


**An overview on
the relative Riemann-Hilbert correspondence**

Claude Sabbah

Joint work with Luisa Fiorot (Padova) and
Teresa Monteiro Fernandes (Lisbon)

Centre de Mathématiques Laurent Schwartz 
CNRS, École polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris
Palaiseau, France

Setting.

X, S : smooth connected cplx mflds, $p : X \times S \rightarrow S =$ projection.

Definition ((Coherent) S -local system F).

Loc. const. sheaf of (coherent) $p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules.

- Given $F, \exists G$ (coh.) \mathcal{O}_S -module s.t.

$$\text{locally on } X \times S, \quad F \simeq p^{-1}G.$$

Can choose $G = i_x^{-1}F$, any $x \in X, \quad i_x : \{x\} \times S \hookrightarrow X \times S$.

$$\bullet \quad F \longleftrightarrow \rho : \pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(i_{x_0}^{-1}F)$$

Definition (Flat relative connection).

Coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}$ -mod. \mathcal{M} with

$$\nabla : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X \times S/S}^1 \otimes \mathcal{M}, \quad \nabla^2 = 0.$$

Theorem (Deligne (1970): RH correspondence by $\text{DR}_{X \times S/S}$).

$$\text{Flat rel. connections} \longleftrightarrow \text{coh. } S\text{-local systems}$$

• **Integrable case:**

- $F = p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} q^{-1}L, L$ loc. syst. of \mathbb{C} -vect. spaces on $X \times S,$
- ∇ lifts to $\nabla : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Omega_{X \times S}^1 \otimes \mathcal{M}$ with $\nabla^2 = 0.$

• **Non-abelian Hodge theory:**

- X projective, $S = \mathbb{C}^*, (M, \nabla)$ **simple** vect. bdl with flat conn. on X
- **Simpson-Corlette:** $\exists (M, \nabla)$ flat on $X \times \mathbb{C}^*/\mathbb{C}^*, \mathcal{M}_1 = M$ and $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{M}_s$ is a stable Higgs bundle with vanishing Chern classes.
- Integrable case $\longleftrightarrow (M, \nabla)$ var. of pol. cplx Hodge struct.

• **Kernel of integral transforms - Fourier-Mukai:**

- $X = A$: abelian var., $S = A^\#$: moduli space of bdl with flat conn. on $A,$
- $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{P}$: Poincaré bdl on $A \times A^\#$ with rel. flat conn.

• **Kernel of integral transforms - Mellin:**

- $S = \mathbb{C}^d \ni (s_1, \dots, s_d), f_1, \dots, f_d : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holom. functs.,
 $X = Y \setminus \bigcup_i f_i^{-1}(0)$
- $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}, f^{-s} \text{od}_{X \times S/S} \circ f^s), \quad F = p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S \cdot f^{-s}.$

- ¿Category of \mathbb{C} -constructible sheaves on X of $p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules? (S -loc. cst on each $X_\alpha \times S$, w.r.t. a stratif. (X_α) of X)
- ¿Corresponding notion of perversity?
- Possible application: construction of moduli spaces of perverse sheaves on X w.r.t. a stratif. (X_α) of X (work of Nitsure-CS (1996), Nitsure (1999, 2004)).
- ¿Corresponding notion of *regular holonomic* relative \mathcal{D} -module?
- ¿Riemann-Hilbert correspondence?
PhD thesis of Liqing Wang (Chicago, 2008) Adv. H. Gillet.
- Possible applications:
 - Properties of integral transform of a reg. hol. \mathcal{D} -module by means of *local properties* on $X \times S$ (analogous to what is done for Fourier transform).
 - Realize the RH corresp. as a *morphism* between moduli spaces.

- $F \in D_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^b(p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S) \Leftrightarrow \exists (X_\alpha)$ Whitney strat. of X s.t. $\forall \alpha, j$, $\mathcal{H}^j F|_{X_\alpha \times S}$ is *coherent S -locally constant*.
- t-structure: $(D_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{b, \leq 0}(p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S), D_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{b, \geq 0}(p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S))$
Support and cosupport cond. use $i_x : \{x\} \times S \hookrightarrow X \times S$.
- $\rightsquigarrow \text{Perv}(p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$.
- *Caveat*: not stable by duality. \rightsquigarrow dual perverse t-struct. (Fiorot & Monteiro Fernandes)
- *F strictly perverse*: if F and DF are perverse.
(Cosupp+) $\mathcal{H}^k i_{\{x\} \times \Sigma}^! F = 0, \begin{cases} \forall x \in X_\alpha, \forall \Sigma \subset S, \\ \forall k < \text{codim}_S \Sigma + \dim X_\alpha. \end{cases}$

Example. L coherent S -loc. cst sheaf on $X \times S$.

$L[\dim X]$ strictly perverse $\Leftrightarrow L$ is $p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ -loc. free.

Definition.

\mathcal{M} coh. $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$ -module. \mathcal{M} is *rel. holonomic* if $\exists \Lambda \subset T^*X$ conic Lagrangian s.t. $\text{Char } \mathcal{M} \subset \Lambda \times S$.

Example.

$S = \mathbb{C}^d$, $f_1, \dots, f_d : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, M holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -mod. such that $M = M[f^{-1}]$, m a local section of M ,

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X[s_1, \dots, s_d] \cdot mf^s \subset M[s]f^s.$$

Theorem (Ph. Maisonobe).

\mathcal{M} is $\mathcal{D}_X[s_1, \dots, s_d]$ -holonomic.

Theorem.

- $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{hol}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$. Then ${}^p\text{DR } \mathcal{M}, {}^p\text{Sol } \mathcal{M}$ are S - \mathbb{C} -construct.
- $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{\text{hol}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$. Then ${}^p\text{Sol } \mathcal{M}$ is perverse and ${}^p\text{DR } \mathcal{M}$ is dual perverse.

Theorem. $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{hol}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$. Equiv:

- $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{M}$ and is *strict* (i.e., \mathcal{O}_S -flat).
- $D\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}^0 D\mathcal{M}$ and is *strict*.
- ${}^p\text{DR } \mathcal{M}$ is *strictly perverse*.

Proper pushforward and pullback w.r.t. X .
Theorem (Kashiwara's estimate, Schapira-Schneiders).

- \mathcal{M} rel. holonomic on $X \times S$,
- $f : X \rightarrow Y$ proper, $\rightsquigarrow f : X \times S \rightarrow Y \times S$.

Assume that \mathcal{M} is f -good. Then ${}_{\mathcal{D}}f_* \mathcal{M}$ is rel. holonomic.

Proposition (easy).

- \mathcal{M} rel. holonomic on $Y \times S$,
- $f : X \rightarrow Y$ smooth, $\rightsquigarrow f : X \times S \rightarrow Y \times S$.

Then ${}_{\mathcal{D}}f^* \mathcal{M}$ is rel. holonomic.

Problem. What if f *not smooth*?

Need a priori to restrict to some open subset of S depending on \mathcal{M} .

Lack of a good theory of the Bernstein-Sato polynomial.

Proper pushforward and pullback w.r.t. S .

- $\pi : S \rightarrow T$, $\rightsquigarrow \pi : X \times S \rightarrow X \times T$.
- \mathcal{M} rel. holonomic on $X \times S$ and π -good. Then $R\pi_* \mathcal{M}$ is rel. holonomic.
- \mathcal{M} rel. holonomic on $X \times T$ and π -good. Then $L\pi^* \mathcal{M}$ is rel. holonomic.

RELATIVE REGULARITY

For each $s \in S$, $i_s : X \times \{s\} \hookrightarrow X \times S$.

Definition (Regularity). $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{hol}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$.

\mathcal{M} is regular if $\forall s \in S$, $Li_s^* \mathcal{M}$ has regular \mathcal{D}_X -cohomologies.

Examples.

- \mathcal{N} a regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S}$ -module with $\text{Char}(\mathcal{N}) \subset \Lambda \times T^*S$, $\Lambda \subset T^*X$ **Lagrangian**. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$ -submodule of \mathcal{N} . Then \mathcal{M} is rel. regular holonomic.
- If \mathcal{M} comes from a regular twistor \mathcal{D} -module, then \mathcal{M} is rel. regular holonomic. (Probably true, I did not check.)
- $f : X \rightarrow S = \mathbb{C}^d$, $D = \bigcup_i f_i^{-1}(0)$, $M = M(*D)$ regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module
 \rightsquigarrow then $M[s]f^s$ is rel. regular holonomic.

Proposition.

- $\text{Mod}_{\text{rhol}}(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$ is stable by sub-quotient in $\text{Mod}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$
- $\mathbf{D}_{\text{rhol}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$ is a full triangulated subcategory of $\mathbf{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$ which is stable by duality.

PRESERVATION OF REGULARITY

• $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $\rightsquigarrow f : X \times S \rightarrow Y \times S$

• $\pi : S \rightarrow T$, $\rightsquigarrow \pi : X \times S \rightarrow X \times T$

- \mathcal{M} reg. holonomic on $X \times S$ and f - or π -good,
 - f proper $\implies {}_D f_* \mathcal{M}$ reg. holonomic on $Y \times S$ (standard),
 - π projective $\implies R\pi_* \mathcal{M}$ reg. holonomic on $X \times T$ (a little tricky).
- \mathcal{M} reg. holonomic on $X \times T \implies L\pi^* \mathcal{M}$ reg. holonomic on $X \times S$ (obvious).

Theorem.

\mathcal{M} reg. holonomic on $Y \times S \implies {}_D f^* \mathcal{M}$ reg. holonomic on $X \times S$.

The proof relies on properties of Deligne's canonical meromorphic extension.

- (X, D) : complex manifold with a ncd. $j : X \setminus D \hookrightarrow X$.
- F : coherent S -locally constant sheaf on $(X \setminus D) \times S$,
- $E_F = (\mathcal{O}_{(X \setminus D) \times S} \otimes_{p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S} F, d_{(X \setminus D) \times S/S} \otimes \text{Id})$
- $F = \mathcal{H}^0 \text{DR } E_F$
- notion of **moderate growth** (loc. uniformly w.r.t. S)
- Deligne's extension $\tilde{E}_F \subset j_* E_F$: moderate growth condition in local poly-sectors.

Definition (D-type). A reg. holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$ -module \mathcal{M} is of D-type on $(X, D) \times S$ if $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(*D)$ and \mathcal{M} smooth on $(X \setminus D) \times S$ (i.e., char. variety contained in the zero section of $T^*(X \setminus D) \times S$).

Theorem.

- For any F , \tilde{E}_F is of D-type on $(X, D) \times S$.
- Conversely,

$$\mathcal{M} \text{ of D-type} \implies \mathcal{M} \simeq \tilde{E}_F, \text{ with } F = \mathcal{H}^0 \text{DR } \mathcal{M}|_{(X \setminus D) \times S}.$$

Main argument obtained when F is $p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ -locally free. Reduction to this case by means of base changes (flattening theorem).

\rightsquigarrow need preservation of regularity by $L\pi^*$ and $R\pi_*$.

Theorem (Fiorot, Monteiro Fernandes, CS).

(Assume $\dim S = 1$). The functor

$${}^p\text{Sol} : \mathbf{D}_{\text{rhol}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text{C-c}}^b(p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$$

is an equivalence of categories, having

$$\text{RH}^S : \mathbf{D}_{\text{C-c}}^b(p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text{rhol}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$$

as a quasi-inverse functor.

- If F is locally constant,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RH}^S(F) &= \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(F, \mathcal{O}_{X \times S})[\dim X] \\ &\simeq D'F \otimes_{p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}[\dim X] \end{aligned}$$

satisfies ${}^p\text{Sol}(\text{RH}^S(F)) \simeq F$.

- Near the singularities of $F \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{C-c}}^b(p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$, want to consider solutions with moderate growth along **any** closed subset of X .
- \rightsquigarrow can only consider closed **X -subanalytic** subsets.
- \rightsquigarrow work on the subanalytic site and define on it the “sheaf” of holomorphic functions with moderate growth along any closed X -subanalytic subset (**Kashiwara-Schapira**).

RELATIVE RH CORRESPONDENCE

- Work on $X_{\text{sa}} \times S$ (Monteiro Fernandes & Prelli)
- $\rho_S : X \times S \rightarrow X_{\text{sa}} \times S$,
- $\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t,S}$: X -tempered holomorphic “functions”.

Definition (of RH^S , similar to Kashiwara’s RH).

$$\text{RH}^S(F) = \rho_S^{-1} \text{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\rho_{S*} p^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S}(\rho_{S*} F, \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}^{t,S})[\dim X].$$

Main points for the theorem:

- Check good behaviour of RH^S w.r.t. pullback and proper push-forward by $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\pi : S \rightarrow T$.
- F local system on $(X \setminus D) \times S$ ($D = \text{ncd}$ in X), then
 - $\text{RH}^S(j_! D' F) \simeq \tilde{E}_F$ (relative Deligne’s merom. extension),
 - ${}^p\text{Sol}(\tilde{E}_F) \simeq j_! D' F$.