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## Introduction

I will review ${ }^{(1)}$ some results concerning semi-simple representations of the fundamental group of an algebraic manifold. These representations are in one-to-one correspondence with semisimple holomorphic vector bundles with a flat holomorphic connection:

Given a representation $\rho: \pi_{1}(X, \star) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$, associate to it a local system $\mathcal{L}$ (or locally constant sheaf of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces) of rank $d$. Then consider the holomorphic vector bundle (i.e. locally free $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module of rank $\left.d\right) V=\mathcal{O}_{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{L}$ with connection $\nabla(f \otimes s)=d f \otimes s$, so that $\operatorname{Ker} \nabla: V \rightarrow \Omega_{X}^{1} \otimes V$ is $\mathcal{L}$.

Conversely, given $(V, \nabla)$ with $\nabla$ flat, put $\mathcal{L}=\operatorname{Ker} \nabla$.
It will be useful to consider the associated $C^{\infty}$-bundle:

$$
H=\mathcal{C}_{X}^{\infty} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} V
$$

Connection $D_{V}=D_{V}^{\prime}+D_{V}^{\prime \prime}$ on $H$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{V}^{\prime}=d^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}+\mathrm{Id} \otimes \nabla: H=\mathcal{C}_{X}^{\infty} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} V \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{X}^{(1,0)} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_{X}^{\infty}} H=\mathcal{E}_{X}^{(1,0)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} V \\
& D_{V}^{\prime \prime}=d^{\prime \prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}: H \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{X}^{(0,1)} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_{X}^{\infty}} H
\end{aligned}
$$

We therefore have $V=\operatorname{Ker} D_{V}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\nabla=\left.D_{V}^{\prime}\right|_{V}$ Moreover, $D_{V}$ has curvature 0 .

[^0]Conversely, given a $C^{\infty}$-bundle $H$ with a flat connection $D_{V}$, we have $\left(D_{V}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}=0$, hence, by a standard result, $V \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \operatorname{Ker} D_{V}^{\prime \prime}$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $H$. As $D_{V}^{\prime} D_{V}^{\prime \prime}+D_{V}^{\prime \prime} D_{V}^{\prime}=0$, the $(1,0)$-part $D_{V}^{\prime}$ of $D_{V}$ induces a holomorphic connection on $V$, which is flat because $\left(D_{V}^{\prime}\right)^{2}=0$.

I will mainly explain some analytic tools concerning these objects, mainly the notion of a harmonic metric.

## 1. Harmonic metrics

We would like to compute the cohomology $H^{*}(X, \mathcal{L})$ of $X$ with twisted coefficients in $\mathcal{L}$ with harmonic forms. In order to define the Laplacian, one needs a metric to measure the length of sections of $\mathcal{L}$.
1.a. Unitary representations. The best situation is when there exists a metric $h$ on the $C^{\infty}$-bundle $H=\mathcal{C}_{X}^{\infty} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} V$ associated to $V$ so that the connection $D_{V}$ is the Chern connection, i.e. $D_{V}^{\prime \prime}$ defines the holomorphic structure and, for any local sections $u, v$ of $H$,

$$
d^{\prime} h(u, v)=h\left(D_{V}^{\prime} u, v\right)+h\left(u, D_{V}^{\prime \prime} v\right) \quad \text { and } \quad d^{\prime \prime} h(u, v)=h\left(D_{V}^{\prime \prime} u, v\right)+h\left(u, D_{V}^{\prime} v\right) .
$$

This means that the metric $h$ is flat. The existence of such a metric is equivalent to the fact that the representation $\rho$ is conjugate to a unitary representation $\rho^{\prime}: \pi_{1}(X, \star) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(d, \mathbb{C})$.

Let $\omega$ be a positive $(1,1)$-form on $X$ (i.e. a metric on $T X$ ). If $X$ is compact, then $H^{p, q}(X, H)=\operatorname{Harm}^{p, q}(H)$ and, if moreover $(X, \omega)$ is Kähler, we have the Hodge decomposition $H^{k}(X, \mathcal{L})=\oplus_{p \geqslant 0} H^{p, q}(X, H)$ and the Hard Lefschetz Theorem which says that, for any $k \geqslant 1, \wedge^{k} \omega: H^{\operatorname{dim} X-k}(X, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^{\operatorname{dim} X+k}(X, \mathcal{L})$ is an isomorphism.
1.b. Definition of a harmonic metric. Let $\left(H, D_{V}\right)$ be a $C^{\infty}$-bundle on $X$ with a flat connection $D_{V}$. If the associated representation $\rho: \pi_{1}(X, \star) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ is not unitary, there does not exist a metric on $H$ for which $D_{V}$ is the Chern connection. We wish to find a metric which is as good as possible for $D_{V}$.

Let $h$ be any Hermitian metric on $H$.
Lemma 1.1. There exists a unique metric connection $D_{E}=D_{E}^{\prime}+D_{E}^{\prime \prime}$ on $H$ such that, if we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{E}^{\prime}=D_{V}^{\prime}-D_{E}^{\prime} \quad((1,0) \text {-form with values in } \operatorname{End}(H)) \\
& \theta_{E}^{\prime \prime}=D_{V}^{\prime \prime}-D_{E}^{\prime \prime} \quad((0,1) \text {-form with values in } \operatorname{End}(H)),
\end{aligned}
$$

then $\theta_{E}^{\prime \prime}$ is the $h$-adjoint of $\theta_{E}^{\prime}$, i.e. for any local sections $u, v$ of $H, h\left(\theta_{E}^{\prime} u, v\right)=h\left(u, \theta_{E}^{\prime \prime} v\right)$.
Proof. Easy.
We have the following relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d^{\prime} h(u, v) & =h\left(D_{E}^{\prime} u, v\right)+h\left(u, D_{E}^{\prime \prime} v\right), \\
d^{\prime \prime} h(u, v) & =h\left(D_{E}^{\prime \prime} u, v\right)+h\left(u, D_{E}^{\prime} v\right), \\
h\left(\theta^{\prime} u, v\right) & =h\left(u, \theta^{\prime \prime} v\right), \\
D_{V}^{\prime}=D_{E}^{\prime}+\theta_{E}^{\prime}, & D_{V}^{\prime \prime}=D_{E}^{\prime \prime}+\theta_{E}^{\prime \prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that, by applying $d^{\prime}$ or $d^{\prime \prime}$ to each of the first three lines above, we see that $D_{E}^{\prime \prime 2}$ is adjoint to $D_{E}^{\prime 2}, D^{\prime \prime}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)$ is adjoint to $D^{\prime}\left(\theta^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $D_{E}^{\prime} D_{E}^{\prime \prime}+D_{E}^{\prime \prime} D_{E}^{\prime}$ is selfadjoint with respect to $h$.

Definition 1.2. The triple ( $H, D_{V}, h$ ) (or ( $V, \nabla, h$ ), or simply $h$, if $(V, \nabla)$ is fixed) is said to be harmonic if the operator $D_{E}^{\prime \prime}+\theta_{E}^{\prime}$ has square 0, i.e. the pseudo-curvature $R_{h}=\left(D_{E}^{\prime \prime}+\theta_{E}^{\prime}\right)^{2}$ vanishes.

By looking at types, this is equivalent to

$$
D_{E}^{\prime \prime 2}=0, \quad D_{E}^{\prime \prime}\left(\theta_{E}^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad \theta_{E}^{\prime} \wedge \theta_{E}^{\prime}=0
$$

By adjunction, this implies

$$
D_{E}^{\prime 2}=0, \quad D^{\prime}\left(\theta^{\prime \prime}\right)=0, \quad \theta_{E}^{\prime \prime} \wedge \theta_{E}^{\prime \prime}=0
$$

Moreover, the flatness of $D_{V}$ implies then

$$
D_{E}^{\prime}\left(\theta_{E}^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad D_{E}^{\prime \prime}\left(\theta_{E}^{\prime \prime}\right)=0, \quad D_{E}^{\prime} D_{E}^{\prime \prime}+D_{E}^{\prime \prime} D_{E}^{\prime}=-\left(\theta_{E}^{\prime} \theta_{E}^{\prime \prime}+\theta_{E}^{\prime \prime} \theta_{E}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Let $E=\operatorname{Ker} D_{E}^{\prime \prime}: H \rightarrow H$. This is a holomorphic vector bundle equipped with a holomorphic $\operatorname{End}(E)$-valued 1-form $\theta_{E}^{\prime}$ satisfying $\theta_{E}^{\prime} \wedge \theta_{E}^{\prime}=0$. It is called a Higgs bundle and $\theta_{E}^{\prime}$ is its associated Higgs field.

## Examples 1.3

(1) If the metric $h$ is flat and $D_{V}$ is the Chern connection, then $D_{V}=D_{E}, V=E$ and $\theta_{E}=0$.
(2) Let $H=\oplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} H^{p, w-p}$ be a $C^{\infty}$ vector bundle on $X$, where $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ is fixed, equipped with a flat connection $D_{V}=D_{V}^{\prime}+D_{V}^{\prime \prime}$ and a flat nondegenerate Hermitian bilinear form $k$ such that the direct sum decomposition of $H$ is $k$-orthogonal, $(-1)^{p} i^{-w} k$ is a metric on $H^{p, w-p}$, i.e. $(-1)^{p} i^{-w} k$ is positive definite on the fibers of $H^{p, w-p}$ for each $p$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{V}^{\prime}\left(H^{p, w-p}\right) \subset\left(H^{p, w-p} \oplus H^{p-1, w-p+1}\right) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_{X}^{\infty}} \mathcal{E}_{X}^{(1,0)} \\
& D_{V}^{\prime \prime}\left(H^{p, w-p}\right) \subset\left(H^{p, w-p} \oplus H^{p+1, w-p-1}\right) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_{X}^{\infty}} \mathcal{E}_{X}^{(0,1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote by $D_{V}^{\prime}=D_{E}^{\prime}+\theta_{E}^{\prime}$ and $D_{V}^{\prime \prime}=D_{E}^{\prime \prime}+\theta_{E}^{\prime \prime}$ the corresponding decomposition. Then the metric $h$ defined as $(-1)^{p} i^{-w} k$ on $H^{p, w-p}$ and such that the direct sum decomposition of $H$ is $h$-orthogonal is a harmonic metric and the objects $D_{E}^{\prime}, D_{E}^{\prime \prime}, \theta_{E}^{\prime}$ and $\theta_{E}^{\prime \prime}$ are the one associated with $\left(h, D_{V}\right)$ by Lemma 1.1.
1.c. Harmonic theory for harmonic metrics. If $(X, \omega)$ is a Kähler manifold of dimension $n$, one may develop harmonic theory for a harmonic metric. Let $\left(H, D_{V}, h\right)$ be a harmonic bundle on $X$ as above, with associated operators $D_{E}^{\prime}, D_{E}^{\prime \prime}, \theta_{E}^{\prime}$ and $\theta_{E}^{\prime \prime}$. Put $\mathcal{D}_{\infty}=D_{E}^{\prime}+\theta_{E}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{0}=D_{E}^{\prime \prime}+\theta_{E}^{\prime}$, so that $D_{V}=\mathcal{D}_{\infty}+\mathcal{D}_{0}$. The main observation of C. Simpson [6, §2] is that the Kähler identities

$$
\Delta_{D_{V}}=2 \Delta_{\mathcal{D}_{\infty}}=2 \Delta_{\mathcal{D}_{0}},
$$

are satisfied for the Laplacian, and that the Lefschetz operator $L=\omega \wedge$ commutes with these Laplacians. However, as $\mathcal{D}_{\infty}, \mathcal{D}_{0}$ are not of pure type $(1,0)$ or $(0,1)$, one does not have a Hodge decomposition, in general.

It follows from classical Hodge Theory that, when $X$ is compact, $H^{k}(X, \mathcal{L})$ is equal to the space of harmonic sections $\operatorname{Harm}^{k}(H)$ and that Hard Lefschetz Theorem holds:

$$
\omega^{k} \wedge: H^{n-k}(X, \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{n+k}(X, \mathcal{L}) .
$$

If $X$ is noncompact, the space of harmonic forms computes a $L^{2}$-cohomology space. If the metric $h$ is well controlled at infinity on $X$, this will be the intersection cohomology $I H^{*}(\bar{X}, \mathcal{L})$ for some compactification $\bar{X}$ of $X$.

## 2. Existence of a harmonic metric

The main problem concerns the existence of a harmonic metric on a flat holomorphic bundle.
2.a. The theorem of K. Corlette (compact case). Let $X$ be a compact Kähler manifold and let $(V, \nabla)$ be a holomorphic bundle equipped with a flat connection. Define $H$ and $D_{V}$ as above.

Theorem 2.1 (K. Corlette [2], C. Simpson [6]). There exists a harmonic metric on ( $H, D_{V}$ ) if and only if the representation associated to $(V, \nabla)$ is semisimple. In such a case, the harmonic metric is essentially unique.

Brief indication of proof. Given any metric $h$, consider the associated operators $D_{E}, \theta_{E}$. Given any $C^{\infty}$ automorphism $\varphi$ of $H$, there is an associated connection $\varphi \circ D_{V} \circ \varphi^{-1}$ and metric $h_{\varphi}$. One can construct operators $D_{E}^{(\varphi)}$ and $\theta_{E}^{(\varphi)}$.

The first observation is that the metric is harmonic if and only if the energy map $\varphi \mapsto\left\|\theta_{E}^{(\varphi)}\right\|^{2}$ has a critical point at $\varphi=\mathrm{Id}$.

If $\psi$ is an endomorphism of $H$ which is selfadjoint with respect to $h$, consider the family of automorphisms $\varphi_{t}=e^{t \psi}$, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and put $f_{\psi}(t)=\left\|\theta_{E}^{\left(\varphi_{t}\right)}\right\|^{2}$. Then, if $f_{\psi}^{\prime}(0)=0$, Corlette shows that $f_{\psi}^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e. the function $f_{\psi}$ is strictly convex.

Assume that $\left(V, D_{V}\right)$ is not semisimple and that $h$ is a harmonic metric. There exists an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow\left(V_{1}, \nabla\right) \longrightarrow(V, \nabla) \longrightarrow\left(V_{2}, \nabla\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

which is not split. Put $d_{1}=\operatorname{rk} V_{1}, d_{2}=\operatorname{rk} V_{2}$. Consider the endomorphism $\psi$ of $H$ equal to $d_{2} \cdot p_{1}-d_{1} \cdot p_{2}$, where $p_{1}, p_{2}$ are the $h$-orthogonal projections on $H_{1}$ and $H_{1}^{\perp}$. Write

$$
D_{V}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
D_{V_{1}} & \eta \\
0 & D_{V_{2}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\eta \neq 0$ a one-form with values in $\operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{2}, V_{1}\right)$. Then a simple computation gives

$$
\theta_{E}^{\left(\varphi_{t}\right)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\theta_{E_{1}} & \frac{1}{2} e^{t\left(d_{1}+d_{2}\right)} \eta \\
\frac{1}{2} e^{t\left(d_{1}+d_{2}\right)} \eta^{*} & \theta_{E_{2}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and has a finite limit when $t \rightarrow-\infty$. By strict convexity, $f_{\psi}(t)$ cannot have a critical point, a contradiction.

The converse result, namely the existence of a harmonic metric when $(V, \nabla)$ is semisimple, is far more difficult. The strict convexity property above also gives the uniqueness.

Corollary 2.2. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic mapping between compact Kähler manifolds. If $\mathcal{L}$ is a semisimple local system on $X$, then $f^{*} \mathcal{L}$ is a semisimple local system on $Y$.

This result mean that, if $\rho: \pi_{1}(X, \star) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ is an irreducible representation, then $\rho \circ f_{*} \pi_{1}(Y, \star) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ is still semisimple. In some sense, from the point of view of representations, $\pi_{1}(Y, \star)$ is "bigger" than $\pi_{1}(X, \star)$.

Proof. Indeed, if $h$ be a metric on $(V, \nabla)$, then the metric $f^{*} h$ on $f^{*} V$ has pseudo-curvature $f^{*} R_{h}$. Consequently, if $h$ is harmonic, then $f^{*} h$ also, so $f^{*} V$ is semisimple.

## 2.b. A theorem of C. Simpson and its generalizations (quasi-projective case)

The first result is due to C. Simpson and concerns vector bundle with connections on a Riemann surface. We need to fix a model metric near the singularities.

Let $\widetilde{X}$ be a compact Riemann surface, and let $X$ be the complement of a finite set $\Sigma$ of points. Let $\widetilde{V}$ be a holomorphic vector bundle on $\widetilde{X}$, put $V=\widetilde{V}_{\mid X}$ and $\nabla: \widetilde{V} \rightarrow \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}} \widetilde{V}$ be a connection with logarithmic poles. Assume that, near each singular point, with local coordinate $t$, there exists a basis of $\widetilde{V}$ for which the matrix of $\nabla$ is $A d t / t$ where $A$ is constant. Put $A$ into Jordan normal form and let $\mathcal{H}$ be the corresponding diagonal weight matrix: it is decomposed in diagonal blocks following the decomposition of $A$ and for a Jordan block of $A$ of size $k+1$, the corresponding block of $\mathcal{H}$ is $\operatorname{diag}(k, k-2, \cdots,-k+2,-k)$. Near the singular point, a basis vector of $\widetilde{V}$ which is an eigenvector of $A$ with eigenvalue $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and weight $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ should have norm $|t|^{\operatorname{Re} \alpha}|\log t \bar{t}|^{w / 2}$ in the local model metric.

Theorem 2.3 (C. Simpson [5]). If $(\widetilde{V}, \nabla)$ is irreducible (or semisimple), i.e. if the representation $\pi_{1}(X, \star) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ defined by $(V, \nabla)$ is irreducible (or semisimple), then there exists a harmonic metric on $(V, \nabla)$, which is comparable to the local model metric near each singularity.

This result has been extended in various directions:
(1) for local systems on the complement of a smooth divisor on a Kähler manifold by $\mathrm{O} . \mathrm{Bi}-$ quard [1];
(2) for certain kind of local systems on the complement of a divisor with normal crossings on a Kähler manifold by Jost/Zuo [3];
(3) for connections with possible irregular singularities on a Riemann surface by C. Sabbah [4]; here, it is assumed that the bundle $(\widetilde{V}, \nabla)$ is irreducible (or semisimple), which is implied by, but not equivalent to (due to irregular singularities) the irreducibility or semisimplicity of the associated representation.
2.c. A nonisomonodromic deformation associated with a harmonic metric. Let us go back to the general situation. One can consider, for any nonzero complex number $\hbar$, the two operators $D_{E}^{\prime \prime}+\hbar \theta_{E}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\hbar D_{E}^{\prime}+\theta_{E}^{\prime}$ on the $C^{\infty}$ vector bundle $H$ associated to $V$. If $h$ is a harmonic metric on $(V, \nabla)$, the various relations given after Definition 1.2 imply that $D_{E}^{\prime \prime}+\hbar \theta_{E}^{\prime \prime}$ has square zero, hence, by a classical integrability result, defines a new holomorphic structure on $H$. We get therefore a new holomorphic vector bundle $V_{\hbar}$ so that $V_{1}=V$, and $\hbar D_{E}^{\prime}+\theta_{E}^{\prime}$ induces a flat holomorphic connection $\nabla_{\hbar}$ on it.

In general, this one-parameter deformation of $(V, \nabla)$ is nonconstant:

Lemma 2.4. This deformation of $(V, \nabla)$ is constant if and only if $(V, \nabla, h)$ is like in example 1.3(2).

Moreover, this deformation is not isomonodromic in general. In the situation of Theorem 2.3, Simpson also shows that each $V_{\hbar}$ can be extended as a bundle $\widetilde{V}_{\hbar}$ on $\widetilde{X}$ and $\nabla_{\hbar}$ is logarithmic with respect to this extension. Results of O. Biquard in [1] allow to control very precisely the local behaviour of $\nabla_{\hbar}$ near the singularities. In particular, the deformation $\left(V_{\hbar}, \nabla_{\hbar}\right)_{\hbar \in \mathbb{C}^{*}}$ is not locally isomonodromic near a singularity: if $e^{2 i \pi \alpha}$ is an eigenvalue of the local monodromy of $(V, \nabla)$ with $\alpha=\alpha^{\prime}+i \alpha^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{C}$, then $\exp \left(2 i \pi\left(\hbar \alpha^{\prime}+i\left(\hbar^{2}+1\right) \alpha^{\prime \prime} / 2\right)\right)$ is an eigenvalue of $\left(V_{\hbar}, \nabla_{\hbar}\right)$.
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    ${ }^{(1)}$ In this article, vector bundle will mean complex vector bundle, and metric will mean Hermitian metric.

