Since $L(v_o) = \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}^m} \{u \cdot v_o - L^*(u)\}$, it follows that the derivative of $u \mapsto u \cdot v_o - L^*(u)$ vanishes at u_o , and so $v_o = \nabla L^*(u_o)$. Finally, $x - \bar{y} = \nabla L^*(u_o) = \nabla L^*(-\nabla f(x))$, which completes the proof.

Proof of Lemma 2.10. (1) The function $h: y \mapsto g(y) - t\alpha (d(x, y)/t)$ is upper semicontinuous, bounded from above and its level sets $\{h \ge r\}$ $r \in \mathbb{R}$ are compact. It follows that h reaches its supremum and so $m(t, x) = \{h \ge \sup h\}$ is not empty and compact.

(2) Let $h_n(y) = g(y) - t_n \alpha\left(\frac{d(x_n, y)}{t_n}\right)$, $y \in X$. The sequence of functions h_n converges pointwise to the function h, and the convergence is uniform on each bounded set. Since g is bounded from above by some constant $r \in \mathbb{R}$, it holds

(A.2)
$$r - t_n \alpha \left(\frac{d(x_n, y_n)}{t_n} \right) \ge g(y_n) - t_n \alpha \left(\frac{d(x_n, y_n)}{t_n} \right) \ge g(y) - t_n \alpha \left(\frac{d(x_n, y)}{t_n} \right), \quad \forall y \in X.$$

Since $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded and $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = t > 0$, we conclude that $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequence. As balls are supposed to be compact, $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ has converging subsequences. Passing to the limit into the inequality (A.2) along a converging subsequence of $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, yields to the conclusion that any limit point \bar{y} of $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ belongs to m(t, x).

Let us turn to the proof of Proposition 4.1. The proof requires some regularity properties of $Q_t f$ in the t variable that are gathered in the following proposition.

Proposition A.3. Let f be a bounded lower semicontinuous function on X; define for all t > 0 and $x \in X$ $Q_t f(x) = \inf \left\{ f(y) + t\alpha \left(\frac{d(x,y)}{t} \right) \right\}$ and let m(t,x) denote the set of points where this infimum is attained. The following properties hold

(1) For all $x \in X$,

$$m(t,x) \subset B\left(x, t\alpha^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Osc}(f)/t\right)\right).$$

(2) For all t, h > 0,

$$\frac{1}{h} \sup_{x \in X} |Q_{t+h}f(x) - Q_t f(x)| \le \beta \left(\alpha^{-1} \left(\operatorname{Osc}(f)/t \right) \right).$$

(3) If $\alpha(h)/h \to \infty$, when $h \to \infty$, then for all bounded continuous function f and for all $x \in X$,

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} Q_t f(x) = f(x).$$

and

$$\liminf_{t \to 0^+} \frac{Q_t f(x) - f(x)}{t} \ge -\alpha^* (|\nabla^- f|(x)).$$

If $\alpha(h)/h \to \ell \in \mathbb{R}^+$, when $h \to \infty$, the same conclusions hold for all function f with $\operatorname{Lip}(f) < \ell$.

(4) Let μ be a probability measure and $\varphi : (0, +\infty) \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ be such that $|\varphi| \leq M$ for some M > 0 and $\lim_{t\to 0+} \varphi(t, x) = \psi(x)$ for all $x \in X$. If $\alpha(h)/h \to \infty$ when $h \to \infty$ and if f is such that $\operatorname{Lip}(f, r) < +\infty$ for some r > 0, then

$$\limsup_{t \to 0} \int \frac{f - Q_t f}{t} \varphi(t, x) \, d\mu \le \int \alpha^* (|\nabla^- f|(x)) \psi(x) \, d\mu.$$

The same conclusion holds if $\alpha(h)/h \to \ell \in \mathbb{R}^+$, when $h \to \infty$, and $\operatorname{Lip}(f) < \ell$.

Proof of Proposition A.3. (1) Let $M = \sup(f)$ and $m = \inf(f)$. If $\bar{y} \in m(t, x)$, it holds

$$m + t\alpha\left(\frac{d(x,\bar{y})}{t}\right) \le f(\bar{y}) + t\alpha\left(\frac{d(x,\bar{y})}{t}\right) = Q_t f(x) \le M,$$

which proves the first claim.

(2) Since $t \mapsto Q_t f(x)$ is non-increasing, $|Q_{t+h}f(x) - Q_t f(x)| = Q_t f(x) - Q_{t+h}f(x)$. If $\bar{y} \in m(t+h,x)$, then

$$\frac{1}{h}\left(Q_t f(x) - Q_{t+h} f(x)\right) \le \frac{1}{h} \left(t\alpha \left(\frac{d(x,\bar{y})}{t}\right) - (t+h)\alpha \left(\frac{d(x,\bar{y})}{t+h}\right) \right) \le \beta \left(\alpha^{-1} \left(\operatorname{Osc}(f)/t\right)\right),$$

where the last inequality comes from the mean value theorem, the monotonicity of the function β and point (1).

(3) Let us first assume that $\lim_{h\to\infty} \alpha(h)/h = +\infty$. In this case, $\lim_{t\to0} t\alpha^{-1}\left(\frac{\operatorname{Osc}(f)}{t}\right) = 0$ and so, according to the first point,

$$\inf_{y \in B(x,t\alpha^{-1}(\operatorname{Osc}(f)/t))} \{f(y)\} \le Q_t f(x) \le f(x).$$

Since f is lower semicontinuous, the limit when t goes to 0 of the left hand side is greater than or equal to f(x). This guarantees that $\lim_{t\to 0^+} Q_t f(x) = f(x)$. Moreover, for all $\bar{y}_t \in m(t, x)$, $f(\bar{y}_t) \leq f(x)$ and therefore

$$\frac{f(x) - Q_t f(x)}{t} = \frac{f(x) - f(\bar{y}_t)}{t} - \alpha \left(\frac{d(x, \bar{y}_t)}{t}\right) = \frac{[f(\bar{y}_t) - f(x)]_-}{d(x, \bar{y}_t)} \frac{d(x, \bar{y}_t)}{t} - \alpha \left(\frac{d(x, \bar{y}_t)}{t}\right)$$
(A.4)
$$\leq \alpha^* \left(\frac{[f(\bar{y}_t) - f(x)]_-}{d(x, \bar{y}_t)}\right).$$

Arguing as before, we see that $\bar{y}_t \to x$ as $t \to 0$ so that

$$\limsup_{t \to 0^+} \frac{f(x) - Q_t f(x)}{t} \le \alpha^* \left(|\nabla^- f|(x) \right).$$

Now let us assume that $\alpha(h)/h \to \ell \in \mathbb{R}^+$ when $h \to \infty$. According to what precedes, it is enough to show that there is a constant r > 0 such that

$$m(t,x) \subset B(x;rt), \qquad \forall t > 0, x \in X.$$

Let $\bar{y} \in m(t,x)$. Then it holds $f(\bar{y}) - f(x) + t\alpha (d(x,\bar{y})/t) \leq 0$. Since f is assumed to be Lipschitz, we conclude that $\operatorname{Lip}(f)d(x,\bar{y})/t \geq \alpha (d(x,\bar{y})/t)$. Since $\operatorname{Lip}(f) < \ell = \lim_{h \to +\infty} \alpha(h)/h$, this implies that $d(x,\bar{y}) \leq rt$ where $r = \sup\{h : \alpha(h)/h \leq \operatorname{Lip}(f)\} < +\infty$, which proves the claim.

(4) We already know, by point (3), that $\limsup_{t\to 0^+} \frac{f(x) - Q_t f(x)}{t} \leq \alpha^* (|\nabla^- f|(x))$. Hence the result of point (4) will follow from Fatou's Lemma (in its limsup version) as soon as for some $t_0 > 0$, it holds $\sup_x \sup_{t \in (0, t_o)} \frac{f(x) - Q_t f(x)}{t} < \infty$.

Assume first that $\lim_{h\to\infty} \alpha(h)/h = \infty$ and let r > 0 be such that $\operatorname{Lip}(f, r) < \infty$. Observe that $\lim_{t\to 0} t\alpha^{-1} \left(\frac{\operatorname{Osc}(f)}{t}\right) = 0$ so that, by point (1), there exists $t_o > 0$ such that, for all $t \in (0, t_o)$, all $x \in X$ and all $\bar{y}_t \in m(t, x)$, $d(x, \bar{y}_t) \leq r$. Using (A.4), we conclude that $\sup_x \sup_{t \in (0, t_o)} \frac{f(x) - Q_t f(x)}{t} \leq \alpha^* (\operatorname{Lip}(f, r)) < \infty$.

Assume now that $\alpha(h)/h \to \ell \in \mathbb{R}^+$, when $h \to \infty$. Then, since $\operatorname{Lip}(f) < \ell$, (A.4) implies that $\sup_{x,t} \frac{f(x) - Q_t f(x)}{t} \leq \alpha^* (\operatorname{Lip}(f)) < \infty$. This ends the proof of point (4) and of the proposition.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. We will prove that H is right differentiable, the proof of the leftdifferentiability being similar. By formally differentiating under the sign integral yields for all t > 0,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dH}{dt_{+}}(t) &= -\frac{k'(t)}{k(t)^{2}} \log\left(\int e^{k(t)Q_{t}f} d\mu\right) \\ (A.5) &+ \frac{1}{k(t)\int e^{k(t)Q_{t}f} d\mu} \left[\int k'(t)Q_{t}f e^{k(t)Q_{t}f} d\mu + \int k(t)\frac{d}{dt_{+}}Q_{t}f e^{k(t)Q_{t}f} d\mu\right], \end{aligned}$$

which easily gives the desired identity. Hence, it remains to justify the above calculation. Define $F(t) = \int e^{k(t)Q_t f} d\mu$. To obtain (A.5), it is enough to show that F is right differentiable and that

$$\frac{dF}{dt_{+}}(t) = \int k'(t)Q_{t}fe^{k(t)Q_{t}f} d\mu + \int k(t)\frac{d}{dt_{+}}Q_{t}fe^{k(t)Q_{t}f} d\mu.$$

For all s > 0, $\frac{1}{s} (F(t+s) - F(t)) = \int G_s d\mu$, with $G_s = \frac{1}{s} (e^{k(t+s)Q_{t+s}f} - e^{k(t)Q_tf})$. Since $t \mapsto Q_t f(x)$ is right differentiable for t > 0,

$$G_s(x) \xrightarrow[s \to 0]{} k'(t)Q_t f(x)e^{k(t)Q_t f(x)} + k(t)\frac{d}{dt_+}Q_t f(x)e^{k(t)Q_t f(x)}.$$

For a given $t \in (a, b)$, let $\eta_t > 0$ be any number such that $t + \eta_t < b$. Then, using the mean value Theorem together with point (2) of Proposition A.3, it is not difficult to prove that $\sup_{x \in X} \sup_{s \le \eta_t} |G_s|(x) < +\infty$. Applying the dominated convergence theorem completes the proof.

Proof of Lemma 4.4. Let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded and continuous function. Fix t > 0.

(1) First, following [11, Lemma 3.8], we will prove that there exists r > 0 such that $\operatorname{Lip}(Q_t f, r) < \infty$. Set $r = t\alpha^{-1}(\operatorname{Osc}(f)/t)$. From point (1) of Proposition A.3, it holds

$$Q_t f(u) = \inf_{d(y,u) \le r} \left\{ f(y) + t\alpha(d(u,y)/t) \right\}, \qquad \forall u \in X.$$

Fix $u, v \in X$ with $d(u, v) \leq r$. Then, given $y_o \in X$ such that $d(v, y_o) \leq r$, it follows from the mean value theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} |t\alpha(d(u, y_o)/t) - t\alpha(d(v, y_o)/t)| &\leq |d(v, y_o) - d(u, y_o)| \max_{s \in [0, 1]} \alpha'([sd(u, y_o) + (1 - s)d(v, y_o)]/t) \\ &\leq \alpha'(2r/t)d(u, v). \end{aligned}$$

Now, let y_o be such that $Q_t f(v) = f(y_o) + t\alpha(d(v, y_o)/t)$ and observe that, thanks to the previous observation, $d(v, y_0) \leq r$. It follows that (choosing $y = y_o$),

$$Q_t f(u) - Q_t f(v) = \inf_y \left\{ f(y) + t\alpha(d(u, y)/t) \right\} - f(y_o) - t\alpha(d(v, y_o)/t)$$

$$\leq t\alpha(d(u, y_o)/t) - t\alpha(d(v, y_o)/t)$$

$$\leq \alpha'(2r/t)d(u, v),$$

which proves that $\operatorname{Lip}(Q_t f, r) < \infty$.

Now assume that $\alpha(h)/h \to \ell \in \mathbb{R}^+$, when $h \to \infty$ and let us prove that $Q_t f$ is ℓ -Lipschitz. The convexity of α implies that

$$\frac{\alpha(h)}{h} \le \alpha'(h) \le \frac{\alpha(2h) - \alpha(h)}{h}, \qquad \forall h > 0.$$

So $\sup_h \alpha'(h) = \lim_{h\to\infty} \alpha'(h) = \ell$ and it follows that $Q_t f$ is ℓ -Lipschitz as an infimum of ℓ -Lipschitz functions.

(2) Let $(\lambda_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be a sequence of real numbers converging to 1. For any $x \in X$, let m(t,x) be the set of points $y \in X$ such that $Q_t f(x) = \inf_{z \in X} \{f(z) + t\alpha(d(x,z)/t)\} = f(y) + t\alpha(d(x,y)/t)$. For any n, let y_n be such that $Q_t(\lambda_n f)(x) = \lambda_n f(y_n) + t\alpha(d(x,y_n)/t)$. We have, for all $z \in X$,

$$\lambda_n \inf f + t\alpha(d(x, y_n)/t) \le \lambda_n f(y_n) + t\alpha(d(x, y_n)/t) \le \lambda_n f(z) + t\alpha(d(x, z)/t).$$

Since $(\lambda_n)_n$ converges, we deduce that the sequence $(y_n)_n$ is bounded. Let y be a limit point of a converging subsequence of $(y_n)_n$. Passing to the limit in the latter leads to

$$f(y) + t\alpha(d(x, y)/t) \le f(z) + t\alpha(d(x, z)/t) \qquad \forall z \in X.$$

Hence, $y \in m(t,x)$. In turn, after easy considerations left to the reader, $Q_t(\lambda_n f)(x) \rightarrow Q_t f(x)$, when $n \rightarrow \infty$ as expected. The conclusion of point (2) follows and the proof is complete.

References

- L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, and G. Savaré. Calculus and heat flow in metric measure spaces and applications to spaces with Ricci bounds from below. ArXiv:1106.2090v3, June 2011.
- [2] L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, and G. Savaré. Density of lipschitz functions and equivalence of weak gradients in metric measure spaces. Preprint., 2012.
- [3] Z. Balogh, A. Engoulatov, L. Hunziker, and O. E. Maasalo. Functional inequalities and Hamilton-Jacobi equations in geodesic spaces. Preprint. Available on the ArXiv http://arxiv.org/abs/0906.0476, 2009.
- [4] S. G. Bobkov, I. Gentil, and M. Ledoux. Hypercontractivity of Hamilton-Jacobi equations. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 80(7):669–696, 2001.
- [5] S. G. Bobkov and F. Götze. Exponential integrability and transportation cost related to logarithmic Sobolev inequalities. J. Funct. Anal., 163(1):1–28, 1999.
- [6] L. C. Evans. Partial differential equations, volume 19 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [7] I. Gentil, A. Guillin, and L. Miclo. Modified logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and transportation inequalities. Probab. Theory Related Fields, 133(3):409–436, 2005.
- [8] N. Gigli and M. Ledoux. From log sobolev to talagrand: a quick proof. Submitted, 2011.
- [9] N. Gozlan. A characterization of dimension free concentration in terms of transport inequalities. Ann. Probab., 37(6):2480–2498, 2009.
- [10] N. Gozlan, C. Roberto, and P.M. Samson. A new characterization of Talagrand's transport-entropy inequalities and applications. Annals of Probability, 39(3):857–880, 2011.
- [11] N. Gozlan, C. Roberto, and P.M. Samson. Characterization of Talagrand's transport-entropy inequalities in metric spaces. To appear in Annals of Probability, 2012.
- [12] L. Gross. Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities. Amer. J. Math., 97(4):1061-1083, 1975.
- [13] J. Lott and C. Villani. Hamilton-Jacobi semigroup on length spaces and applications. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 88(3):219–229, 2007.
- [14] K. Marton. A simple proof of the blowing-up lemma. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 32(3):445–446, 1986.
- [15] F. Otto and C. Villani. Generalization of an inequality by Talagrand and links with the logarithmic Sobolev inequality. J. Funct. Anal., 173(2):361–400, 2000.
- [16] S. T. Rachev and L. Rüschendorf. Mass transportation problems. Vol. I. Probability and its Applications (New York). Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998. Theory.
- [17] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren. Theory of Orlicz spaces. Marcel Dekker Inc., 1991.
- [18] R. T. Rockafellar. Convex analysis. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997. Reprint of the 1970 original, Princeton Paperbacks.
- [19] M. Talagrand. Transportation cost for Gaussian and other product measures. Geom. Funct. Anal., 6(3):587–600, 1996.
- [20] C. Villani. Optimal transport, volume 338 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009. Old and new.
- [21] F. Y. Wang. Probability distance inequalities on Riemannian manifolds and path spaces. J. Funct. Anal., 206(1):167–190, 2004.

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS EST MARNE LA VALLÉE - LABORATOIRE D'ANALYSE ET DE MATHÉMATIQUES APPLIQUÉES (UMR CNRS 8050), 5 bd Descartes, 77454 Marne la Vallée Cedex 2, France

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS OUEST NANTERRE LA DÉFENSE, MODAL'X, EA 3454, 200 AVENUE DE LA RÉPUBLIQUE 92000 NANTERRE, FRANCE

 ${\it E-mail\ address:\ nathael.gozlan@univ-mlv.fr,\ croberto@math.cnrs.fr,\ paul-marie.samson@univ-mlv.fr}$