
FOURIER TRANSFORM OF INDICES AND INTERVALS

AUGSBURG, NOVEMBER 6, 2023

by

Claude Sabbah

Contents

1. Introduction.....	1
2. Reminder of the formal Fourier transformation $F^{\infty, \infty}$	2
3. Transformation of intervals.....	3
3.a. The simplest example.....	4
3.b. The p -ramified case.....	4

1. Introduction

We consider the formal Fourier transformation

$$F^{\infty, \infty} : \text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}((z)), \partial_z) \longrightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}((u)), \partial_u)$$

with kernel $E^{1/zu}$. The aim of the workshop is to understand the description by Mochizuki of the extension of this functor to Stokes-filtered local system around ∞ , so that the transformation of graded Stokes-filtered local system corresponds to $F^{\infty, \infty}$ via the Deligne-Malgrange RH correspondence. In this lecture, we focus on the behavior of the exponential factors $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ and the corresponding set of intervals $T(\tilde{\mathcal{J}})$ by this transformation.

Let $n > p \geq 1$ be positive integers. We consider a set $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ of p -ramified exponential factors with maximal order of the pole equal to n . We assume that \mathcal{J} contains at least two elements, one of them being 0, so that n is also the maximal level of $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$, that is, the maximal order of the poles of the differences of elements of $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$.

We fix a p -th root $\zeta = z_p$ of z , so that we regard $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ as a subset of $\zeta^{-1}\mathbb{C}[\zeta^{-1}]$. By the local stationary phase formula recalled below, we have to consider $(n-p)$ -ramified exponential factors in the Fourier variable u , so we fix an $(n-p)$ -th root $v = u_{n-p}$ of u .

2. Reminder of the formal Fourier transformation $F^{\infty, \infty}$

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(n, p)^* \subset \zeta^{-1}\mathbb{C}[\zeta^{-1}] \setminus \{0\}$ be the subset of polar parts having a pole of order equal to n , and set $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(n, p) = \tilde{\mathcal{U}}(n, p)^* \cup \{0\}$. We call a *ramification of order p* any $\rho \in \zeta^p\mathbb{C}((\zeta)) \setminus \zeta^{p+1}\mathbb{C}((\zeta))$.

Let $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}}(n, p)^*$ and let ρ be a ramification of order p . The (\pm) local formal Fourier transformation $F_{\pm}^{\infty, \infty}$ from ∞ to ∞ transforms an elementary connection $\text{El}(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}, \rho, R) := \rho_*(E^{\tilde{\mathbf{a}}} \otimes R)$ (where R is finite-dimensional $\mathbb{C}((\zeta))$ -vector space with a regular connection) to an elementary connection $\text{El}(\hat{\mathbf{a}}, \hat{\rho}, R(n/2))$, with $\hat{\mathbf{a}} \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}}(n, n-p)$, $\hat{\rho}$ is a ramification of order $n-p$ and $(n/2)$ is the twist by the rank-one local system with monodromy $(-1)^n$, defined by the formulas, in the ζ variable (with $\rho(\zeta) = z^p$):

$$u = \hat{\rho}(\zeta) = \pm \frac{\rho'(\zeta)}{\tilde{\mathbf{a}}'(\zeta)\rho(\zeta)^2}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{a}}(\zeta) = \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\zeta) + \frac{\rho(\zeta)}{\rho'(\zeta)} \tilde{\mathbf{a}}'(\zeta) = \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\zeta) \pm \frac{1}{\rho(\zeta)\hat{\rho}(\zeta)}.$$

Remark. These formulas make clear the \pm -involutiveness of $F_{\pm}^{\infty, \infty}$, namely $F_{-}^{\infty, \infty} = (F_{+}^{\infty, \infty})^{-1}$. Indeed, we can write $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} = \hat{\mathbf{a}} - 1/\hat{\rho}$, and if we write the definition of $\hat{\rho}$ as $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}'\hat{\rho} = -(1/\rho)'$, then we find the converse definition for ρ :

$$\tilde{\mathbf{a}}'\rho = \rho \left[\tilde{\mathbf{a}}' + \frac{1}{\hat{\rho}} \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \right)' + \frac{1}{\rho} \left(\frac{1}{\hat{\rho}} \right)' \right] = \left(\frac{1}{\hat{\rho}} \right)'.$$

In the following, we focus on $F_{+}^{\infty, \infty}$, simply denoted by $F^{\infty, \infty}$.

Expression in the variable v . Fixing the variable ζ as above allows for an explicit expression of the action of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ on the connection $\rho^*\text{El}(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}, \rho, R)$, which is simple to compute if $\rho(\zeta)$ has the simple form $\rho(\zeta) = \zeta^p = z$:

$$\rho^*\text{El}(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}, \rho, R) \simeq \bigoplus_{k=1}^p (E^{\sigma_k^* \tilde{\mathbf{a}}} \otimes R),$$

with $(\sigma_k^* \tilde{\mathbf{a}})(\zeta) = \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\exp(2\pi i k/p)\zeta)$, and the action of $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is given by the natural isomorphisms

$$\tau_{\ell} : \sigma_{\ell}^* (E^{\sigma_k^* \tilde{\mathbf{a}}} \otimes R) \simeq (E^{\sigma_{k+\ell}^* \tilde{\mathbf{a}}} \otimes R),$$

due to the natural isomorphism $\sigma_{\ell}^* R \simeq R$. We set

$$\omega = n/p > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\omega} = \omega/(\omega - 1) = n/(n-p), \quad \text{so} \quad \frac{1}{\omega} + \frac{1}{\hat{\omega}} = 1,$$

and we write $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\zeta) = a_n \zeta^{-n} (1 + \zeta O(\zeta))$. We have the following estimate:

$$(\sigma_k^* \tilde{\mathbf{a}})(\zeta) \equiv e^{-2\pi i k \omega} \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\zeta) \pmod{\zeta^{-n+1}\mathbb{C}[[\zeta]]}.$$

Similarly, the choice of the variable v is done in order to make explicit the action of $\mathbb{Z}/(n-p)\mathbb{Z}$ on $\hat{\rho}^*\text{El}(\hat{\mathbf{a}}, \hat{\rho}, R(n/2))$: we have

$$\hat{\rho}^*\text{El}(\hat{\mathbf{a}}, \hat{\rho}, R(n/2)) \simeq \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n-p} E^{\hat{\sigma}_j^* \hat{\mathbf{a}}} \otimes R(n/2),$$

so that we first have to compute the change of variable $\zeta = \varphi(v)$ so that $\widehat{\rho}(\zeta) = v^{n-p}$. We have

$$\text{El}(\widehat{\mathbf{a}}, \widehat{\rho}, R(n/2)) \simeq \text{El}(\widehat{\mathbf{a}} \circ \varphi, \widehat{\rho} \circ \varphi, R(n/2)),$$

and

$$\sigma_j^*(\widehat{\mathbf{a}} \circ \varphi)(v) = (\widehat{\mathbf{a}} \circ \varphi)(e^{2\pi j i / (n-p)} v) \quad \text{for } j \in \mathbb{Z}/(n-p)\mathbb{Z}.$$

We set $A = -\omega a_n$, and we choose an $(n-p)$ -th root $A^{1/(n-p)}$ of A . We have

$$\widehat{\rho}(\zeta) = \frac{p\zeta^{p-1}}{[-na_n\zeta^{-n-1}(1 + \zeta O(\zeta)) \cdot \zeta^{2p}]} = A^{-1}\zeta^{n-p}(1 + \zeta O(\zeta)).$$

There exists a solution for φ of the form

$$\varphi(v) = A^{1/(n-p)}v(1 + vO(v)).$$

Equivalently, if we consider the two-variable function $G(\zeta, v) = \widetilde{\mathbf{a}}(\zeta) + 1/v^{n-p}\zeta^p$, then $\varphi(v)$ is a solution of

$$(\partial_\zeta G)(\varphi(v), v) \equiv 0,$$

as follows from the definition of $\widehat{\rho}(\zeta) = (1/\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}'(\zeta)) \cdot (-1/\rho(\zeta))'$.

We first notice that

$$\widehat{\mathbf{a}}(\zeta) \equiv (1 - \omega)a_n\zeta^{-n} \pmod{\zeta^{-n+1}\mathbb{C}[[\zeta]]},$$

and thus in the variable v (we now write $\widehat{\mathbf{a}}(v)$ instead of $\widehat{\mathbf{a}} \circ \varphi(v)$):

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathbf{a}}(v) &\equiv (1 - \omega)a_n\varphi(v)^{-n} \pmod{v^{-n+1}\mathbb{C}[[v]]} \\ &\equiv \frac{\omega - 1}{\omega} A \cdot A^{-n/(n-p)}v^{-n} \pmod{v^{-n+1}\mathbb{C}[[v]]} \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{\widehat{\omega}} A^{-\widehat{\omega}/\omega}v^{-n} \pmod{v^{-n+1}\mathbb{C}[[v]]}, \end{aligned}$$

so that we can write

$$\widehat{a}_n = \star(-a_n)^{-\widehat{\omega}/\omega},$$

where \star is the positive constant $\omega^{-\widehat{\omega}}(\omega - 1)$. On the other hand, the action of $\mathbb{Z}/(n-p)\mathbb{Z}$ has the estimate

$$(\widehat{\sigma}_j^*\widehat{\mathbf{a}})(v) \equiv e^{-2\pi i j \widehat{\omega}}\widehat{\mathbf{a}}(v) \pmod{v^{-n+1}\mathbb{C}[[v]]}.$$

We can also regard $\widehat{\sigma}_j^*\widehat{\mathbf{a}}$ as the effect of changing the choice of the $(n-p)$ -th root of A .

3. Transformation of intervals

The aim of this section is to describe the correspondence $T(\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}) \mapsto T(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})$ induced by $F^{\infty, \infty}$, and the inverse correspondence.

3.a. The simplest example. We consider the simplest case (Gauss type) where $n = 2$ and $p = n - 1 = 1$, so that $\omega = 2$. We have $\zeta = z$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\zeta) = a_2 \zeta^{-2}(1 + \zeta O(\zeta))$ and we set $a_2 = |a_2|e^{i\vartheta_2}$. Let $J_\zeta = I(\vartheta_0; \pi/4)$ be an interval centered at ϑ_0 and of radius $\pi/4$. We have

$$\tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\zeta) <_{J_\zeta} 0 \text{ (resp. } 0 <_{J_\zeta} \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\zeta)) \iff \vartheta_2 = 2\vartheta_0 + \pi \pmod{2\pi} \text{ (resp. } \vartheta_2 = 2\vartheta_0 \pmod{2\pi}).$$

In other words, $T(\tilde{\mathbf{a}})$ consists of two intervals $J_\zeta \pmod{2\pi}$ of length $\pi/2$ on which $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} <_{J_\zeta} 0$, that we denote $J_\zeta(\tilde{\mathbf{a}})_{<0}$, and two intervals $J_\zeta(\tilde{\mathbf{a}})_{>0}$ on which $0 <_{J_\zeta} \tilde{\mathbf{a}}$. These intervals are respectively

$$I(\vartheta_2/2 + \pi/2 \pmod{\pi}; \pi/4) \quad \text{and} \quad I(\vartheta_2/2 \pmod{\pi}; \pi/4).$$

On the other hand, we have $v = (-1/2a_2)\zeta$ and $\hat{a}_2 = \star(-a_2)^{-1}$ with $\star = 1/4$. Therefore,

$$\hat{\vartheta}_2 = -(\vartheta_2 + \pi) \pmod{2\pi}$$

and the transformation of the arguments is

$$\theta_v = \theta_\zeta - (\vartheta_2 + \pi) \pmod{2\pi}.$$

By this transformation, the interval

$$I(\vartheta_2/2 + \pi/2 \pmod{\pi}; \pi/4), \quad \text{resp. } I(\vartheta_2/2 \pmod{\pi}; \pi/4),$$

is mapped to

$$I(\hat{\vartheta}_2/2 \pmod{\pi}; \pi/4), \quad \text{resp. } I(\hat{\vartheta}_2/2 + \pi/2 \pmod{\pi}; \pi/4).$$

In other words, $T(\tilde{\mathbf{a}})_{<0}$, resp. $T(\tilde{\mathbf{a}})_{>0}$, is mapped to $T(\hat{\mathbf{a}})_{>0}$, resp. $T(\hat{\mathbf{a}})_{<0}$.

3.b. The p -ramified case. We consider a pair $(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\zeta), \rho)$, with $\rho(\zeta) = \zeta^p = z$ and we set $z = |z|e^{i\theta}$ and $\zeta = |\zeta|e^{i\theta/p}$. The intervals J are considered either in \mathbb{R}_θ , in which case they are denoted by J_z , or in $\mathbb{R}_{\theta/p}$, in which case they are denoted by J_ζ . The bijection $\theta_p \mapsto \theta = p\theta_p$ induces a one-to-one correspondence $J_\zeta \mapsto J_z = pJ_\zeta$. As we do not consider intervals in S^1 , this is indeed one-to-one.

The sets $T(\sigma_k^* \tilde{\mathbf{a}})$. Assume that $J_\zeta \in T(\tilde{\mathbf{a}})_\zeta$ and that $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} <_{J_\zeta} 0$. Then $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} <_{J_\zeta + m\pi/n} 0$ for any even $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the converse inequality for any odd m . If $a_n = |a_n|e^{i\vartheta_n}$, then $T(\tilde{\mathbf{a}})_{\zeta, <0}$ consists of the intervals $I_\zeta((\vartheta_n + \pi)/n; \pi/2n) + 2\ell\pi/n$ and $T(\tilde{\mathbf{a}})_{\zeta, >0}$ consists of the intervals $I_\zeta(\vartheta_n/n; \pi/2n) + 2\ell\pi/n$ ($\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$).

On the other hand, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, let us consider the intervals for $\sigma_k^* \tilde{\mathbf{a}}$ with $(\sigma_k^* \tilde{\mathbf{a}})(\zeta) = \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(e^{2\pi ik/p} \zeta)$. We have $\sigma_k^* \tilde{\mathbf{a}} < 0$ on $J_\zeta - 2k\pi/p + m\pi/n$ for any even m , and the converse inequality for any odd m . Then $T(\sigma_k^* \tilde{\mathbf{a}})_{\zeta, <0}$ consists of the intervals $I_\zeta((\vartheta_n + \pi)/n; \pi/2n) + 2\ell\pi/n - 2k\pi/p$ and $T(\tilde{\mathbf{a}})_{\zeta, <0}$ consists of the intervals $I_\zeta(\vartheta_n/n; \pi/2n) + 2\ell\pi/n - 2k\pi/p$ ($\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$).

We write these properties in terms of intervals J_z . Then $T(\tilde{\mathbf{a}})_{z,>0}$, resp. $T(\tilde{\mathbf{a}})_{z,<0}$, consists of the intervals ($\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$)

$$J_z(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}, \ell, < 0) = I_z((\vartheta_n + \pi)/\omega; \pi/2\omega) + 2\ell\pi/\omega,$$

resp.

$$J_z(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}, \ell, > 0) = I_z(\vartheta_n/\omega; \pi/2\omega) + 2\ell\pi/\omega.$$

Similarly, $T(\sigma_k^* \tilde{\mathbf{a}})_{z,<0}$, resp. $T(\sigma_k^* \tilde{\mathbf{a}})_{z,>0}$, consists of the intervals ($\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$)

$$J_z(\sigma_k^* \tilde{\mathbf{a}}, \ell, < 0) = I_z((\vartheta_n + \pi)/\omega; \pi/2\omega) + 2\ell\pi/\omega - 2k\pi,$$

resp.

$$J_z(\sigma_k^* \tilde{\mathbf{a}}, \ell, > 0) = I_z(\vartheta_n/\omega; \pi/2\omega) + 2\ell\pi/\omega - 2k\pi.$$

The sets $T(\sigma_j^* \hat{\mathbf{a}})$. By the formula for \hat{a}_n in terms of a_n , we have

$$\hat{\vartheta}_n = -\frac{\hat{\omega}}{\omega}(\vartheta_n + \pi).$$

We have $v \equiv (-\omega a_n)^{-1/(n-p)}\zeta$, which leads to the correspondence of the arguments $\theta_v = \theta_\zeta - (\vartheta_n + \pi)/(n-p)$, i.e., $\theta_u = (\omega - 1)\theta_z - (\vartheta_n + \pi)$, i.e., $\hat{\omega}\theta_u = \omega(\theta_z + \hat{\vartheta}_n)$.

Similarly, $T(\sigma_j^* \hat{\mathbf{a}})_{u,<0}$, resp. $T(\sigma_j^* \hat{\mathbf{a}})_{u,>0}$, consists of the intervals ($\hat{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}$)

$$J_u(\sigma_j^* \hat{\mathbf{a}}, \hat{\ell}, < 0) = I_u((\hat{\vartheta}_n \pm \pi)/\hat{\omega}; \pi/2\hat{\omega}) + 2\hat{\ell}\pi/\hat{\omega} - 2j\pi,$$

resp.

$$J_u(\sigma_j^* \hat{\mathbf{a}}, \hat{\ell}, > 0) = I_u(\hat{\vartheta}_n/\hat{\omega}; \pi/2\hat{\omega}) + 2\hat{\ell}\pi/\hat{\omega} - 2j\pi.$$

Fourier transformation of intervals. Let us consider $J_z(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}, 0, < 0)$. The notation of Mochizuki is then $\vartheta_o = (\vartheta_n + \pi)/\omega$. Therefore, $\hat{\vartheta}_n/\hat{\omega} = -\vartheta_o$. We have found

$$J_z(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}, 0, < 0) = I_z(\vartheta_o, \pi/2\omega) \quad \text{and} \quad J_u(\sigma_j^* \hat{\mathbf{a}}, 0, > 0) = I_z(-\vartheta_o, \pi/2\hat{\omega}) - 2j\pi$$

The map $\theta_z \mapsto \theta_u = (\omega/\hat{\omega})\theta_z - \vartheta_n$ induces a diffeomorphism between the interval $J_z(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}, 0, < 0)$ and the interval $J_u(\hat{\mathbf{a}}, 0, > 0)$. By affine transformations, we obtain a diffeomorphism from $J_z(\sigma_k^* \tilde{\mathbf{a}}, \ell, < 0)$ to $J_u(\sigma_j^* \hat{\mathbf{a}}, \hat{\ell}, > 0)$.

On the other hand, by inverse Fourier transformation, we relate $J_u(\hat{\mathbf{a}}, 0, < 0)$ with $J_z(\sigma_k^* \tilde{\mathbf{a}}, 0, > 0)$, and then $J_u(\sigma_j^* \hat{\mathbf{a}}, \hat{\ell}, < 0)$ to $J_z(\sigma_k^* \tilde{\mathbf{a}}, \ell, > 0)$.