

# Irregular Hodge theory

Claude Sabbah



Centre de Mathématiques Laurent Schwartz  
École polytechnique, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay  
Palaiseau, France

Programme SISYPH ANR-13-IS01-0001-01/02

# The Riemann existence theorem

- $P(z, \partial_z) = \sum_0^d a_k(z) \left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^k$ ,  $a_k \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ ,  $a_d \neq 0$
- $S = \{z \mid a_d(z) = 0\}$  sing. set (assumed  $\neq \emptyset$ )
- Associated linear system

$$(*) \quad \frac{d}{dz} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_d \end{pmatrix} = A(z) \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_d \end{pmatrix}, \quad A(z) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}(z)^d)$$

- $\rightsquigarrow$  **Monodromy** representation of the solution vectors by analytic continuation

$$\rho : \pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus S, z_o) \longrightarrow \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{C})$$

# The Riemann existence theorem

•  $\rho \iff (T_s \in \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{C}))_{s \in S}$  (and  $T_\infty := (\prod_s T_s)^{-1}$ )

# The Riemann existence theorem

- $\rho \iff (T_s \in \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{C}))_{s \in S}$  (and  $T_\infty := (\prod_s T_s)^{-1}$ )
- **Conversely**, any  $\rho$  (any finite  $S$ ) comes from a system  $(*)$  s.t.,  $\forall s \in S \cup \infty$ ,  $\exists$  **formal** merom. gauge transf.  $\rightarrow$  **at most simple pole** (i.e., **reg. sing.**):
  - $\exists M(z-s) \in \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{C}((z-s)))$  s.t.  
$$(z-s) \cdot [M^{-1}AM + M^{-1}M'_z] \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}[[z-s]]).$$

# The Riemann existence theorem

- $\rho \iff (T_s \in \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{C}))_{s \in S}$  (and  $T_\infty := (\prod_s T_s)^{-1}$ )
- **Conversely**, any  $\rho$  (any finite  $S$ ) comes from a system  $(*)$  s.t.,  $\forall s \in S \cup \infty$ ,  $\exists$  **formal** merom. gauge transf.  $\rightarrow$  **at most simple pole** (i.e., **reg. sing.**):
  - $\exists M(z-s) \in \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{C}((z-s)))$  s.t.
 
$$(z-s) \cdot [M^{-1}AM + M^{-1}M'_z] \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}[[z-s]]).$$
- **Proof**: Near  $s \in S$ , this amounts to finding  $C_s \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^d)$  s.t.  $T_s = e^{-2\pi i C_s}$ . Then  $A(z) := C_s/(z-s)$  has monodromy  $T_s$  around  $s$ .  
**Globalization**: non-explicit procedure.

# Rigid irreducible representations

- Assume  $\rho$  is *irreducible*:

cannot put all  $T_s$  in a upper block-triang. form simultaneously

and *rigid*:

if  $T'_s \sim T_s \forall s \in S \cup \infty$ , then  $\rho' \sim \rho$

- and assume  $\forall s \in S \cup \infty$ ,

$\forall \lambda$  eigenvalue of  $T_s$ ,  $|\lambda| = 1$

- $\Rightarrow$  *More structure* on the solution to the Riemann existence th.

# Variations of pol. Hodge structure

THEOREM (Deligne 1987, Simpson 1990):

$\exists!$  var. of polarized Hodge structure (wt. = 0) adapted to  $\rho$

# Variations of pol. Hodge structure

THEOREM (Deligne 1987, Simpson 1990):

$\exists!$  var. of polarized Hodge structure (wt. = 0) adapted to  $\rho$

•  $G_z$ : *pos. def. Herm.*  $d \times d$  matrix,  $C^\infty$  w.r.t.  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S$



# Variations of pol. Hodge structure

THEOREM (Deligne 1987, Simpson 1990):

$\exists!$  var. of polarized Hodge structure (wt. = 0) adapted to  $\rho$

- $G_z$ : **pos. def. Herm.**  $d \times d$  matrix,  $C^\infty$  w.r.t.  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S$
- **Hodge decomp.**  $\forall z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S$ :

$$\mathbb{C}^d = \bigoplus_p^\perp H_z^p, \quad H_z^{-p} = \overline{H_z^p}$$

# Variations of pol. Hodge structure

THEOREM (Deligne 1987, Simpson 1990):

$\exists!$  var. of polarized Hodge structure (wt. = 0) adapted to  $\rho$

- $G_z$ : **pos. def. Herm.**  $d \times d$  matrix,  $C^\infty$  w.r.t.  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S$
- **Hodge decomp.**  $\forall z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S$ :

$$\mathbb{C}^d = \bigoplus_p^\perp H_z^p, \quad H_z^{-p} = \overline{H_z^p}$$

- $z \mapsto H_z^p$ :  $C^\infty$  & possibly **not hol.** but  
 $z \mapsto F^p H_z := \bigoplus_{p' \geq p} H_z^{p'}$  **holomorphic** and

$$\left( \frac{d}{dz} + A \right) \cdot F^p H_z \subset F^{p-1} H_z$$

# Variations of pol. Hodge structure

THEOREM (Deligne 1987, Simpson 1990):

$\exists!$  var. of polarized Hodge structure (wt. = 0) adapted to  $\rho$

- $G_z$ : **pos. def. Herm.**  $d \times d$  matrix,  $C^\infty$  w.r.t.  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S$
- **Hodge decomp.**  $\forall z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S$ :

$$\mathbb{C}^d = \bigoplus_p^\perp H_z^p, \quad H_z^{-p} = \overline{H_z^p}$$

- $z \mapsto H_z^p$ :  $C^\infty$  & possibly **not hol.** but
- $z \mapsto F^p H_z := \bigoplus_{p' \geq p} H_z^{p'}$  **holomorphic** and

$$\left( \frac{d}{dz} + A \right) \cdot F^p H_z \subset F^{p-1} H_z$$

- $\tilde{G}_z$  s. t.  $\tilde{G}_z|_{H^p} := (-1)^p G|_{H^p}$ , then

$$\partial_z \tilde{G}_z \cdot \tilde{G}_z^{-1} = {}^t A(z).$$

# Variations of pol. Hodge structure

THEOREM (Deligne 1987, Simpson 1990):

$\exists!$  var. of polarized Hodge structure (wt. = 0) adapted to  $\rho$

- $\Rightarrow$  Numbers  $f^p = \text{rk } F^p H_z$  attached to  $\rho$ .
- Moreover (Griffiths),

$$\mathbb{C}[z, (z - s)_{s \in S}^{-1}]^d = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C} \setminus S)_{G\text{-mod. growth}}^d$$

# Hypergeom. differential eqns

- Given  $\begin{cases} 0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_d < 1, \\ 0 \leq \beta_1 \leq \dots \leq \beta_d < 1, \end{cases} \quad \alpha_i \neq \beta_j \quad \forall i, j.$

$$P(z, \partial_z) := \prod_{i=1}^d \left( z \frac{d}{dz} - \alpha_i \right) - z \prod_{j=1}^d \left( z \frac{d}{dz} - \beta_j \right)$$

$$S = \{0, 1\}.$$

- Beukers & Heckman:**  $\rho$  is **irreducible rigid**, with  $\lambda = e^{-2\pi i \alpha}$  or  $e^{2\pi i \beta}$ .
- Set  $\ell_j = \#\{i \mid \alpha_i \leq \beta_j\} - j$

# Hypergeom. differential eqns

- Given  $\begin{cases} 0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_d < 1, \\ 0 \leq \beta_1 \leq \dots \leq \beta_d < 1, \end{cases} \quad \alpha_i \neq \beta_j \quad \forall i, j.$

$$P(z, \partial_z) := \prod_{i=1}^d \left( z \frac{d}{dz} - \alpha_i \right) - z \prod_{j=1}^d \left( z \frac{d}{dz} - \beta_j \right)$$

$$S = \{0, 1\}.$$

- Beukers & Heckman:  $\rho$  is **irreducible rigid**, with  $\lambda = e^{-2\pi i \alpha}$  or  $e^{2\pi i \beta}$ .
- Set  $\ell_j = \#\{i \mid \alpha_i \leq \beta_j\} - j$ , e.g.
  - $\alpha_1 \leq \beta_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \dots \leq \beta_d \Rightarrow \ell_j = 0 \quad \forall j$
  - $\alpha_d \leq \beta_1 \Rightarrow \ell_j = d - j$ .

# Hypergeom. differential eqns

- Given  $\begin{cases} 0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_d < 1, \\ 0 \leq \beta_1 \leq \dots \leq \beta_d < 1, \end{cases} \quad \alpha_i \neq \beta_j \ \forall i, j.$

$$P(z, \partial_z) := \prod_{i=1}^d \left( z \frac{d}{dz} - \alpha_i \right) - z \prod_{j=1}^d \left( z \frac{d}{dz} - \beta_j \right)$$

$$S = \{0, 1\}.$$

- Beukers & Heckman:  $\rho$  is **irreducible rigid**, with  $\lambda = e^{-2\pi i \alpha}$  or  $e^{2\pi i \beta}$ .
- Set  $\ell_j = \#\{i \mid \alpha_i \leq \beta_j\} - j$

THEOREM (R. Fedorov, 2015):

$$f^p = \#\{j \mid \ell_j \geq p\}$$

# Hypergeom. differential eqns

- Given  $\begin{cases} 0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_d < 1, \\ 0 \leq \beta_1 \leq \dots \leq \beta_d < 1, \end{cases} \quad \alpha_i \neq \beta_j \quad \forall i, j.$

$$P(z, \partial_z) := \prod_{i=1}^d \left( z \frac{d}{dz} - \alpha_i \right) - z \prod_{j=1}^d \left( z \frac{d}{dz} - \beta_j \right)$$

$$S = \{0, 1\}.$$

- Beukers & Heckman:  $\rho$  is **irreducible rigid**, with  $\lambda = e^{-2\pi i \alpha}$  or  $e^{2\pi i \beta}$ .
- Set  $\ell_j = \#\{i \mid \alpha_i \leq \beta_j\} - j$

THEOREM (R. Fedorov, 2015):

$$f^p = \#\{j \mid \ell_j \geq p\}$$

- mixed:  $F^1 = 0, F^0 = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C} \setminus S)^d \Rightarrow$  unitary conn.
- unmixed:  $0 = F^d \subset \dots \subset F^0 = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C} \setminus S)^d.$



# Confluent hypergeom. diff. eqns

$$P(z, \partial_z) := \prod_{i=1}^{d'} \left( z \frac{d}{dz} - \alpha_i \right) - z \prod_{j=1}^d \left( z \frac{d}{dz} - \beta_j \right)$$

with  $d' < d \Rightarrow S = 0$  and  $0$  is an **irreg. sing.**  
( $\infty = \text{reg. sing.}$ ).

- Riemann existence th. breaks down for irreg. sing.
- Need **Stokes data** to reconstruct the differential eqn from sols.
- $\rightsquigarrow$  Riemann-Hilbert-Birkhoff correspondence.

# Confluent hypergeom. diff. eqns

$$P(z, \partial_z) := \prod_{i=1}^{d'} \left( z \frac{d}{dz} - \alpha_i \right) - z \prod_{j=1}^d \left( z \frac{d}{dz} - \beta_j \right)$$

with  $d' < d$ .

- Same condition on  $\alpha, \beta$ 's  $\Rightarrow$  **irreducible** and **rigid**:

# Confluent hypergeom. diff. eqns

$$P(z, \partial_z) := \prod_{i=1}^{d'} \left( z \frac{d}{dz} - \alpha_i \right) - z \prod_{j=1}^d \left( z \frac{d}{dz} - \beta_j \right)$$

with  $d' < d$ .

- Same condition on  $\alpha, \beta$ 's  $\Rightarrow$  **irreducible** and **rigid**:
  - **irreducible**: Cannot split  
 $P(z, \partial_z) = P_1(z, \partial_z) \cdot P_2(z, \partial_z)$  in  $\mathbb{C}(z)\langle \partial_z \rangle$  with  $\deg P_1, \deg P_2 \geq 1$ .
  - **rigid**: Any other linear diff. syst. (sings at  $S \cup \infty$ ) which is **gauge-equiv. over**  $\mathbb{C}((z - s))$  at each  $s \in S \cup \infty$  to the given system is **gauge-equiv. over**  $\mathbb{C}(z)$  to the given system.

# Confluent hypergeom. diff. eqns

$$P(z, \partial_z) := \prod_{i=1}^{d'} \left( z \frac{d}{dz} - \alpha_i \right) - z \prod_{j=1}^d \left( z \frac{d}{dz} - \beta_j \right)$$

with  $d' < d$ .

- Same condition on  $\alpha, \beta$ 's  $\Rightarrow$  **irreducible** and **rigid**:
  - **irreducible**: Cannot split  
 $P(z, \partial_z) = P_1(z, \partial_z) \cdot P_2(z, \partial_z)$  in  $\mathbb{C}(z)\langle \partial_z \rangle$  with  
 $\deg P_1, \deg P_2 \geq 1$ .
  - **rigid**: Any other linear diff. syst. (sings at  $S \cup \infty$ )  
 which is **gauge-equiv. over**  $\mathbb{C}((z - s))$  at each  
 $s \in S \cup \infty$  to the given system is **gauge-equiv.  
 over**  $\mathbb{C}(z)$  to the given system.
- But: **Cannot find** a **var. of pol. Hodge struct.** s.t. the  
 sol. to R-H-B exist. th. given by  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C} \setminus S)_{G\text{-mod. growth}}^d$ .

# Harmonic metrics

Given:

- a diff. system  $\frac{d}{dz} + A(z)$ ,  $A(z) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}(z)^d)$ ,  
pole set =  $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ .
- $G_z$ : any pos. def. Herm. mtrx,  $C^\infty$  w.r.t.  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S$ .
- Then  $\exists!$   $A'_{G_z}, A''_{G_z}$   $d \times d$ ,  $C^\infty$  w.r.t.  $z$ , s.t.

(compatibility with  $G$ )

$$\partial_z G_z = {}^t A'_{G_z} \cdot G_z + G_z \cdot \overline{A''_{G_z}}$$

$$\bar{\partial}_z G_z = {}^t A''_{G_z} \cdot G_z + G_z \cdot \overline{A'_{G_z}}$$

$$\underbrace{-A''_{G_z}}_{\theta''_z} = \underbrace{(A - A'_{G_z})^*}_{\theta'_z}.$$

- $G$  is **harmonic w.r.t.**  $A$  if

$$\boxed{\bar{\partial}_z \theta'_z + [\theta'_z, \theta'^*_z] = 0}$$

# Harmonic metrics

THEOREM (Simpson 1990, CS 1998, Biquard-Boalch 2004, T. Mochizuki 2011):

- If  $A$  is **irreducible**,  $\exists!$  harmonic metric  $G$  w.r.t.  $A$  s.t.
  - Coefs of  $\text{Char } \theta'$  have **mod. growth** at  $S \cup \infty$ ,
  - $\mathbb{C}[z, ((z - s)^{-1})_{s \in S}]^d = (\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C} \setminus S)^d)_{G\text{-mod. growth}}$ .
- E.g., the Hodge metric of a var. pol. Hodge structure is harmonic w.r.t. the **reg. sing.** conn.  $A$ .
- If  $A$  is **irreg.**, what about **rigid** irreducible  $A$ ?
- Answer in the last slide of the talk.

# The irregular Hodge filtration



# The irregular Hodge filtration

Deligne (2007):

“The analogy between vector bundles with integrable connection having **irregular singularities** at infinity on a complex algebraic variety  $U$  and  $\ell$ -adic sheaves with **wild ramification** at infinity on an algebraic variety of characteristic  $p$ , leads one to ask how such a vector bundle with integrable connection can be part of a **system of realizations** analogous to what furnishes a family of motives parametrized by  $U$ ...

In the ‘motivic’ case, any de Rham cohomology group has a natural Hodge filtration. Can we hope for one on  $H_{\text{dR}}^i(U, \nabla)$  for some classes of  $(V, \nabla)$  with irregular singularities?”



# The irregular Hodge filtration

“The reader may ask for the usefulness of a “Hodge filtration” not giving rise to a Hodge structure. I hope that it forces bounds to  $p$ -adic valuations of Frobenius eigenvalues. That the cohomology of ‘ $e^{-z}z^\alpha$ ’ ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ ) has Hodge degree  $1 - \alpha$  is analogous to formulas giving the  $p$ -adic valuation of Gauss sums.”

# The irregular Hodge filtration

● **Ex.:**  $U = \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $f : z \mapsto -z$ ,  $\nabla = d + df + \alpha dz/z$

# The irregular Hodge filtration

● **Ex.:**  $U = \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $f : z \mapsto -z$ ,  $\nabla = d + df + \alpha dz/z$

$$\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}] \cdot \frac{dz}{z}$$

# The irregular Hodge filtration

● **Ex.:**  $U = \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $f : z \mapsto -z$ ,  $\nabla = d + df + \alpha dz/z$

$$\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}] \cdot \frac{dz}{z} \longrightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^1(U, \nabla)$$

# The irregular Hodge filtration

● **Ex.:**  $U = \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $f : z \mapsto -z$ ,  $\nabla = d + df + \alpha dz/z$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}] & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}] \cdot \frac{dz}{z} & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{dR}}^1(U, \nabla) \\
 \downarrow e^{-z} z^\alpha \wr & & \uparrow e^z z^{-\alpha} \wr & & \uparrow \wr \\
 \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}] e^{-z} z^\alpha & \xrightarrow{d} & \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}] \cdot e^{-z} z^\alpha \frac{dz}{z} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \cdot \left[ e^{-z} z^\alpha \frac{dz}{z} \right]
 \end{array}$$

# The irregular Hodge filtration

● **Ex.:**  $U = \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $f : z \mapsto -z$ ,  $\nabla = d + df + \alpha dz/z$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}] & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}] \cdot \frac{dz}{z} & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{dR}}^1(U, \nabla) \\
 \downarrow e^{-z} z^\alpha \wr & & \uparrow e^z z^{-\alpha} \wr & & \uparrow \wr \\
 \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}] e^{-z} z^\alpha & \xrightarrow{d} & \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}] \cdot e^{-z} z^\alpha \frac{dz}{z} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \cdot \left[ e^{-z} z^\alpha \frac{dz}{z} \right]
 \end{array}$$

**period:**  $\int_0^\infty e^{-z} z^\alpha \frac{dz}{z} = \Gamma(\alpha)$

$$\stackrel{?}{\Rightarrow} [e^{-z} z^\alpha dz/z] \in F^{1-\alpha} H_{\text{dR}}^1(U, \nabla).$$

# The Hodge filtration in $\dim \geq 1$

## ● Setting:

- $U$ : smooth cplx quasi-proj. var. (e.g.  $U = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ ).
- Choose (according to **Hironaka**) any  $X$  such that
  - $X$ : smooth cplx proj. variety,
  - $D$ : reduced divisor with normal crossings in  $X$   
locally,  $D = \{x_1 \cdots x_\ell = 0\}$
  - $U = X \setminus D$ .

# The Hodge filtration in $\dim \geq 1$

## ● Setting:

- $U$ : smooth cplx quasi-proj. var. (e.g.  $U = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ ).
- Choose (according to **Hironaka**) any  $X$  such that
  - $X$ : smooth cplx proj. variety,
  - $D$ : reduced divisor with normal crossings in  $X$   
locally,  $D = \{x_1 \cdots x_\ell = 0\}$
  - $U = X \setminus D$ .

## ● THEOREM (Deligne 1972):

$$H^k(U, \mathbb{C}) \simeq H^k(X, (\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D), d))$$



# The Hodge filtration in $\dim \geq 1$

## ● Setting:

- $U$ : smooth cplx quasi-proj. var. (e.g.  $U = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ ).
- Choose (according to **Hironaka**) any  $X$  such that
  - $X$ : smooth cplx proj. variety,
  - $D$ : reduced divisor with normal crossings in  $X$  locally,  $D = \{x_1 \cdots x_\ell = 0\}$
  - $U = X \setminus D$ .

## ● THEOREM (Deligne 1972):

$$H^k(U, \mathbb{C}) \simeq H^k(X, (\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D), d))$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} \Omega_X^1(\log D) \stackrel{\text{loc.}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{O}_X \frac{dx_i}{x_i} + \sum_{j>\ell} \mathcal{O}_X dx_j, \\ \Omega_X^k(\log D) = \wedge^k \Omega_X^1(\log D) \end{array} \right]$$

# The Hodge filtration in $\dim \geq 1$

## ● Setting:

- $U$ : smooth cplx quasi-proj. var. (e.g.  $U = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ ).
- Choose (according to **Hironaka**) any  $X$  such that
  - $X$ : smooth cplx proj. variety,
  - $D$ : reduced divisor with normal crossings in  $X$  locally,  $D = \{x_1 \cdots x_\ell = 0\}$
  - $U = X \setminus D$ .

## ● THEOREM (Deligne 1972):

$$H^k(U, \mathbb{C}) \simeq H^k(X, (\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D), d))$$

and  $\forall p$ , ( **$E_1$ -degeneration**)

$$H^k(X, \sigma^{\geq p}(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D), d)) \longrightarrow H^k(X, (\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D), d))$$

is **injective**, its image defining the **Hodge filtration**  $F^p H^k(U, \mathbb{C})$ .

- $\rightsquigarrow$  **Mixed Hodge structure** on  $H^k(U, \mathbb{C})$ .

# Twisted de Rham cohomology

- **Setting:**

- $U$ : smth cplx quasi-proj. var.,  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  alg. fnct.

# Twisted de Rham cohomology

- **Setting:**
  - $U$ : smth cplx quasi-proj. var.,  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  alg. fnct.
- **Twisted de Rham cohomology**  $H_{\text{dR}}^k(U, d + df)$ :  
Cohomology of the alg. de Rham cplx. E.g.  $U$  affine:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(U) \xrightarrow{d + df} \dots \xrightarrow{d + df} \Omega^n(U) \longrightarrow 0$$

# Twisted de Rham cohomology

- **Setting:**

- $U$ : smth cplx quasi-proj. var.,  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  alg. fnct.

- **Twisted de Rham cohomology**  $H_{\text{dR}}^k(U, d + df)$ :

Cohomology of the alg. de Rham cplx. E.g.  $U = \mathbb{C}^n$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x] \rightarrow \bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}[x] dx_i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}[x] d\widehat{x}_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x] dx \rightarrow 0$$

# Twisted de Rham cohomology

- **Setting:**

- $U$ : smth cplx quasi-proj. var.,  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  alg. fnct.

- **Twisted de Rham cohomology**  $H_{\text{dR}}^k(U, d + df)$ :

Cohomology of the alg. de Rham cplx. E.g.  $U = \mathbb{C}^n$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x] \rightarrow \bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}[x] dx_i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}[x] d\widehat{x}_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x] dx \rightarrow 0$$

$$g(x) \mapsto \sum_i (g'_{x_i} + g f'_{x_i}) dx_i$$

$$\sum_i h_i d\widehat{x}_i \mapsto \left[ \sum_i (-1)^{i-1} ((h_i)'_{x_i} + h_i f'_{x_i}) \right] dx$$

# Good compactification

- Choose (according to **Hironaka**) any  $X$  such that
  - $X$ : smooth cplx proj. variety,
  - $D$ : reduced divisor with normal crossings in  $X$   
locally,  $D = \{x_1 \cdots x_\ell = 0\}$
  - $U = X \setminus D$ .
  - s.t.  $f$  extends as an hol. map

$$f : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \infty, \quad f^{-1}(\infty) \subset D. \quad P := f^*(\infty).$$

# The Kontsevich complex

- For  $\alpha \in [0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ ,
  - $\Omega_X^k(\log D)([\alpha P])$ : forms with log pole along  $D - P$  and pole at most “ $\log + [\alpha P]$ ” along  $f^{-1}(\infty)$ . (e.g.  $df = f \cdot df/f \in \Omega_X^1(\log D)(P)$ .)
  - Define  $\Omega_f^k(\alpha)$  as
$$\left\{ \omega \in \Omega_X^k(\log D)([\alpha P]) \mid df \wedge \omega \in \Omega_X^{k+1}(\log D)([\alpha P]) \right\}$$
- Significant  $\alpha$ 's:  $\ell/m$ ,  $m =$  mult. of a component of  $P$ ,  $\ell = 0, \dots, m - 1$ .



# The Kontsevich complex

- For  $\alpha \in [0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ ,
  - $\Omega_X^k(\log D)([\alpha P])$ : forms with log pole along  $D - P$  and pole at most “ $\log + [\alpha P]$ ” along  $f^{-1}(\infty)$ . (e.g.  $df = f \cdot df/f \in \Omega_X^1(\log D)(P)$ .)
  - Define  $\Omega_f^k(\alpha)$  as
 
$$\left\{ \omega \in \Omega_X^k(\log D)([\alpha P]) \mid df \wedge \omega \in \Omega_X^{k+1}(\log D)([\alpha P]) \right\}$$
- Significant  $\alpha$ 's:  $\ell/m$ ,  $m =$  mult. of a component of  $P$ ,  $\ell = 0, \dots, m - 1$ .
- $\rightsquigarrow$  **Kontsevich complex**  $(\Omega_f^\bullet(\alpha), d + df)$ .
- $H^k(X, (\Omega_f^\bullet(\alpha), d + df)) \simeq H_{\mathrm{dR}}^k(U, d + df)$

# The irreg. Hodge filtration in $\dim \geq 1$

THEOREM (Kontsevich, Esnault-CS-Yu 2014,  
M. Saito 2014, T. Mochizuki 2015):

- $\forall p$ , ( $E_1$ -*degeneration*)

$$H^k(X, \sigma^{\geq p}(\Omega_f^\bullet(\alpha), d+df)) \longrightarrow H^k(X, (\Omega_f^\bullet(\alpha), d+df))$$

is *injective*, its image defining the *irregular Hodge filtration*  $F^{p-\alpha} H_{\text{dR}}^k(U, d+df)$ .

- $\lambda \geq \mu \in \mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow$

$$F^\lambda H_{\text{dR}}^k(U, d+df) \subset F^\mu H_{\text{dR}}^k(U, d+df)$$

- Jumps at most at  $\lambda = \ell/m + p$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  
 $\ell = 0, \dots, m-1$ ,  $m = \text{mult. component of } P$ .

# History of the result, dim. one

- **Deligne** (1984, IHÉS seminar notes).

$A \in GL_d(\mathbb{C}(z))$  with **reg sing.** on  $S \cup \infty$ , and **unitary**.  
 $f \in \mathbb{C}(z)$ . Defines a filtr. ( $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ )

$$F^\lambda \mathbb{C}[z, (z-s)_{s \in S}]^d \xrightarrow{d + A + df} F^{\lambda-1} \mathbb{C}[z, (z-s)_{s \in S}]^d dz$$

an proves  $E_1$ -degeneration.

- **Deligne** (2006). Adds more explanations and publication in the volume “Correspondance Deligne-Malgrange-Ramis” (SMF 2007).
- **CS** (2008). Same as Deligne, with  $A$  underlying a **pol. var. of Hodge structure**. Uses harmonic metrics through the theory of var. of twistor structures (Simpson, Mochizuki, CS).

# History of the result, $\dim > 1$

- **J.-D. Yu** (2012): defines  $F^\lambda H_{\mathrm{dR}}^k(U, d + df)$  + many properties and  $E_1$ -degeneration in some cases.
- **Esnault-CS-Yu** (2013):  $E_1$ -degeneration by reducing to (CS, 2008) (push-forward by  $f$ ).
- **Kontsevich** (2012), letters to Katzarkov and Pantev, arXiv 2014: defines the Kontsevich complex and proves  $E_1$ -degeneration if  $P = P_{\mathrm{red}}$ , by the method of Deligne & Illusie (reduction to char.  $p$ ). **Does not extend if  $P \neq P_{\mathrm{red}}$** . Motivated by mirror symmetry of Fano manifolds.
- **M. Saito** (2013):  $E_1$ -degeneration by comparing with limit mixed Hodge structure of  $f$  at  $\infty$ .
- **T. Mochizuki** (2015):  $E_1$ -degeneration by using the theory of mixed twistor  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules.

# Rigid irreducible diff. eqns

- Given diff. operator  $\frac{d}{dz} + A(z)$ ,  $A(z) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}(z)^d)$ ,  
pole set =  $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ .
- Assume it is **irreducible** and **rigid**.
- Assume eigenvalues  $\lambda$  of  $\hat{T}_s$  ( $s \in S \cup \infty$ ) s.t.  
 $|\lambda| = 1$ .

**THEOREM (CS 2015):**  $\exists$  **canonical** filtration

$$F^\lambda \mathbb{C}[z, ((z - s)^{-1})_{s \in S}]^d \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{R})$$

by free  $\mathbb{C}[z, ((z - s)^{-1})_{s \in S}]$ -modules attached to  $A(z)$ ,  
s.t.

$$\left( \frac{d}{dz} + A(z) \right) F^\lambda \subset F^{\lambda-1}.$$

# Rigid irreducible diff. eqns

- Needs the construction of a category of *Irregular mixed Hodge modules* between the category of mixed Hodge modules (M. Saito) and that of mixed twistor  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules (T. Mochizuki). Use of the Arinkin-Deligne's algorithm similar to Katz' algorithm.
- **QUESTION:** For confluent hypergeom. eqns, how to compute the *jumping indices* and the *rank* of the Hodge bundles?
- Recent work of Castaño Domínguez and Sevenheck on some confluent hypergeometric diff. eqns.
- Other interesting examples: rigid irregular connections of Gross-Frenkel.